

# **DRAFT Minutes**

Meeting Name NETS SQSS Review Panel

Meeting Number 31

**Date of Meeting** 13<sup>th</sup> September 2017

 Time
 10:00 - 12:00

 Location
 Teleconference

Attendees		
Name	Initials	Representing
John Martin	JM	Code Administrator (Alternate Chair)
Taran Heir	TH	Code Administrator (Tech Sec)
Naomi Davies	ND	Code Administrator
Xiaoyao Zhou	XZ	National Grid SO Rep
Rachel Woodbridge-Stocks	RWS	National Grid SO Rep
James Civil	JC	National Grid TO Rep
Bieshoy Awad	BA	National Grid SO Presenter
Bless Kuri	BK	SHET
Mike Lee	ML	OFTO
David Lyon	DL	OFTO
Alan Creighton	AC	Distributors (Nominated by ENA)
Shilen Shah	SS	Authority Rep
Bill Reed	BR	Generators (Alternate)
Diyar Kadar	DK	SPT
Presenters:		
Caroline Bragg	СВ	Renewable UK

Apologies		
Name	Initials	Representing
Simon Lord	SL	Generators (Nominated by AEP)
Brian Punton	BP	SHET
Cornel Brozio	CXB	SPT

### 1 Introductions and Apologies

Introductions were made and apologies noted. ND will now fulfil the role of SQSS Panel Chair and RWS has superseded Richard Woodward as National Grid SO Representative.

### 2 Approval of Minutes

Meeting minutes from the last Panel held on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2017 were noted and approved. These shall be published on the National Grid website via the following link:

http://www2.nationalgrid.com/UK/Industry-information/Electricity-codes/SQSS/Panel-information/Panel-meeting-dates-and-documents-2016/

### 3 Review of Actions

Please refer to the actions log.

### 4 Discussion Items

### a) Chapter 7 Offshore Review Workshop

CB gave a verbal update to Panel on the outcome of the Chapter 7 Offshore Review workshop held on 20 June 2017. Workshop attendees (OFTOs, offshore wind developers, etc.) proposed the establishment of an informal industry working with a focus on identifying specific areas of Chapter 7 that are in need of clarity and then to formulate guidance material to provide more clarity for Offshore developers.

Panel members supported the proposal in principle albeit made a request for the informal workgroup to submit a Terms of Reference (ToR) setting out the scope of work to be undertaken for Panel approval. CB confirmed that a ToR document is currently being drafted and will be circulated immediately after the first workgroup session.

CB also re-iterated that the ToR will restrict the scope and keep the informal workgroup to strict working parameters. The aim of the workgroup will be to identify the relevant clauses requiring clarity within Chapter 7 and to support industry with a more helpful interpretation of those applicable sections. At this stage, the aim is not to raise any immediate modifications and/or seek fundamental change to the standards.

AC highlighted that the standards should be sufficiently clear without additional guidance and raised the concern of how a guidance note would be governed. ML expressed that the Workshop concluded that the cause was an element of interpretation and a lack of clarity as opposed to a need to revise the standard and so a guidance note to accompany Chapter 7 would serve to resolve this.

In response BK raised the importance of adding any associated documents to the National Grid website.

### **5** Modification Proposals/Withdrawals

#### **GSR019** withdrawal

TH explained to the Panel that a decision was pending from the original Proposer as to their position on this Modification and whether they would be happy to formally withdraw the Modification. An update will be given once confirmation is received.

### **6** Workgroup Updates

#### **GSR016**

### **Embedded Generation Scaling**

BA confirmed that the Workgroup Report was circulated five weeks ago. Feedback has since been received from two Workgroup Members whilst other feedback is expected and pending. The next steps involve collating the comments and finalising the Report to be issued to Panel in November. Following Panel approval the Report will be issued for Consultation Panel.

#### **GSR022**

**Small and Medium Embedded Generation Assumptions** 

BA confirmed that the Workgroup was reconvening in 6-8 week, once the analyses around security background assumptions are complete.

BR questioned whether CMP282 was in scope of this modification. BA confirmed that whilst the SQSS has an impact on charging methodology, it is the network design that takes precedence.

#### **TSOG**

#### Impact on SQSS

RWS confirmed that the Entry Into Force (EIF) date for TSOG is 14 September 2017 and that the guideline does not will not require any immediate changes to the SQSS. Two articles have, however, been identified by the System Operator (SO) as potentially requiring a Modification but it is yet to be determined as to whether they will form Modifications to the SQSS or methodology changes. Any proposed changes are likely to be made by Q1 of 2018 as per any timeframes set out on SPGL.

AC wished to understand whether NGET had taken a view of whether the proposal to retain the definition of large, medium and small to categorise power stations has any implications for SQSS. BA clarified that there is a distinction between large, medium and small currently within the SQSS however Modification GSR016 would result in no distinction being made between the sizes of generator.

# 7 Industry Consultations

None

# **8** Modification Reports

#### **GSR012 Treatment of Interconnectors**

JM expressed apologies to the Panel on behalf of the original proposer for this Modification who has not yet provided an update on the status of the Workgroup Report which is awaiting completion. This will be followed up and an update given at the next Panel meeting.

### 9 Authority Decisions

None

### 10 Standing Items

#### a) Modification Register

Refer to Modification Register.

### 11 Any Other Business

#### Website

TH provided an update on the new National Grid website to the Panel. An invite was extended to Panel members to volunteer feedback on the website once it is launched and is live. Interested parties were invited to respond to the request by email to the Code Administrator.

#### **System Operator Upcoming Modifications**

RWS confirmed that the impending and potential Modification arising from SOGL is as discussed above. No other modifications have been identified.

## **Review of EREC P2**

AC raised the review of P2. A group of Network Operators has drafted some proposed changes to P2 so that it focusses on the level of security needed rather than on how to provide it. Next step involves a wider discussion with the D Code P2 Workgroup to seek their views on the proposals.. Both AC and BA sit on the Workgroup and are happy to report back into Panel as work progresses.

## 12 Next Meeting

The next NETS SQSS Review Panel was confirmed for 10:00-12:00 on Wednesday 8<sup>th</sup> November 2017. This reflects the slightly later scheduling of today's Panel. The November Panel will be conducted via teleconference.