# CUSC Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP237 ‘Response Energy Payment for Lowe Fuel Cost Generation’**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **23rd April 2015** to [cusc.team@nationalgrid.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrid.com). Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to Jade Clarke at [jade.clarke@nationalgrid.com](mailto:jade.clarke@nationalgrid.com)

These responses will be considered by the Workgroup at their next meeting at which members will also consider any Workgroup Consultation Alternative Requests. Where appropriate, the Workgroup will record your response and its consideration of it within the final Workgroup Report which is submitted to the CUSC Modifications Panel.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Please insert your name and contact details (phone number or email address)* |
| **Company Name:** | *Please insert Company Name* |
| **Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation, including rationale.**  **(Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)** | For reference, the Applicable CUSC objectives are:The efficient discharge by The Company of the obligations imposed upon it by the Act and the Transmission Licence.  1. Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity. 2. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. |

**Standard Workgroup Consultation questions**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| **1** | **Do you believe that CMP237 Original Proposal or either of the potential options for change better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives? Please state which ones and why.** |  |
| **2** | **Do you support the proposed implementation approach?** |  |
| **3** | **Do you have any other comments?** |  |
| **4** | **Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative request for the Workgroup to consider?** | *If yes, please complete a WG Consultation Alternative Request form, available on National Grid's website[[1]](#footnote-1), and return to the CUSC inbox at* [*cusc.team@nationalgrid.com*](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrid.com) |

**Specific questions for CMP237**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| **5** | **Do you agree that there is a defect around the volatility of the MIP that is used to calculate the REP?** |  |
| **6** | **Do you agree that the proposed Workgroup approach of considering solutions that only address both defects is appropriate?** |  |
| **7** | **Do you think that the revised Original solution and potential alternatives better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives better than those outlined in the first Workgroup Consultation?** |  |
| **8** | **Do you consider the potential alternatives practical options considering the time and cost implications of implementing them?** |  |

1. <http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/systemcode/amendments/forms_guidance/> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)