# **Delay charges**

# **Wayne Mullins**

# **Summary**

- Transmission Owners (TOs) and consumers face unavoidable, inefficient costs when a contracted customer delays their date of connection to the transmission system.
- Currently Section 14.4 of the CUSC is not explicit that such costs will be recovered from the delaying Customer as an Other Charge.
- Whilst National Grid has provided separate guidance on how & when these charges will be applied, transparency will be further enhanced via a CUSC modification.

#### **Background**

- CMP249 was raised previously to address this issue. The workgroup felt the defect did not allow them to develop the proposal in the way they wished.
- Responding to such industry feedback CMP249 was not progressed further.
- We have since been working to deeply understand the defect that exists in the CUSC.

#### **Case for change**

■ The CUSC is not currently explicit that the cost of delay can be recovered from the relevant party. Transparency could be improved.

If they were not recovered by an appropriate 'Delay Charge' these costs would either fall directly on TOs or consumers, depending on the nature of the delay.

#### **Proposed solution**

- Amend section 14 of the CUSC to modify the Connection Charging Methodology to explicitly describe 'Delay charges'.
- These charges will reflect the full cost incurred by TOs and consumers as a result of transmission investment undertaken earlier than required.
- A license change will be required to enable the SO to return non-TO costs recovered back to consumers.