

## **European Network Code on Operational Planning & Scheduling**

Update to the Joint European Standing Group

**Dipali Raniga**  
16/07/2013

ofgem

# Summary and timeline

- Operational Planning & Scheduling Network Code (OP&S NC): Second of the European Network Codes on System Operation
- Timeline:
  - 2/12/2011: ACER Framework Guidelines on System Operation
  - 24/02/2012: Commission invite ENTSO-E to begin drafting
  - 29/03/2013: OP&S NC and Supporting Document submitted by ENTSO-E to ACER
  - 26/4/2013: ACER Workshop
  - 19/06/2013: ACER opinion sent to ENTSO-E
- ACER issued opinion on OP&S, calling for improvements
- Next steps being discussed between ENTSO-E, ACER and Commission
- [Opinion on the Network Code on Operational Planning and Scheduling](#)

# ACER Opinion - explained

## **OP&S opinion issued by ACER on 19/06/2013**

- OP&S NC is broadly in line with the Framework Guidelines (FWGL) on System Operation and its objectives;
- ACER commends ENTSO-E's effort to align the OP&S NC with the FWGL
- OP&S NC is important for completion and well functioning of the internal market, including the delivery of benefits to customers.
- ACER hope issues identified can be addressed within a reasonable period. ACER fully committed to support ENTSO-E in the process of addressing the issues.
- Similar issues to those identified in ACER Opinion on Operational Security NC

# ACER Opinion – priority issues

- 1. National scrutiny**
- 2. Scope of application**
- 3. Drafting quality**
- 4. Coherence and compatibility with other network codes**
- 5. Performance indicators and forecasting obligations**
- 6. Transparency**

# ACER Opinion (1)

## 1. National Scrutiny

- OP&S NC should be without prejudice to the competences of NRAs under the 3<sup>rd</sup> Package
- Article 4 – list of items for NRA approval should not be construed as exhaustive.
- Clarification of NRA scrutiny of Remedial Actions (as in CACM NC) – Countertrading and Redispatching arrangements
- Further items that should be approved by or notified to NRAs/other national authorities

# ACER Opinion (2)

## 2. Scope and application

- Introduction of ‘Relevant Asset’, including ‘Self Planned Interconnector’ and ‘Relevant Grid Element’
- ‘SPIC’ – lacks clarity and does not allow identifying ambiguously the types of interconnectors it covers.
- Recommendation to delete ‘SPIC’, as sufficiently covered by ‘Relevant Grid Element’
- Recommendation to allow for the different treatment of TSOs e.g. Multiple TSOs within a Member State

# ACER Opinion (3)

## 3. Drafting quality – a few examples

- Drafting improvements suggested that could otherwise affect legal certainty
- Consistency of definitions with other NCs, ACER expects definitions to be harmonised across codes and published on the ENTSO-E website
- Regulatory aspects, e.g. Code should take into account that NRAs need to be informed whenever TSOs can implement the NC without approval in order for NRAs to attend duties effectively
- Availability Plans - hourly granularity seems appropriate for short-term periods, appears too onerous for a year-ahead period – scope should be clarified.
- Improvements to processes for summer and winter Adequacy outlooks

# ACER Opinion (4)

## **4. Coherency and compatibility with other network codes**

- NC OS states OP&S should provide for development of methodology for the definition of the Observability Area, not principles

## **5. Performance Indicators**

- Report with detailed assessment of the performance per country and evolution of selected performance criteria over time required in the FWGL
- Currently no explicit obligation for a TSO to forecast demand or generation from RES and follow the weather forecast
- Adequacy indicator to inform discussions on capacity markets

## **6. Transparency**

- Transparency of D-1 and intraday grid models
- Geographical scope and regionally involved TSOs for multilateral agreements



# Any questions?

dipali.raniga[at]ofgem.gov.uk

**Ofgem is the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets.**

**Our priority is to protect and to make a positive difference for all energy consumers. We work to promote value for money, security of supply and sustainability for present and future generations. We do this through the supervision and development of markets, regulation and the delivery of government schemes.**

**We work effectively with, but independently of, government, the energy industry and other stakeholders. We do so within a legal framework determined by the UK government and the European Union.**