

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## CMP430/CMP431: Workgroup Meeting 5

---

Date: 05/04/2024

---

### Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer ([Deborah.spencer@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:Deborah.spencer@nationalgrideso.com))

Proposer: Neil Dewar ([neil.dewar@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:neil.dewar@nationalgrideso.com)) / Keren Kelly ([keren.kelly1@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:keren.kelly1@nationalgrideso.com))

---

## Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed Workgroup members and talked through the agenda.

### Actions Log Review

Action owners discussed the remaining actions. A Workgroup member questioned if action 9 is still required. The Ofgem representative and Proposer agreed to keep this action open stating it would still be useful to have a discussion.

Regarding action 11, the Proposer made a point to thank both Elexon representatives for their input into the draft legal text changes and was appreciative of the time dedicated to support in development of the draft legal text. Workgroup members agreed to close Action 11.

### Alternative Vote

The Chair reminded the Workgroup of the previous request to review the proposed Alternative and asked members if they were content to proceed with the Alternative vote. The Chair emphasised the purpose of the Alternative vote is to identify the level of Workgroup support for the proposed Alternative that had been brought forward and to consider if it better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the Original proposal. The Chair outlined the voting options and members agreed they were prepared to vote.

The majority of Workgroup members and the Chair voted against the proposed Alternative with the Chair noting the possibility of it being a modification in its own right. Three of the four Workgroup members voting against the proposed Alternative felt the suggestion was beyond the scope of what is intended to be fixed by the Original defect and solution.

One Workgroup member abstained from the vote explaining it was not yet known how many sites could be affected by the original solution and therefore was unable to conduct an informed vote.

### Legal Text Update

#### CUSC Section 11 – CMP431

The Proposer outlined proposed changes for CMP431 to CUSC Section 11 and explained that in each section two options were being offered for members to consider an appropriate way forward. The first option presented by the Proposer and the second alternative text suggestions provided by Elexon representatives.

- Page 17 “*Connection Type Indicator*” – Workgroup members agreed the second paragraph choice proposed by Elexon was much clearer. The Proposer clarified the second choice had not yet been reviewed by the ESO legal team but noted the preference.

- *Page 24 “Domestic Premises Indicator”* –The Proposer clarified to members ISD (Industry Standing Data) is a new term which will be introduced as part of MHHS changes and the ISD Data item will be defined in BSCP707 Appendix 1. Two options presented to members. No comments from Workgroup members initially and therefore the Proposer requested members to review and narrow down to one option. One member requested more time to review was required but indicated the initial view was leaning towards the second option provided by Elexon.
- *Page 49 “Measurement Class for Non MHHS ‘Metering Systems’”* – no comments made.
- *Page 50 ‘Measurement Class for MHHS ‘Metering Systems’”* - One member questioned that if the ‘TUoS Report’ was a typographical error and questioned if it should be TNUoS. The Proposer clarified this is the actual name of a formally recognised report and confirmed the title is correct.

The Proposer highlighted that although Meter Point Administration Number (MPAN) mentioned throughout these changes is not a defined term in the CUSC as it is readily used and understood. The Proposer questioned if there is a valid reason to use MPAN or would members prefer to use the term ‘metering system’ which is a defined term in the CUSC. The Proposer requested members to check definition consistency with the BSC and asked if there is an opportunity to be consistent in the changes being made as part of this modification. Workgroup members felt this was a larger issue and could not be solved here.

### CUSC Section 14 – CMP430

The Proposer shared updates to the draft legal text and clarified this had not been shared with wider Workgroup members.

- *14.17.13* – Members were asked to consider if the Measurement Class be specified in this section.
- *14.17.19* - The Proposer proposed suggested changes in 14.17.13 should also be included in this section and confirmed this would be discussed with the ESO Revenue team.
- *14.17.40.2* – The Proposer confirmed this clause has been removed as it is no longer applicable and highlighted the impact of a number of numerical sequencing changes as a consequence.
- *Page 92 14.17.40.3* – Workgroup members agreed with the Proposer that these two lines be removed.
- *14.17.41.1 and 14.17.41.2* – The Proposer explained to members that these sections attempted to articulate how charges will be made pre and post migration and how each Measurement Class will be treated. However, the Proposer felt it was not the best way to present the information and noted a preference for the alternative wording and table proposed by Elexon. The Proposer felt this suggestion removes requirement for 14.17.41.1 and 14.17.41.2 and was a cleaner solution to express the information but noted it required more formal wording prior to finalising. One Workgroup member agreed to the inclusion of the table and felt it is an illustrative way to explain obligations to CUSC users and therefore support their understanding. One member asked whether another table would be required for export sites. An action was taken to consider if a further table would be required or whether one table would cover both import and export charging arrangements.

The Proposer informed members that the latest view of MHHS Programme documents published this week can be accessed via the following link [Code Topic Mop Up 2 - MHHS Programme](#) and explained how the BSC documents (BSC Sections and BSCPs) are in the top two sections.

### Workgroup Consultation Review

The Chair informed members that the draft Workgroup consultation would be circulated to members for their comments and discussion will take place in the next Workgroup meeting.

A request was made by the Chair for specific Workgroup consultation questions. The Proposer suggested two initial questions. One question on the impact to CUSC Users and a second question regarding the number of Measurement Class C sites they consider to be domestic as this information is not currently available. A Workgroup member agreed to the inclusion of both questions and suggested Suppliers would be able to provide answers to the second question.

The Chair asked members to supply their ideas for Workgroup specific consultation questions as part of their review of the document.

**Timeline Review**

The Chair highlighted key dates and proposed an extra meeting on 17 April 2024, to which members agreed.

**Next Steps**

- Chair to circulate draft legal text changes and draft Workgroup consultation for Workgroup members to review and provide comments.

**Actions**

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
5	WG2	Proposer	Consider if there is any insight available into impact of Triads over winter 2023 and if this has changed following implementation of the Targeted Charging Review (TCR)		WG3	Open
6	WG3	Proposer	To speak with Ofgem about possible data available through previous RFI		WG4	Open
7	WG3	Proposer	To speak with the Revenue Team to work on information relating to impacted sites.		WG4	Open
9	WG3	Proposer	To meet with Ofgem and MHHS Programme about the interacting timescales and the certainty needed around the Legal Text		WG4	Open
10	WG4	Proposer/ HB	To meet with HB to consider the scenarios for contracts from October.		WG5	Open
11	WG4	Proposer/ Elexon Representatives	To meet to discuss the correct terms to use in the legal text.		WG5	Closed
12	WG5	Proposer/ Elexon Representatives	CUSC Section 14 changes 14.17.41. To consider if a further table is required to include export.		WG6	Open

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Initial</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Role</b>
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Governance, ESO	Chair
Claire Goult	CG	Code Governance, ESO	Tech Sec
Neil Dewar	ND	ESO	Proposer
Keren Kelly	KK	ESO	Proposer Alternate
Lee Stone	LS	Npower Commercial Gas Limited	Workgroup member
Hugh Boyle	HB	EDF Energy	Workgroup member
James Knight	JK	Centrica	Workgroup member
Andrew Colley	AC	SSE Generation	Workgroup member
Karl Maryon	KM	Drax Energy Services	Workgroup member
Neil Geddes	KM	Scottish Power Transmission	Observer
Colin Berry	CB	Elexon	Observer
Jason Brogden	JB	Elexon	Observer
David Tooby	DT	Ofgem	Authority Representative