Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: GC0164 Workgroup 4

Date: 25/03/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer (Code Governance) deborah.spencer@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Frank Kasibante (ESO) frank.kasibante1@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed the Workgroup and shared the meeting agenda, highlighting the key areas of discussion. The purpose of this meeting is to review the timeline and actions log, and to consider the purposed legal text updates and Workgroup Consultation questions.

Timeline

The Chair updated the Workgroup on the timeline and expectations, the Workgroup had no comments.

Action Review

The Workgroup reviewed the Actions Log and agreed to close actions 9, 10 and 11.

Legal Text Review

OC2.3.1.3.2 – The Proposer advised that the suggestion made by a Workgroup member in the previous meeting to add an introductory line to the tables, so that it was clear that they don't replace the legal text, has been incorporated; In reference to the tables the Proposer also added that he had some feedback with regards to the colors and the need to consider the possibility that the reader is unable to see the colors in the table. A Workgroup member asked if the text is added is the color key still required? Also, the Workgroup member mention the need to consider the formatting of the table in terms of size and the choice of colors used. The Proposer will consider those and revert to the Workgroup.

NETS definition A Workgroup member suggested to just add "(NETS)" to the main definition. The Proposer advised that he would confirm that is ok to do just that change for now, and possibly do a house keeping modification at a later date to change the rest of the Grid Code.

Generators Performance Charts – A Workgroup member queried that if the Appendix G is in the Planning Code, why is the reference in the Operational Code? The Proposer confirmed that there is no reference on the Operating Code about the Generators Performance Charts, and that the key question was about where in the Planning Code the reference should be introduced. The Proposer will have an offline conversation with the Workgroup member to see if they can find a hook in the Planning Code to add the reference and revert to the Workgroup in the next meeting.

A Workgroup member questioned the Proposer about the baseline used for the legal text and mentioned that GC0156 has been approved and the baseline has been updated. The Proposer stated that he has been working on the legal text and has considered all the changes made to the baseline by GC0156 approval. The Proposer will re-baseline the legal text into the current one (with GC0156 changes incorporated) and will share the text with the Workgroup for review.

Consider Workgroup Consultation Questions

When considering the Workgroup consultation questions, the Workgroup members suggested adding the following:

1

ESO

- Do you think that the changes proposed make it easier to understand than the previous version?
- Do you agree that the Grid Code obligations wouldn't change if this version is implemented when comparing to the existing version?
- Do you agree with the Workgroup view that there should be only 1 set of Glossary and Definitions applicable to the entire Grid Code?
- Do you agree with the proposed change to move the position of the Generators Performance Charts from the Operating Code to the Planning Code?

A Workgroup member suggested adding the legal text reference table (shared by the Proposer previously with the Workgroup which illustrated the changes made to OC2), to the Workgroup Consultation document. This would make it easier for the respondents to understand the suggested changes.

AOB

Considering the Easter Holiday period, the Chair asked the Workgroup if they had any objection to
moving the next Workgroup meeting to the week commencing 8th of April. The Workgroup members
were open to the change and the Chair agreed to send dates to check for availability.

Next Steps

- The Chair to share possible dates for the next Workgroup meeting with the Workgroup members.
- The Proposer to re-baseline the legal text into the current baseline.

Actions

For the full action log, click here.

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
9	WG3	Proposer and SW	Review wording in Figure 2 Summary of obligations from end of week 8 to end of week 34 subclause (d).		WG4	Closed
10	WG4	Proposer	Proposer to consider amending table to clarify the expectation of the Generator		WG4	Closed
11	WG4	Proposer	Proposer to cross reference the definition of 'Maximum Export Limit' with other codes		WG4	Closed
12	WG4	Proposer	To consider the table in OC2.3.2.3.2 and the need for the key to remain. To consider the formatting, size, and color scheme.		WG 5	Open
13	WG4	Proposer/ GV	To get together and try to understand if there is a hook in the Planning Code to add the reference about the Generators Performance Charts.		WG5	Open

Meeting summary

ESO

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Governance, ESO	Chair
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Governance, ESO	Tech Sec
Frank Kasibante	FK	ESO	Proposer
Andrew Colley	ACY	SSE	Workgroup Member
Andy Keegan	AK	National Grid	Workgroup Member
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Networks	Workgroup Member
Paul Richardson	PR	Northern Powergrid	Workgroup Member
Richard Wilson	RW	UKPN	Workgroup Member
Stewart Wylie	SW	SSEN Distribution	Workgroup Member
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Powergrid	Workgroup Alternate
Issac Gutierrez	IG	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Michael Preston	MP	K2 Management	Observer