

CMP212: Process for setting minimum limits for claims for Relevant Interruptions



Governance Standing Group, 15 February 2013

Alex Thomason

Background

- CMP212 raised by National Grid in June 2012:
 - No timescales for interruption claims to be raised
 - No timescales for claims to be validated by National Grid
 - There was no minimum values for claims
- CMP212 proposed:
 - 30 calendar day limit for claims to be submitted
 - 60 calendar day limit for National Grid to confirm the validity of a claim, negotiable with claimant
 - £5000 minimal threshold for claims

CMP212 Implementation

- Workgroup developed WACM with zero minimum claims threshold
- Panel voted to implement WACM
- CMP212 WACM was implemented on 24th January 2013
- CUSC sets minimum claims threshold of £1, with ability for Panel to review and change:
 - 5.10.7.2 The “**Interruption Payment threshold**” shall be £1, or such amount up to a maximum of £5,000 as may be determined by the **CUSC Modification Panel** from time to time. In the event of the **CUSC Modification Panel** varying the **Interruption Payment Threshold** such revised threshold shall apply from 30 days after such variation being notified to all **Users**.
- Panel debated how minimum threshold should be set and reviewed
- Referred issue to GSG to develop process

GSG discussion

- 5.10.7.2 states the minimal threshold can be adjusted by the CUSC Panel up to £5000
- What process should the CUSC Panel follow to review the threshold?
 - Annual process based on the number and value of claims received that year?
 - Does the Panel need to consult with industry?