Appendix F - Response Proforma

National Grid invites responses to this consultation by **24**th **February 2017**. The responses to the specific consultation questions (below) or any other aspect of this consultation can be provided by completing the following proforma.

Please return the completed proforma to <u>balancingservices@nationalgrid.com</u>

Respondent:	Esther Sutton
Company Name:	Uniper UK Ltd
Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify.	No

No	Question	Response	Rationale
		(Y/N)	
1	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the ABSVD shown in Table 1 have been implemented correctly to the ABSVD in Appendix A? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
2	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the ABSVD shown in Table 1 and in Appendix A, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
3	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD?	No	-
4	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 2 have been implemented correctly to the Procurement Guidelines in Appendix B? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
5	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 2 and in Appendix B, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
6	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD?	No	-
7	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 3 have been implemented correctly to the Procurement Guidelines in Appendix C? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes but	Although the full stop is missing from the redlining in 2.2 and a quotation mark included erroneously in Part D Table 1.

No	Question	Response	Rationale
NO	Question	(Y/N)	Nationale
8	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 3 and in Appendix C, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
9	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines?	No	-
10	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 4 have been implemented correctly to the SMAF in Appendix D? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
11	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 4 and in Appendix D, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
12	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF?	No	-
13	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BPS, shown in Table 5 have been implemented correctly to the BPS in Appendix E? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes but	 In Part C 5 on page 19 'or from DSBR' also needs removing from the sentence 'The price of other available actions offered through the BM or from DSBR will have no bearing upon the decision to instruct the Supplemental Balancing Reserve service'. For consistency a full stop could be added at the end of the definition of Demand Turnup in Part D 3.2f. In Part E1 Step 4 it would seem more consistent to retain the word 'requirement'.
14	Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BPS, shown in Table 5 and in Appendix E, should be made? If not, please provide rationale.	Yes	-
15	Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BPS?	No	-