Contents

Foreword 1
Executive summary 2
Stakeholder engagement 6
National Grid’s role 8
Gas: Summer Outlook 10
Fuel prices 11
Gas demand 14
Gas supply 21
Gas system operability 26
Electricity: Summer Outlook 30
Summer demand and generation 31
Operational view 43
Operational toolbox 51
Glossary 58

How to use this document

To help you find the information you need quickly and easily, we have published the Summer Outlook Report as an interactive document.

Home button
This will take you to the contents page. You can click on the titles to navigate to a section.

A to Z
You will find a link to the glossary on each page.

Hyperlinks
Hyperlinks are highlighted in bold throughout the report. You can click on them to access further information.

Arrows
Click on the arrows to move backwards or forwards a page.
Foreword

Welcome to our 2016 Summer Outlook Report, where we share our latest view on the gas and electricity systems for the summer ahead.

Our outlook reports continue to evolve to reflect our stakeholders’ feedback, as we aim to provide you with the tools you need to plan for the coming months. We have made some changes to the structure and content of the report this year, just as we have done for our other outlook publications. We hope you’ll find it a valuable and accessible source of information.

With significant changes occurring in the energy sector, we’re re-thinking how we operate the gas and electricity systems. This brings with it exciting market opportunities. We’re working with stakeholders across the energy industry, as well as academics and researchers, to explore, build and implement new ways of balancing the networks.

For electricity, we expect to see further falls in both peak and minimum demands this summer. In response, we’re exploring new demand side services, which offer opportunities for large energy users to voluntarily shift their electricity usage in exchange for payment. As supply becomes increasingly dependent on weather, we are working with a number of stakeholders to improve our solar generation forecasting.

For gas, a variety of supply sources and changing demand patterns in the summer continue to reduce the predictability of flows and present us with new challenges in operating the system. You can find out more in the report about how we’re managing and maintaining the network, while continuing to improve the experience for our customers.

We want to support your business planning by improving when and how we engage with you. To help you make decisions for next winter, we’ll be publishing our review of winter 2015/16 earlier than usual, next month in May. The consultation process for next winter will be launched separately in early summer 2016.

Our outlook reports are just one source of information in our suite of ‘Future of Energy’ publications. As the energy landscape continues to evolve, I encourage you to read our other documents, which cover potential developments in the industry over the next ten years and out to 2050. Go to our website to find out more and register for email updates.

Thank you for taking the time to read this year’s Summer Outlook Report. We hope it helps you to prepare for this summer and beyond.

We want to make sure our publications are as useful to you as possible, so please let us know what you think. You can share your feedback via our website, or by emailing us at marketoutlook@nationalgrid.com. You can also join the debate in real time on Twitter using #NGSummerOutlook or on our LinkedIn Future of Energy page.
Executive summary

The Summer Outlook Report is an annual publication delivered by National Grid, which presents our view of the gas and electricity systems for the summer ahead (April to October). It is designed to inform and enable the energy industry to prepare for the summer months.

Overview: Gas summer 2016

Great Britain (GB) benefits from highly diverse and flexible sources of gas supply. We are confident that this range of supply sources will be sufficient to ensure demand is met this summer.

Our analysis of fuel prices suggests that gas will be favoured over coal for power generation this summer. However, when comparing coal with lower-efficiency gas plants, the price differential is very narrow. It is likely that a small change in either fuel or the carbon price could increase competition between coal and lower-efficiency gas.

Gas demand is expected to be higher than last year. This is mainly because of the lower cost of gas for electricity generation. Total gas demand for this summer is forecast at 36.5 bcm with an average daily demand of 200 mcm/d.

Supplies from the UK Continental Shelf (UKCS) and Norway are expected to be similar to last year and will continue to make up the majority of GB’s gas supply. Imports from the Netherlands, via the BBL pipeline, are likely to remain low this summer due to continued production restrictions at the Groningen field. With high global availability of liquefied natural gas (LNG), we expect higher volumes to be delivered to GB compared to summer 2015.

Although the diversity of supply benefits GB gas security, the varied sources lead to greater operational unpredictability. In addition, we are also seeing greater demand variability on the system due to changing storage and gas-fired power station requirements. So that we can respond, we need a network that is more flexible than ever before. We’re working with the industry to make sure that we can plan the most efficient and cost-effective network, while continuing to meet the needs of our customers.
36.5 bcm
Total gas demand

200 mcm/d
Average daily demand
Overview: Electricity summer 2016

We expect there will be sufficient generation available to meet demand this summer. Periods of low demand have implications on how we operate the system. We focus on how we’re responding to summer operability issues in the electricity section of the report.

We expect to see a fall in electricity demand this summer compared to last year, with both lower peak and minimum demand levels. The peak demand forecast for the high summer is 35.7 GW, while the summer minimum is 18.1 GW.

We currently expect there to be sufficient generation and interconnector imports to meet British Summer Time demand. Our operational view is based on data provided to us on 31 March. As generators update their outage plans, the level of operational surplus may increase or decrease. We encourage you to regularly access the latest operational data by visiting the BM Reports website.

Based on current information we anticipate that during some weeks there will be more inflexible generation on the system than is needed to meet demand. In order to balance the system, it may be necessary, during these weeks, for us to instruct inflexible generators to reduce their output.

In the summer, we need to take into consideration how periods of low demand and within-day supply and demand variability impact on the operation of the transmission system. The operational toolbox section of this document introduces the range of tools and services that are available to us as the System Operator. These ensure that we can maintain sufficient levels of flexibility and reserve to securely operate the system under a range of scenarios.
35.7 GW
Peak demand forecast

18.1 GW
Summer minimum demand
Stakeholder engagement

Our outlook reports present our analysis of the gas and electricity systems for the coming six months. They are informed by the feedback and information received from a broad range of stakeholders, which underpins the development of our outlook.

In November 2015 we asked you to tell us what you thought of last year’s outlook reports. You told us that you liked the presentation of our analysis and found that concepts were well explained. In particular, you found the key terms and glossary helpful.

Based on your feedback, we have updated the presentation of this Summer Outlook Report so that it aligns with our other publications. You will find the big picture and key messages at the start of each section, before our detailed analysis. You can click on the link at the top of any page to be taken to the glossary, where you’ll find definitions and links to further information.

We want to make sure that our reports continue to improve and provide you with the right information to support your business planning. To do this we’d like to know what you think of this report. You can share your feedback via the short survey on our website or by emailing us at marketoutlook@nationalgrid.com.

Our publications

This report is the second in our suite of ‘Future of Energy’ publications for 2016. In May we’ll be publishing our review of winter 2015/16. To help us understand the views of our stakeholders on supply and demand for next winter, we’ll then be launching our Winter Consultation in early summer. The feedback we receive from the consultation process shapes our analysis, from the assumptions underlying our forecasts to the layout and style of the report. We hope you’ll be able to provide your views.

We’ll be launching this year’s Future Energy Scenarios (FES) in London on 5 July. FES presents a range of credible pathways for the future of energy out to 2050. You can find out more and apply for a ticket to the launch event on our dedicated FES website.
Future of Energy publications 2016

For further information please go to our website.
National Grid owns and manages the gas and electricity networks that connect homes and businesses to the energy they need.

We own and operate the high pressure gas transmission network for the whole of Great Britain. We are responsible for managing the flow of gas to homes and businesses, working with other companies to make sure that gas is available where and when it is needed.

We do not own the gas we transport and neither do we sell it to consumers. That is the responsibility of the energy suppliers and shippers.

On the electricity side, we own and manage the high voltage electricity transmission network in England and Wales. We are also the System Operator of the high voltage electricity transmission network for the whole of Great Britain, balancing the flows of electricity to homes and businesses in real time.

We don’t generate electricity and we don’t sell it to consumers. It is the role of the energy suppliers to buy enough electricity from the power stations and other electricity producers to meet their customers’ needs. Once that electricity enters our network, our job is to fine-tune the system to make sure supply and demand are balanced on a second-by-second basis.

Together, these networks connect people to the energy they use.
This chapter sets out our current view of the gas system for summer 2016. It details our analysis of supply and demand and outlines how we are managing the network to make sure we meet our customers’ needs.

The chapter contains the following sections:

- Fuel prices
- Gas demand
- Gas supply
- Gas system operability
Fuel prices

In our Summer Outlook Report we concentrate on how short-term uncertainty in prices is likely to influence the choice of fuel for electricity generation this summer. This is because fuel prices for electricity generation are largely governed by shorter-term spot markets. As a result, any uncertainty in fuel prices over a short time period, such as the summer ahead, is likely to have a greater effect on the choice of fuel for electricity generation. In contrast, fuel prices for end users are generally based on tariffs that respond to longer-term trends in wholesale prices.

Key messages
- Gas is likely to be the preferred fuel for electricity generation this summer
- However, when comparing coal to lower-efficiency gas plant, the price differential is narrow. A small change in price may lead to fuel switching
- While liquefied natural gas (LNG) prices in East Asia are expected to be slightly higher than in Europe, flattening demands and increasing supplies in the Pacific Basin may result in higher LNG supplies to the UK and Europe
- Oil prices continue to be low.

Key terms
- Liquefied natural gas (LNG): formed by chilling gas to -161°C so that it occupies 600 times less space than in its gaseous form
- Combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT): a power station that uses the combustion of natural gas or liquid fuel to drive a gas turbine generator to produce electricity. The exhaust gas from this process is used to produce steam in a heat recovery boiler. This steam then drives a turbine generator to generate more electricity
- National balancing point (NBP) gas price: the wholesale gas market in Britain has one price for gas, irrespective of where it has come from. This is called the national balancing point price of gas. It is usually quoted in pence per therm.
Overview

For summer 2016 the forward prices for gas, coal and carbon suggest that gas will be the favoured fuel for electricity generation. However, when comparing coal with lower-efficiency gas plants the price differential is very narrow. As a result, it is likely that a small change in either fuel or the carbon price would increase competition between gas and coal.

Results

Our analysis of the response of the electricity generation market to fuel prices is shown in figure 1. The chart shows how the prices of coal and gas affect the choice of fuel for electricity generation. It includes the effect of the carbon price and the different efficiencies of coal and gas-fired plant.

The yellow markers on the chart show that the monthly forward prices are all within the zone where gas is the favoured fuel for electricity generation this summer. The gas price would have to rise by 9 or 10 pence per therm before coal is likely to be favoured over the higher-efficiency gas plant. As lower-efficiency CCGTs are only slightly favoured, a much smaller rise in prices would be enough to cause coal to be favoured over these gas plants.

Our analysis takes into consideration two factors:

- The availability of LNG. The global surplus of LNG is expected to maintain low NBP prices
- The relative price of gas and coal in the UK electricity generation market. This will determine which fuel will provide baseload generation throughout the summer and which will provide marginal generation. The impact of electricity generation on gas demand is discussed in the gas demand section on page 14.

The power station efficiencies used in our analysis are the average efficiencies for the coal and gas generation fleets. These have been derived from our own analysis. They exclude power stations where efficiencies have been atypically low as a result of very low utilisation. Power stations that will not be operating in summer 2016 are also excluded.

The average efficiency used for coal-fired generation is 34.9 per cent. The high and low ranges for CCGT efficiencies are 54.2 per cent and 40.6 per cent respectively.
Figure 1
Coal and gas prices and the impact on electricity generation

Coal ($/tonne) vs. Gas (p/th) for April 16 – September 16.

- **Coal burn favoured**
- **Gas burn favoured**
- **Coal/gas burn**

Carbon ($/tonne): 28.2
Gas demand

For summer 2016, gas demand is expected to be higher than last year’s weather corrected demand, particularly for electricity generation. The recent fall in gas prices is reflected in the latest forward prices, which indicate gas as the lower-cost fuel for generation.

Key messages
- Gas demand for electricity generation is anticipated to be high as forward prices indicate gas as the lower-cost fuel for generation
- Non-daily metered (NDM) demand is dependent on weather conditions. Historically NDM has been most variable in April and May
- Gas exports to Ireland will be lower than last year. This is as a result of the start of supplies flowing from the Corrib gas field to the Republic of Ireland.

Key terms
- **Non-daily metered (NDM) demand**: a classification of demand, which typically includes residential, commercial or smaller industrial consumers
- **Weather corrected demand**: demand calculated with the impact of the weather removed. This is sometimes known as ‘underlying demand’. Weather is one of the main drivers of the difference in demand from one day to the next. We take out the impact of the weather to understand other important underlying trends
- **Composite weather variable (CWV)**: temperature explains most of the variation in gas demand but a better fit can be obtained by including other variables. The combination of temperature and other weather variables is called the composite weather variable.
Daily demand

Figure 2 shows the actual demands in summer 2015. Features worth highlighting are:

- Exports to Ireland and daily metered demands remained largely flat over the summer and in line with projections.
- Storage injection was in line with the previous summers, while imports on the IUK interconnector were higher than summer 2014. An outage in June led to increased storage injection.

Non-daily metered (NDM) demand was slightly higher than forecast due to prolonged periods of weather below seasonal normal conditions.

Gas for electricity generation was higher than forecast. This reflected higher coal prices and lower gas prices than expected. The gas prices declined throughout the summer and, although both fuels were affected by an increasing EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) price, this had a greater impact on coal-fired electricity generation than gas-fired.

Non-daily metered (NDM) demand was slightly higher than forecast due to prolonged periods of weather below seasonal normal conditions.

Gas for electricity generation was higher than forecast. This reflected higher coal prices and lower gas prices than expected. The gas prices declined throughout the summer and, although both fuels were affected by an increasing EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) price, this had a greater impact on coal-fired electricity generation than gas-fired.

This summer we are anticipating significantly higher gas demand for electricity generation than the previous few summers. The recent fall in gas prices is reflected in the latest forward prices, which indicate gas as the lower-cost fuel for generation. Subsequently, our projections are in line with that of summer 2011 when gas was previously the lower-cost fuel for generation.

Figure 3 and tables 1 and 2 show the forecast for summer 2016. Forecast values are derived using seasonal normal weather conditions. We have not made any assumptions concerning unplanned outages at any sites. Our electricity generation approach considers standard annual plant availability assumptions.
The Corrib gas field, west of Ireland, obtained permits to start flowing in December 2015. We expect that, following a pipeline inspection, an increase in supplies from the gas field into the Republic of Ireland will occur mid to late summer. This will reduce the requirement for GB gas exports to Ireland.

Table 1 shows the forecast daily average demand for summer 2016. For comparison we show the actual daily average demand for summer 2015 (as observed) and the weather corrected daily average demand. The weather corrected daily average demand is the value we would have observed had the weather been seasonally normal.

Table 1
Average daily demands (mcm/d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 actual</th>
<th>2015 weather corrected</th>
<th>2016 forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM + Industrial</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity generation</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total demand</strong></td>
<td><strong>146</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>157</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUK export</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage injection</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GB total</strong></td>
<td><strong>192</strong></td>
<td><strong>189</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2
Daily demand ranges (mcm/d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual range</th>
<th>Forecast range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 low</td>
<td>2015 high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM + Industrial</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total demand</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
<td><strong>252</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUK export</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage injection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GB total</strong></td>
<td><strong>146</strong></td>
<td><strong>260</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The impact of weather on summer gas demand

Figure 4 shows the historical composite weather variable (CWV), which can be regarded as a proxy for temperature, along with seasonal normal conditions and actual conditions for summer 2015.

The CWV is capped at 15.8 as gas demand does not respond to weather conditions above this. This means that in warmer months (June, July and August) there is very little variation in CWVs and subsequently less variation in weather sensitive gas demands, such as in the residential sector. The wide range of CWVs possible in April and May can lead to greater variations in demand. It is likely that the highest gas demand for the summer will occur in these months.

For summer 2015, weather conditions tended to be slightly colder than seasonal normal. This resulted in a two per cent higher demand than had weather conditions been seasonally normal.
Storage injection

Figure 5 shows storage stocks for the last five years. The position in 2016 is close to the middle of this range. It is much higher than the low of 0.3 bcm in 2013, which followed a cold February and March, but lower than the values at the end of the milder 2012 and 2014 winters. The storage capacity at Rough is still reduced, similar to summer 2015. It was reduced in March 2015 from 3.3 bcm to between 2.6 and 2.9 bcm. The restriction is not expected to be lifted before December 2016. Taking this into account, the net injection over the summer is expected to be in line with last year.

We will report on the implications for winter gas supplies in the Winter Outlook Report in October. Note that the historical storage injection shown in tables 1 and 2 is for the total injection over the summer. This includes fast cycle mid-range storage sites that might be expected to inject and withdraw many times throughout the summer.
Total demand

Table 3 shows the total volume of summer gas demand anticipated for 2016. For comparison we show the actual and weather corrected value for summer 2015.

Table 3
Total volume of 2015 summer demand and 2016 forecast (bcm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 actual</th>
<th>2015 weather corrected</th>
<th>2016 forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM + Industrial</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity generation</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total demand</strong></td>
<td><strong>26.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>26.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>28.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUK export</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage injection</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GB total</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>34.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As forward prices indicate gas as the lower-cost fuel for electricity generation, gas demand for electricity generation is anticipated to be significantly higher than in 2015.

Exports to Ireland will be lower than 2015 as supplies from the Corrib gas field start flowing to the Republic of Ireland.

Exports through IUK are expected to be lower than in 2015 at approximately 4 bcm. Flows across IUK are driven by the overall supply and demand balance in both the GB and North West European markets. While the balance in Europe looks similar to last year, the increased demand for gas-fired electricity generation may reduce the gas available for exports.
Gas supply

With a range of supply sources, gas supplies to GB are expected to be sufficient to meet predicted summer demands. Supplies from the UK Continental Shelf and Norway are expected to be similar to last year. Remaining gas demand will be met by continental imports, storage withdrawals and LNG.

Key messages
- There will be adequate gas supplies to meet demand this summer
- Gas supplies from the UK Continental Shelf, Norway and continental imports are likely to be similar to summer 2015
- With high global availability of LNG, we expect slightly higher volumes to be delivered to GB this summer compared to 2015.

Key terms
- **UK Continental Shelf (UKCS):** made up of the areas of the sea bed and subsoil beyond the territorial sea over which the UK exercises sovereign rights of exploration and exploitation of natural resources
- **BBL:** this gas pipeline runs between Balgzand in the Netherlands and Bacton in the UK
- **IUK:** the Interconnector (UK) Limited is a gas pipeline connecting Bacton in the UK and Zeebrugge in Belgium.
Overview

Supplies of gas through the summer for the last five years, and our forecast for 2016, are shown in figure 6 and table 4.

Figure 6
Historic and forecast summer gas supplies

Table 4
Historic and forecast summer gas supplies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(bcm)</th>
<th>UKCS</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>LNG</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Storage</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UKCS and pipeline imports

Supplies from the UK Continental Shelf (UKCS) and Norway are again expected to account for the majority of supply this summer, making up almost 75 per cent of the total.

Supplies from the UKCS are expected to be slightly higher than last year. This is because several new fields have started production, most notably Total’s Laggan in the West of Shetland area. These increases are partly offset by declines from existing fields. UKCS production this summer is expected to be 16.3 bcm or 89 mcm/d. This is approximately 0.4 bcm, or 2 mcm/d, higher than summer 2015.

Imports from Norway are expected to be 10.8 bcm, or 59 mcm/d, this summer. This is a similar level to that seen in 2015.

Imports via the BBL pipeline from the Netherlands are expected to be similar to last year. In 2015, levels of imports were approximately 2 bcm lower than those experienced in previous years. This was driven by increased demand from conversion facilities in the Netherlands to account for lower production from the Groningen field. With the production cap for gas year 2015/16 has been set at 27 bcm, there is little prospect of increased imports from the Netherlands. As a result, this summer we expect imports via BBL to total approximately 0.2 bcm, or just over 1 mcm/d. This is marginally lower than the 0.3 bcm imported in 2015. We anticipate there will be several days of zero imports.

The IUK pipeline, connecting GB to Belgium, has historically exported for most of the summer. We expect this to continue for 2016. Flows on IUK are sensitive to the differential between prices in GB and Belgian gas markets. This could lead to occasional periods of import if there is a surplus of supply in Europe, or if prices rise in the GB market due to other supplies being lower than expected.

Liquefied natural gas

In recent years it has become increasingly challenging to predict LNG supply, both for the summer and winter. Availability depends on a number of factors, the most important of which is the price of, and demand for, LNG in East Asia. This affects how much LNG is likely to be delivered to GB.

Historically there has been a peak in LNG deliveries to GB in the early summer. This is when more Middle Eastern cargoes become available due to declining demand in East Asia at the end of the winter. Typically this has been followed by a reduction in deliveries in mid-summer, partly due to increasing electricity demand for air conditioning in East Asia. However, over the previous two years, this mid-summer reduction in GB LNG deliveries has not been as noticeable. This is shown in figure 7.

---

1 A production cap of 16.5 bcm for the first half of the year was introduced at the Groningen field in February 2015 as a result of seismic activity. This was subsequently extended to 30 bcm for the calendar year.

2 The production cap for gas year 2015/16 has been set at 27 bcm.
In 2015, a combination of lower demand in East Asia and steadily increasing supply in the Pacific basin, particularly from Australia, meant that more LNG was available for export to Europe.

Figure 8 shows that annual LNG deliveries to GB have increased since 2013. Current LNG deliveries for 2016 are following a similar profile to last year.
For summer 2016, the price of LNG in the East Asia market is still expected to be higher than European prices. However, lower demands in East Asia and higher overall global availability of LNG are expected to result in a surplus that should be available for other destinations. With a surplus of regasification capacity and an integrated downstream market, Europe and GB are expected to benefit from this available supply.

As a result of lower continental supplies, higher gas demands and greater LNG availability, we are anticipating that LNG supply over the summer will be slightly higher than last year.
Gas system operability

With a diverse range of supply sources, we expect there to be sufficient gas to meet demand this summer. However, this diversity makes it challenging to predict where and when supply and demand will impact on the network. Understanding this variability will help us to plan the most efficient and cost-effective network configuration to meet the needs of our customers.

Key messages
- We expect to see continued variability in supply and demand patterns this summer, with rapid responses to within-day market conditions.
- Increased variability and shorter notice periods require greater flexibility in how we manage and operate the transmission system.
- Timely provision of information allows us to optimise how the network is configured, enabling us to meet customer requirements in an efficient and cost-effective way.

Key terms
- **Linepack**: the amount of gas within the National Transmission System. The more linepack in the system, the higher the gas pressure will be.
- **Linepack swing**: the difference between the amount of gas in the system at the start of the day and at the lowest point during the day.
- **Residual balancer**: users of the transmission system (gas shippers) are required to balance supply into and demand from the network. If this balance is not expected to be achieved on any given day then the System Operator, acting as residual balancer, will enter the market and undertake trades (buys or sells) to seek to resolve any imbalance while minimising the impact on the market.
Overview

The way the gas network is being used by our customers is changing. To meet their requirements, the network needs to be more flexible than ever before.

To ensure the network is configured to its optimum efficiency, there is a requirement to receive details of flows affecting the system in a timely manner. In recent years, we have seen significant volumes of within-day requests for additional flexibility and shorter lead times for bringing gas on and off the transmission system. Short notice changes make it increasingly challenging to optimise both the configuration of the network and compressor running strategies. This can increase the costs that will ultimately be fed back into the industry. We are continuing to consult the industry to make sure that we meet our customers’ needs in delivering gas in the most efficient and cost-effective way.

Supply and demand

Gas supplies and demands are increasingly variable, with levels changing in response to availability of gas and within-day market conditions. Timely information helps to ensure that we can efficiently respond to these changes.

Increasing demand variation is more noticeable during the summer, as commercially sensitive offtakes, such as storage sites, interconnectors and some power stations, quickly respond to fluctuating prices. Real-time demand forecasting is likely to be difficult this summer. This is because of the uncertainty of usage from marginal gas power stations and the increasing requirement for flexible gas-fired generation to support balancing.

As supplies and demands change within-day, predicting these changes is important to enable us to devise the most efficient operational strategies. Where changes occur, such as a site returning from outage, it is important that the information is made available in a timely manner. This allows the network to be configured to mitigate pressure fluctuations as the extra gas comes onto, or leaves, the system.

The supply of gas from the UKCS and Norway is expected to be reasonably predictable this summer. Other sources are likely to be more responsive to short-term market conditions. Storage sites are likely to only supply gas where a favourable commercial opportunity arises. Last year LNG supplies were between 5 and 30 per cent of the total demand (see figure 9). Although we anticipate that LNG supply will be slightly higher than last year, supply can vary as global availability and prices affect the destination of cargoes.
Storage

In recent years, the behaviour of storage sites has changed as price differentials between summer and winter are much lower than in previous years. This results in commercial changes within-day as prices reflect short-term conditions. Therefore, we are likely to see some withdrawal during the summer, as has been the case for the previous three years. This trend can be seen in figure 10.

As well as the challenges in trying to forecast when storage sites may be refilled this summer, there are additional impacts to consider if this occurs at numerous sites at the same time. There are a cluster of storage sites on the Yorkshire coast and around Cheshire. Should these react at the same time to a change in price, there is a potential for large supply or demand swings in this area of the network.

Changes in compressor strategies or network configurations may be required to meet local pressure commitments. This is particularly the case when maintenance or local construction activities are being carried out on the transmission system.
We are continuing to see increasing levels of within-day imbalance between supply and demand, with supply sources flowing less at the start of the day when demand is at its highest. On occasions, this has led to high levels of linepack depletion within day. If we continue to see high linepack swings during the summer period this will have an impact on the operation of the system, how we use the network and how we meet customer output requirements. The result may be an increase in the need for commercial actions to manage this.

If we do see large linepack depletion within day, we have a range of operational and commercial tools available to ensure the continued safety and security of operation. However, these may lead to associated costs or inefficiencies in managing the network.

There is an ongoing trend towards later residual balancing as shippers appear to be using fast cycle, flexible storage sites and interconnectors to balance later in the day.

We believe low price differentials between summer and winter are resulting in shippers looking to balance their portfolios in response to short-term price triggers. Over the previous six months, we have seen a greater predominance of ‘buy’ actions from the System Operator. ‘Buys’ accounted for approximately 70 per cent of all actions seen this winter. As later balancing by the shippers has become more common, later actions are now being taken by the System Operator. If this trend continues we may continue to see marginal prices being set later in the day, when residual actions become unavoidable.
This chapter sets out our current view of the electricity system for summer 2016. It details our analysis of demand and generation, and outlines the tools and services we have to operate the network during the summer.

The chapter contains the following sections:

- Summer demand and generation
- Operational view
- Operational toolbox
Summer demand and generation

Our summer view presents our analysis of demand and generation for summer 2016. With demand expected to continue falling this summer, the impact on system operability remains the main focus of our analysis.

Key messages

- The peak demand forecast for high summer is the lowest on record, at 35.7 GW. This compares with 37.5 GW in 2015.
- Daytime minimum demand is expected to be 23.5 GW, lower than the 2015 minimum of 25.8 GW.
- Minimum summer demand is forecast to be 18.1 GW. This is a slight decrease from the 2015 demand of 18.4 GW.
- Generation capacity for the summer is expected be 67.43 GW.
- Based on forward prices, we expect there to be net imports of electricity on the interconnectors from Continental Europe to GB.
- We expect there to be net exports of electricity on the interconnectors from GB to Ireland during peak times, switching to imports overnight.

Key terms

- **Embedded generation**: any generation that is connected directly to the local distribution network, as opposed to the transmission network. It also includes combined heat and power schemes of any scale. The electricity generated by such schemes is typically used in the local system, rather than being transported across the UK. Generation that is connected to the distribution system is not directly visible to National Grid and therefore acts to reduce demand on the transmission system.

- **High summer period**: the period between 1 June and 31 August or weeks 23 to 35. It is when we expect the greatest number of planned generator outages. At the same time, this is when we normally experience higher demand, predominantly driven by cooling systems.

- **Transmission system demand (TSD)**: demand that National Grid, as the System Operator, sees at grid supply points; these are the connections to the distribution networks. It includes demand from the power stations generating electricity (the station load) at 500 MW.

- **Underlying demand**: demand varies from day to day, depending on the weather and the day of week. Underlying demand (also known as basic demand) is a measure of how much demand there is once the effect of the weather and the day of the week have been removed.
Overview

For the last few years, we have seen levels of demand continuing to fall on the transmission network. As a result, we expect demand this summer to be lower than in 2015. This trend is largely due to an increase in embedded generation, particularly solar photovoltaic (PV). As embedded generation is connected to the distribution network, it is not directly visible to us and acts to reduce demand on the transmission system.

Demand

In order to understand the levels of demand we can expect to see this summer, our analysis considers previous summers and longer-term trends.

Assumptions

1. Underlying demand
Underlying demand, which removes the impact of weather and the day of the week from demand, is expected to be broadly flat, similar to summer 2015.

2. Solar capacity
Our current solar capacity assumption, as of February 2016, is 9.3 GW. Our forecasts assume a 200 MW increase in capacity per month to reflect continuing growth in solar generation.

3. Embedded wind capacity
Embedded wind generation is expected to remain broadly flat this summer at 4.1 GW.

Results

Peak weather corrected demand for high summer 2016 is 35.7 GW. This is our lowest ever forecast. Both the summer and daytime minimum demands on the transmission network are continuing to fall each year.

Table 5 shows the weather corrected summer demands of the previous two years to provide a comparison to the forecast for summer 2016. This is the demand seen on the transmission system, with actual weather removed and the impact of normal weather added.

We regularly update our demand forecasts throughout the year. You can access the latest forecast on the BM Reports website.
In order to inform our forecast for 2016, we examine monthly trends over the last 10 years. Figure 11 shows the monthly weather and seasonally corrected energy transmitted through the transmission system during 2005–2015. By weather and seasonally correcting this data, we can easily compare different months with each other and spot longer-term trends.

Figure 11 shows that there has been a reduction in the total energy use that we have seen on the transmission system over the last 10 years. This is primarily due to an increase in embedded generation, which acts to reduce demand on the transmission system, greater energy efficiency for technology and buildings, and changing consumer behaviour.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Summer min (GW)</th>
<th>High summer peak (GW)</th>
<th>Daytime min (GW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Daily demand

To help us forecast the levels of daily demand we can expect to see this summer, we have examined the data from 2015. Figure 12 shows the half-hourly demand profile for high summer 2015.

Figure 12
Half-hourly demand profile based on actual half-hourly GB demand for high summer 2015

How is the daily demand profile changing?

Solar PV has an increasing impact on demand profiles, which is more pronounced in the summer months. The summer demand peak typically occurs in the late afternoon when solar PV output is greater. This reduces the levels of demand we see on the transmission system.

We have also seen a change in the shape of the daily demand profile. Maximum solar PV output often coincides with the post-lunch reduction in demand. This acts to further reduce demand, creating a new daytime minimum.
Summer minimum demands

It is becoming increasingly important to understand daytime minimum demands to support the operation of the system during the summer.

Figure 13 shows the weekly daytime minimum demands for the previous two summers, with a forecast for 2016. Our analysis uses normalised weather and applies weather correction.

The lowest daytime demand forecast for the high summer period is 23.5 GW. This is expected to occur during the last weekend of July. This forecast is 2.5 GW lower than the minimum demand experienced in 2015.
Figure 14 shows the weekly minimum demands for the previous two summers and a forecast for 2016. We have applied weather correction to our analysis. This analysis helps us to understand when we can expect the summer minimum to occur. Based on normal weather, averaged over the previous 30 years, the minimum demand is expected to occur on a late July Sunday between 5.00 and 6.00am. The minimum weather corrected forecast for 2016 is 18.1 GW.

Embedded solar generation

Live metering is not available to the System Operator for embedded generation. As a result, it does not have the visibility of transmission connected generation. Embedded solar PV capacity in particular has continued to increase, from 5.0 GW in April 2015 to 9.3 GW in February 2016.

Figure 15 shows the actual growth in the installed capacity of solar PV between 2012 and 2015 and the forecast growth for 2016/17. It also shows the estimated actual solar PV generation based on the actual weather.
Embedded PV generation is a major contributor to the trend of decreasing transmission system demand. As a result, PV generation estimates are becoming an ever important component of our demand forecasts. As previously mentioned, we are assuming a 200 MW linear increase in installed capacity per month for the next 12 months. This equates to 12.0 GW by the beginning of British Summer Time (BST) 2017. This increase has been factored into our summer demand forecasts for 2016.

In addition to our seasonal forecast, we publish a daily forecast of embedded generation for the next seven days. You can access this forecast on our website.

**Figure 15**
Total PV/solar capacity (historic and forecast) and estimated historic generation April 2012 – February 2016

**Forecasting solar PV**

National Grid has embarked on a number of projects to address two key challenges of forecasting solar PV: installation visibility and generation variability. One of these projects is in partnership with Sheffield Solar. The aim is to estimate total GB PV output in real time, based on third party metered data from a sample of live sites. Once operational, the project will deliver an estimate of PV generation in real time. This will be used to manage flows on the electricity network, ensuring we can continue to balance supply and demand on a second-by-second basis. You can access live PV generation on the Sheffield Solar website.
Generation

Generation capacity
Figure 16 shows the expected generation capacity available this summer. The total capacity available is 67.43 GW. This is the maximum technical capacity of generation available; we have not applied a de-rating factor to account for breakdowns, outages or any other operational issues that may result in a plant having a decreased ability to generate at its normal level. The capacity includes only the generation that is connected to the transmission system.

The capacity accounts for recent changes in generation, including the closures of Ferrybridge and Eggborough coal plants. These closures have been partially offset by the commissioning of the new Carrington power station, the Moyle interconnector returning to full capability, and an increase in wind capacity.

Figure 16
Generation capacity for summer 2016
Wind generation

There is an increasing amount of wind generation connected to both the transmission and distribution networks. It is important that we account for this generation in our operational view analysis for the summer.

Figure 17 shows the mean load factor of wind generation by month and half-hourly settlement period between 2011 and 2015. This data has been taken from wind farms directly connected to operational metering.

Load factors are the proportion of output that we can reasonably expect to see for a given capacity. By comparing these load factors across a number of years, we are able to spot longer-term trends in the seasonal variability of wind output.

Figure 17 shows that wind generation is typically higher during the winter months, shown by the orange and red colours. July typically experiences the lowest wind generation, shown by the dark blue. There is no clear correlation between wind output and period of day.
To determine a realistic wind output that we can apply to our operational view analysis, we plot the historic output of wind for both summer daytime and for periods of minimum demand.

Figure 18 shows the historic wind farm load factor distribution during the daytime in high summer. The median wind load factor is 16 per cent. As a result, we use 16 per cent as a reasonable expectation of wind output for the demand peak of a summer day.

Figure 18
Summer daytime wind load factors
During the summer, the lowest average load factors typically occur overnight between mid-June and mid-August. However, high winds do sometimes occur during these periods of low overnight demand, so we need to account for this in our analysis.

Figure 19 plots the probability of the wind output exceeding a given value during at least one of these periods of low demand. It shows a median load factor of 46 per cent. We use this load factor to create a realistic picture of wind output during periods of low demand in our operational view analysis.

Interconnectors

Interconnectors link the GB transmission system to the systems of France, the Netherlands and Ireland. The interconnection capacity between GB and other markets is 4,000 MW.

France and the Netherlands

Britned is a 1,000 MW capacity interconnector to the Netherlands. This summer we expect it to be at full capability, with the exception of two days in May and two days in September when it will be out of service for maintenance.

Interconnexion France-Angleterre (IFA) is a 2,000 MW interconnector between France and the UK. It is expected to be at full capability for most of this summer. Due to maintenance outages, capability will be reduced to 1,000 MW for 12 days in April and 19 days in October.
Ireland
The Moyle interconnector to Northern Ireland is expected to be back at its full capability of 500 MW this summer, following completion of the cable replacement project. The East West Interconnector (EWIC) to the Republic of Ireland is also expected to be at its full capability of 500 MW throughout the summer.

Prices
Interconnector flows are closely correlated with price spreads.

The price spread between GB and continental wholesale prices for summer 2016 is similar to previous years. With the forward price in GB higher than prices in both the French and Dutch markets, full imports into GB from Continental Europe are expected this summer.

On the interconnectors with Ireland, it is expected that GB will export during peak times (daytime) and import during off-peak times (overnight). Imports will be more likely when there is high wind generation on the Irish electricity system.

Figure 20
Projected interconnector flows for peak and off-peak times summer 2016
Operational view

Our operational view is based on data provided to us by generators. We use this data to model levels of operational surplus. It is also used to determine the likelihood of actions we may ask generators to take in periods of low demand to balance the system. We currently expect there to be sufficient generation and interconnector imports to meet demand this summer. Based on current data, we may need to instruct generators to alter their generation output in periods of low demand.

Key messages
- We are able to meet normalised demand in all weeks across British Summer Time under three interconnector scenarios with low, medium and full imports
- Wind generation may need to be curtailed this summer during minimum demand periods to help us balance the system
- There is a possibility that we may have to issue emergency instructions to inflexible generators to reduce their output during some weeks in order to balance supply and demand.

Key terms
- Operational Code 2 (OC2) data: information provided to National Grid by generators. It includes their current generation availability and known maintenance plans
- Operational surplus: the difference between demand (including the amount of reserve held) and the generation expected to be available, modelled on a week by week basis. It includes both planned outages and assumed breakdown rates for each power station type.
The operational view

Our operational view is based on current generation availability data, otherwise known as Operational Code 2 (OC2) data. This is submitted weekly by generators and includes their known maintenance outage plans. As in previous summers, we expect there to be a large number of maintenance outages this year.

The data includes power stations that may require up to 48 hours notice before they can begin to generate. We may need to issue instructions to these generators to ensure that they are available if required.

In order to account for unexpected generator breakdowns, restrictions or losses close to real time, we apply a breakdown rate to the OC2 data. We then model this against forecast normalised transmission system demand plus a reserve requirement and a range of interconnector flows. The operational view does not take into account any market response by generators to high demand or tighter conditions.

It is possible to access the latest OC2 data throughout the summer on the BM Reports website. This data is updated weekly. Unlike the operational view presented here, the availability data presented on BM Reports is largely unadjusted, except for wind generation which is included at an assumed load factor. The forecast peak demand and a level of reserve are then compared to this to calculate the operational surplus. Data on BM Reports does not include interconnector imports or exports.

Assumptions

1. Demand
   The demand used for the operational view is normalised transmission system demand (TSD). This includes the demand we expect to see from power stations generating electricity (the station load) and interconnector exports. We have assumed 1,000 MW of export to Ireland.

2. Reserve assumptions
   There is a requirement to carry operating reserve to manage the second-by-second regulation of system frequency and respond to sudden changes in demand and supply. We have assumed a reserve requirement of 0.9 GW for each week of our analysis. This is shown in figure 21 as an orange bar above the normalised demand.

3. Generation breakdown assumptions
   The operational data provided to us by generators includes their known maintenance outages. Closer to real time, there may be unexpected generator breakdowns or availability reductions. To account for this in our analysis, we assume a breakdown rate for each fuel type, shown in table 6. These rates are based on historic summer breakdown rates, averaged over the last three years.

   For wind generation we apply a load factor to determine how much output we could reasonably expect. This is calculated from the historic wind farm load factor distribution for summer daytimes, shown in the previous section in figure 18. We use the median wind load factor of 16 per cent in our analysis.
4. Interconnector assumptions
Our analysis is based on three interconnector scenarios. All of the scenarios assume full export to Ireland, which adds 1,000 MW to expected demand. Each scenario assumes a varying level of import from Continental Europe:

- Low imports of 500 MW, resulting in a net export of 500 MW
- Medium base case of 1,800 MW, resulting in a net import of 800 MW
- Full interconnector imports of 3,000 MW, resulting in a net import of 2,000 MW.

Table 6
Assumed breakdown rates for summer 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power station type</th>
<th>Assumed breakdown rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnectors</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro generation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind generation</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal &amp; Biomass</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumped storage</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCGT</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCGT</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Figure 21 compares the expected weekly generation and interconnector scenarios against the weekly normalised demand forecast for the summer period. It is based on the OC2 data provided to us by generators on 31 March.

In the summer months maintenance outages reduce the amount of generation available. The lowest levels of generation are typically seen during the high summer period, between June and August. Based on current operational data, the minimum available generation is expected to be 39.9 GW in the week commencing 11 July.

We are able to meet normalised demand in this week, and throughout the high summer period, even with low interconnector imports.

Based on current information, demand and our reserve requirement can be met until the end of British Summer Time (BST) with low, medium or full interconnector imports.

As shown in figure 21, both the medium base case and full interconnector import scenarios are the same from the week commencing 10 October. This is due to planned maintenance on the Interconnexion France-Angleterre interconnector, which will reduce its capability for 19 days from 3 October.

Our operational view is based on the best data currently available to us. Changes to the notified generation and forecast demand will change this outlook, potentially increasing or decreasing the level of operational surplus. There is always a possibility that events, such as a plant returning late from outage or changing weather, can impact upon the level of surplus.

Figure 21
Operational view summer 2016
In the summer we see a significant reduction in demand on the transmission system. As a result, we need to make sure that there is sufficient flexible generation available to meet demand while maintaining frequency response. This ensures that the system can respond to the largest generation or demand loss.

System operability in periods of low demand

We have modelled inflexible generation against current expected minimum demands and then added flexible wind generation. This helps us to understand the actions we may need to take this summer to respond to low demand. The forecast is updated weekly throughout the summer and can be found on our website.

Flexible and inflexible generation

Different types of generation have varying levels of flexibility, impacting upon how quickly they can respond to changes in demand. Generation such as nuclear, combined heat and power (CHP) stations and some hydro generators and wind farms, are considered to be less flexible. They may require long notice periods to step down or ramp up their output, or have obligations that influence when they can generate. Inflexible generation also includes generation that is not part of the Balancing Mechanism, which requires emergency instructions to change their generation position.

The remaining generators are more flexible. Interconnectors provide a variety of frequency response services and, in some instances, limited System Operator to System Operator trading. Most large wind farms are now participating in the Balancing Mechanism and are therefore considered flexible generation. Some also provide frequency response and reactive power.

As market prices change to reflect the level of operational surplus, generators may take a commercial decision to move their planned maintenance programme. We encourage the industry to regularly view the latest OC2 data. The data is published each Thursday on the BM Reports website.

As generators regularly update their outage plans, it is difficult to model the expected operational surplus for the end of British Summer Time. This is particularly the case six months ahead for October.

We know that generators have greater flexibility in planning summer outages.
Modelling inflexible generation

We have modelled the amount of inflexible generation that we can reasonably expect to be running at the time of the weekly minimum demand. This helps us to determine when we may need to request output reductions from less flexible generators, or emergency instruct them.

Assumptions

1. Load factors

In order to determine how much inflexible generation is likely to be available during periods of low demand, we apply a load factor to interconnectors and each generation type. These load factors, shown in table 7, are based on historic availability data over previous minimum demand periods.

The load factor for inflexible wind is determined from figure 19, shown in the previous section. To account for wind output during periods of low demand in our analysis, we assume a wind load factor of 46 per cent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power station type</th>
<th>Load factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible BM units (CHP)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible hydro</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible wind</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Interconnectors</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BritNed</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France-Angleterre</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Figure 22 shows a weekly view of the cumulative minimum output expected this summer from less flexible generators. This is based on the availability submitted to us by generators, multiplied by the load factors described above. It includes the synchronised plant required to meet our frequency response and reserve requirements. This output is compared to the weekly minimum demand profile, together with pumped storage demand at an assumed load factor of 66 per cent.

Based on current data, there is a risk that we may need to ask inflexible generators to reduce their output during the weeks commencing 20 June, 25 July and 29 August. This assumes 1.6 GW of pumping. As we approach real time, this requirement may change depending upon the weather, wind conditions and the generation available on the day. We will continue to update this forecast during the summer and will inform and engage with inflexible generators where necessary.
Flexible wind generation

As the amount of installed wind capacity continues to increase, it has become economic to carry a proportion of regulating reserve on large wind farms in times of high wind. The flexibility of wind farms allows us to issue curtailment instructions, requesting their output to be reduced for a short period. The number of instructions we issue to wind farms is likely to increase, as we continue to see decreasing demand at the summer minimum and fewer flexible generators running overnight.

In figure 23 flexible wind farm output has been added to the cumulative minimum output, assuming the same wind load factor of 46 per cent. It shows that, if flexible wind does not contribute to meeting the frequency response and regulating reserve requirements, it will need to be curtailed this summer to make sure that supply does not exceed demand.

Wind farms providing ancillary or balancing services, including negative regulating reserve or frequency response, would be considered temporarily inflexible.

To help us to manage periods of low demand in the most efficient and economic manner, we encourage wind farms and generators that currently do not meet their obligation to provide frequency response to do so. The submission of cost reflective prices for the provision of frequency response also enables us to make the appropriate economic decisions.

Figure 23
Weekly minimum demand and generation profiles including flexible wind output
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Operational toolbox

Operating the transmission system during periods of low demand is becoming increasingly challenging. This is in part a reflection of the growth of intermittent power capacity and non-synchronous generation, both at the transmission system level and embedded within the distribution networks. This section of the report focuses on a selection of these challenges and the tools available to manage them in our role as System Operator.

Key messages
- Greater flexibility is required in the summer to manage the system during periods of low demand and increased supply and demand variability
- National Grid has access to a range of tools and services to make sure that we can continue to operate the system securely and effectively.

Key terms
- **System inertia**: a measure of how resilient the system is to changes due to disturbances. Disturbances may be caused by a sudden drop in generation or demand that causes a system imbalance
- **Reactive power**: describes the movement of energy across the network and is measured in MVAR (mega volts amps reactive). Assets forming part of and connecting to the transmission system naturally generate or absorb reactive power depending on their own and the systems’ characteristics at the time. These flows of reactive power have a direct impact on the voltage levels seen across the network, which must be closely monitored and managed. Where voltage levels are too high or too low, National Grid takes actions, such as using ancillary services, to ensure that voltage levels stay within defined limits
- **Head room and foot room**: the ability of generation plant to alter its output. Head room is the operation of plant below its maximum to allow output to increase if necessary. Foot room describes the ability of plant to decrease its output without going below minimum output levels.
Overview

Greater flexibility is required during periods of low demand, especially in the summer, to accommodate increased within-day supply and demand variability. Historically these requirements have been met with minimum intervention from the System Operator. The changing generation mix and demand profiles create an increasing reliance on direct System Operator intervention to keep the system secure. This may take the form of actions with conventional and wind generators. An assessment of the nature, scale and impact of these actions is detailed in this section.

Flexibility

Negative reserve
National Grid currently manages different types of reserve in order to preserve and maintain system security given a range of credible situations. In particular for low demand periods (overnight and weekend afternoons) sufficient negative reserve is required to account for demand forecasting errors or demand losses in the period from day ahead to real time.

This requirement is likely to increase as negative reserve for solar PV is required to specifically manage the additional variability in demand between four hours ahead and real time. This downward flexibility is usually provided by synchronised generation operating above its declared minimum operating level.

As a result, during low demand periods we may:

- Curtail or de-synchronise generation to maintain sufficient system foot room. At times of low demand, or in periods where inflexible generation represents a significant proportion of supply, this is likely to coincide with negative System Sell Prices (SSP). We encourage wind farms and generators to submit cost reflective prices to the Balancing Mechanism to manage low demand periods efficiently and economically, and to reduce wider wind curtailment.
- Increase demand through demand side response services. This includes the newly introduced demand turn-up service and other demand side management contracts, which you can read more about in our Power Responsive spotlight on page 54.
- Trade on the interconnectors to reduce total system supply. This may occur when an indication exists ahead of time that insufficient negative reserve is available, which coincides with high interconnector imports. Trading would only be considered when it is economically beneficial to do so.
- Issue a Negative Reserve Active Power Management (NRAPM) system notification to the industry. This notification is designed to encourage inflexible generators to reduce their output where possible to create downward flexibility on the system. A NRAPM would only be issued if the negative reserve requirement could not be met through contracts, trading, System Operator to System Operator actions on the interconnectors or Balancing Mechanism activity.
Positive reserve
The combined requirement for regulating reserve and short term operating reserve (STOR) still exists during the summer. This helps to manage the variation between expected and actual generation and demand, as well as reserve for wind generation.

National Grid enters into contracts with standby generation or demand reduction services to manage variations in demand and generation away from its instructed position. Regulating reserve will be held on synchronised generation units that are not being used for frequency response. Where there is a market shortfall in the provision of available system head room, National Grid may take specific actions to synchronise generation units or run them at part-loaded levels to create upward flexibility.

Non-dynamic frequency response is usually a discrete service triggered at a defined frequency deviation.

System inertia is a measure of how resilient the system is to change. These changes may be caused by disturbances, such as a sudden drop in generation or demand, leading to an underlying imbalance (i.e. mismatch between demand and supply).

We would anticipate an increase in response requirements during periods of low demand and limited synchronous generation. The growth in solar PV capacity is likely to lead to an increase in the absolute level of response held and an increase in the proportion of response held by dynamic providers on weekend afternoons.

In the short term, the procurement of these requirements will be facilitated through:

- Mandatory frequency response in the Balancing Mechanism; or
- Contracting in advance in the form of:
  a. firm frequency response (FFR) which is the firm provision of dynamic or non-dynamic response to changes in frequency
  b. frequency control by demand management (FCDM) which provides frequency response through interruption of demand customers.

In the longer term, a number of projects exist to secure a greater proportion of response through demand side providers, on wind farms or highly flexible assets through enhanced frequency response.

Response
Frequency is a continuously changing variable that is determined and controlled by the second-by-second balance between system demand and total generation. We therefore make sure that sufficient generation and/or demand is held in automatic readiness to manage all credible circumstances that might result in frequency variations.

There are two types of frequency response: dynamic and non-dynamic. Dynamic frequency response is a continuously provided service used to manage the normal second-by-second changes on the system.

Non-dynamic frequency response is usually a discrete service triggered at a defined frequency deviation.

System inertia is a measure of how resilient the system is to change. These changes may be caused by disturbances, such as a sudden drop in generation or demand, leading to an underlying imbalance (i.e. mismatch between demand and supply).

We would anticipate an increase in response requirements during periods of low demand and limited synchronous generation. The growth in solar PV capacity is likely to lead to an increase in the absolute level of response held and an increase in the proportion of response held by dynamic providers on weekend afternoons.

In the short term, the procurement of these requirements will be facilitated through:

- Mandatory frequency response in the Balancing Mechanism; or
- Contracting in advance in the form of:
  a. firm frequency response (FFR) which is the firm provision of dynamic or non-dynamic response to changes in frequency
  b. frequency control by demand management (FCDM) which provides frequency response through interruption of demand customers.

In the longer term, a number of projects exist to secure a greater proportion of response through demand side providers, on wind farms or highly flexible assets through enhanced frequency response.

3 More information on frequency response requirements and services (including enhanced frequency response) can be found at http://www2.nationalgrid.com/UK/Services/Balancing-services/Frequency-response/

4 Further information on work with demand side providers for wider balancing services can be found at http://www.powerresponsive.com/
Power Responsive

With the rapid pace of changes in the supply side of the energy market, the role that the demand side can play is becoming increasingly important. Demand side response brings with it a range of new opportunities for industrial and commercial businesses. In June 2015 we launched the Power Responsive campaign to bring together key stakeholders to address the challenges of and drive growth in demand side response on behalf of the whole energy industry.

What is the role of Power Responsive?

The role of Power Responsive is to make sure that barriers to achieving growth in demand side response are being addressed. We are focussing on:

- Awareness and understanding: for businesses of the opportunities available in demand side response. Power Responsive takes a lead role in delivering engagement activity and material to convey this message, in collaboration with other key stakeholders
- Financial incentives: ensuring the opportunities to take part in demand side response are clear and accessible to businesses, offering the right incentives to take part. Power Responsive takes an influencing role here, by supporting the organisations that own routes to market in developing solutions that facilitate growth in demand side response participation.

Demand side opportunities

Our product map highlights the potential routes to market for stakeholders interested in taking part in demand side response.
Demand turn-up service

National Grid’s demand turn-up (DTU) service has been developed to support system operability in periods of low demand on the transmission system. The service is aimed at businesses that can shift demand-intensive processes, such as pumping or heating, to times when there is lower demand on the transmission system. Businesses earn a revenue for shifting when they undertake these processes.

The Power Responsive campaign has been used to publicise the opportunity for interested stakeholders to get involved in the DTU service design. Over 100 stakeholders participated in the DTU service design webinar.

Get involved

You can sign up to the campaign distribution list via the Power Responsive website to receive all of the latest updates and opportunities to participate. You can also join the discussion on demand side response opportunities for industrial and commercial businesses on the Power Responsive LinkedIn page.

System security

Managing voltage
The ability to desynchronise generators to secure sufficient negative reserve is limited. This is because a number of flexible generators are currently required to maintain sufficient frequency response, the voltage profile across the country within specified limits, and sufficient system inertia. Flows of reactive power on the system affect voltage levels. Unlike system frequency, which is constant across the network, the voltage profile varies based on prevailing real and reactive supply and demand by location.

System Operator actions to manage high voltage have increased as reactive power demand continues to fall. The growth of embedded generation and energy efficiency measures, coupled with the increased use of cables in the distribution and transmission networks, have all contributed to falling reactive power demand. As demand requirements fall, periods of low demand and lightly loaded cables increase the likelihood of high voltage occurrences.

National Grid has put in place a number of long-term actions to manage occurrences of high voltage. These include a significant capital investment programme in reactive compensation assets, engagement with the distribution network operators, and Grid Code amendments for accessing MVARs (units of reactive power) on solar and wind generation.
In the short term, a number of tools exist that enable the running of machines to access their MVAR capability where forward indications of plant running indicate it is prudent and cost effective to do so. These actions are:

- The increased adoption of spread-indexed contracts. These seek to reflect the generation economics of conventional plant and ensure a minimum guaranteed profitability to run during periods where the machine would otherwise be uneconomic.
- Trading actions within day, whereby National Grid purchases MW of units to make sure they are running.
- Bid-Offer Acceptances in the Balancing Mechanism.

We anticipate an increase in the volume of contracts and/or trading actions. This is because weak market prices for the critical low demand periods lead to less flexible plant being ‘mothballed’ and flexible plant avoiding running overnight.

**Rate of change of frequency (RoCoF)**

As previously discussed, system inertia acts to slow down the rate of frequency change when there is an instantaneous demand or in-feed loss, in the timescales before frequency response takes effect. A risk exists that a substantial in-feed loss could lead to the loss of further embedded generation.

Recommendations of the Joint Distribution and Grid Code working group to change the protection settings on relays for generators above 5 MW are expected to be fully implemented by August this year. In the meantime, some actions are anticipated while the requirements for smaller generators are examined and the programme of changes undertaken.

Actions to curtail the largest loss, or to increase system inertia, are forecast for overnight and weekend afternoon periods. These actions would include:

- Trading on the interconnectors to reduce them from full import or export to curtail the largest loss.
- Taking bids on machines to reduce the largest loss.
- Contracts or trades for additional synchronous machines.

**Black Start**

Black Start is the procedure to recover from a total or partial shutdown of the transmission system, caused by a system disturbance such as an extensive lack of supply. The procedure involves isolated power stations being started individually and gradually being reconnected to the transmission system. In order to maintain this Black Start capability, and ensure restoration timescales can be met, some warming of units is required.

We are currently exploring a number of alternative providers, upgrades at existing sites and new technologies for the economic provision of Black Start services.
System operation

None of the operability issues in this section can be considered in isolation, as actions taken with an individual generator may resolve more than one issue. For example, synchronising a generator may provide support to a local voltage profile while contributing to the system inertia requirement.

Given the tension between synchronising plant and maintaining downward flexibility on the system, units that are able to provide reactive power capability and system inertia, while maintaining low minimum running levels, are preferred to avoid possible wind curtailment. Conversely, the System Operator may avoid taking actions on some generators for this reason. Conventional generation may be left running overnight at a time when wind farms may be curtailed to resolve energy imbalances. This is because the conventional generators are providing frequency response, reactive power support or an inertia contribution. Actions to operate the system are undertaken on an economic basis.
# Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Word Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Balancing Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseload</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBL</td>
<td>BBL Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcm</td>
<td>billion cubic metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BritNed</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCGT</td>
<td>Combined cycle gas turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWV</td>
<td>Composite weather variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressor</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Daily metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSR</td>
<td>Demand side response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De-rating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWIC</td>
<td>East West Interconnector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embedded generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETS</td>
<td>EU Emissions Trading Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES</td>
<td>Future Energy Scenarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generator capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Gigawatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSP</td>
<td>Grid supply points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High summer</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible generation</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUK Interconnector (UK) Limited</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnector</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interconnector</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFA Interconnexion France-Angleterre</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linepack</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linepack swing</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG Liquefied natural gas</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load factor</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVAR Megavar</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Megawatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mcm</td>
<td>Million cubic meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBP</td>
<td>National balancing point (NBP) gas price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETS</td>
<td>National Electricity Transmission System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>National Transmission System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAPM</td>
<td>Negative reserve active power management system notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>Non-daily metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised demand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC2</td>
<td>Operational Code 2 data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCGT</td>
<td>Open cycle gas turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational surplus</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive power</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual balancer</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal normal demand</td>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOR</td>
<td>Short term operating reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station load</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronised</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System inertia</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>System Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSD</td>
<td>Transmission system demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underlying demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKCS</td>
<td>UK Continental Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weather corrected demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weather corrected demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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