Response Energy Payment



BSSG 30th October 2013

Response Energy Payment

Current CUSC provision

- Low Frequency energy delivered (MWhr) x Market Index Price x 1.25 paid by National Grid to generator
- High Frequency energy reduction (MWhr) x Market Index Price x 0.75 paid by generator to National Grid
- Designed to reflect fuel cost incurred or saved in relation to response energy does not work for renewable generation
- 2012/13 Frequency Response Payment Analysis
 - Holding Payment: £67M
 - Energy Payment: £12.6M
 - Low Frequency: £24M
 - High Frequency: -£12M

Response Energy Payment

- National Grid has proposed three options for the industry to consider
- National Grid's current view:

Option	Formula*	Advantage	Disadvantage
1. Keep the existing methodology	LF: MWhr * MIP * 1.25 HF: - (MWhr * MIP * 0.75)	 No changes are required 	 REP is not reflective for renewables Potential high cost to the industry
2. Reverse the current methodology	LF: - (MWhr * MIP * 0.75) HF: MWhr * MIP * 1.25	 Renewables are compensated to some degree Minimal changes required Future proof 	Does not fully address the loss of ROCs
3. Incorporate specific ROC	LF: - (MWhr *(ROC - MIP*1.25)) HF: MWhr *(ROC – MIP*0.75)	ROCs are correctly reflected in calculations	 Cause optimisation despatch issue Value of ROCs change annually Not future proof

Response Energy Payment

- Proposed Next Steps
 - Consent from the BSSG to take one option further for detailed analysis
 - Present the findings and the proposal in December BSSG
 - Develop the proposal for CUSC Change Panel for decision by April 2014