# CUSC Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP262 ‘Removal of SBR/DSBR Costs from BSUoS into a “Demand Security Charge”**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this Code Administrator Consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **5:00pm** on **22 September 2016** to [cusc.team@nationalgrid.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrid.com). Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not be included within the Final Workgroup Report to the Authority.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to Heena Chauhan at [heena.chauhan@nationalgrid.com](mailto:heena.chauhan@nationalgrid.com)

These responses will be included within the Draft CUSC Modification Report to the CUSC Panel and within the Final CUSC Modification Report to the Authority.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Please insert your name and contact details (phone number or email address)* |
| **Company Name:** | *Please insert Company Name* |
| **Please express your views regarding the Code Administrator Consultation, including rationale.**  **(Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)** | **Use of System Charging Methodology**  (a) that compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;  (b) that compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and in accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard condition C26 (Requirements of a connect and manage connection);  (c) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses.  (d) compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.  These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1.  ***Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*** |

**Code Administrator Consultation questions**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| **1** | **Do you believe that CMP262 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC objectives? Please include your reasoning.** |  |
| **2** | **Do you support the proposed implementation approach? If not, please provide reasoning why.** |  |
| **3** | **Do you have any other comments?** |  |