All documents referred to in the Modification Register are available on the National Grid STC website.

CA046

INCLUSION OF GENERATOR BUILD OPTIONS WITHIN THE STC

Proposer National Grid

Submitted

22/11/11

Submitted Normal Priority

Amendment Description

Current status and progress

In July 2010, Ofgem outlined their intention to consult on providing the option for generator developers to take responsibility for all aspects of design, procurement and construction of transmission infrastructure which would then be transferred to an appointed Offshore Transmission Owner (OFTO) through a competitive tender process. This 'Generator build' option was in addition to the 'OFTO build' approach which had already been proposed by Ofgem. Following Ofgem consultations in August 2010 and November 2010, it was agreed to provide OFTO and Generator build options. Following the implementation of these Generator Led (OFTO and Generator build) changes to the Grid Code and CUSC, the STC now needs to be changed to reflect the possibility that an offshore transmission network.

CA046 was submitted to the 22nd November 2011 STC Committee meeting. At the STC Committee meeting on 25th January 2012, the Committee approved the Proposal to progress to the Evaluation Phase. Evaluation Phase meetings took place on 29th March 2012, 2nd May 2012 and 13th June 2012. A draft Workgroup report was submitted to the July 2012 STC Committee meeting. Due to a variety of issues identified which may impact the progress of this proposal, NGET proposed to withdraw CA046 with a view to resubmitting once issues have been resolved. At the August 2012 Committee meeting, it was agreed to progress CA046 to the Assessment and Report phase, rather than withdraw at this stage. It is envisaged the various issues previously identified will be able to be resolved within the appropriate timeframe. The Proposed Modification Report was submitted to the STC Modification Panel on 21st November 2012. It was approved and issued out to Industry Consultation on 30th November 2012 and closed on 4th January 2013, with no responses being received. At the January 2013 Modification Panel meeting, the Panel approved CA046 for submission to the Authority. The Modification Report was submitted for determination to the Authority on 6th February 2013. On 11th March 2013, the Authority approved CA046 and it was implemented on 25th March 2013.

AMENDMENT TO SECTION K TO PROVIDE OFTOS WITH THE CAPABILITY TO RESPOND TO REACTIVE POWER CA049 **INSTRUCTIONS WITHIN 2 MINUTES**

Authority. During March, April and May 2013, a number of meetings took place to resolve outstanding issues with the Final Modification Report. The Final Modification Report was submitted to the Authority for a decision on 3rd May 2013 and following some minor comments from the Authority, was resubmitted on 10th May 2013. On 11th June 2013, the Authority approved CA049 and it was implemented on 25th June 2013.

Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	Submitted	Normal	
		26/07/12	Priority		
Amendment D	escription		Current status and progre	SS	
Section K of the STC is to be amended to oblige offshore transmission systems to provide OFTOs with the capability to change the reactive output at the Interface Point in accordance with an instruction given by NGET within 2 minutes of receiving that instruction.		CA049 was submitted to the July 2012 STC Committee meeting, where it was agreed that the Proposal should be progressed to Assessment and Report Phase. The draft Initial Amendment Report was submitted to the August 2012 Committee meeting and impact assessments requested. The Proposed Modification Report was submitted to the September 2012 Committee Meeting and approved by the STC Modification Panel on 14 th November 2012. CA049 was issued out for Industry Consultation on 15 th November 2012 and closed on 13 th December 2012, with a total of 4 responses being received. At the January 2013 Modification Panel, an extension of one month was granted by the Authority to allow the Modification Report to be finalised. At the February 2013 Modification Panel, a further extension of one month was requested by the STC Modification Panel to allow for resolution of issues relating to the Final Modification Report. The extension was approved by the			

CA050		CODE GOVERNA	
Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	
		26/06/13	

CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW PHASE 2 – SELF GOVERNANCE

Submitted

Priority

In April 2012, Ofgem announced their intention to initiate a Code Governance Review (CGR Phase 2) which would look at extending the arrangements introduced to the CUSC, UNC and BSC to the other codes. Following on from this, Ofgem published a consultation on their CGR (Phase 2) Proposals in September 2012 and subsequently announced their CGR (Phase 2) final proposals on 27 March 2013. This second phase focused on extending the CGR conclusions to further industry codes, and included:

- Extending the scope of Self-Governance across codes;
- Applying Significant Code Review procedures uniformly, allowing for holistic cross-code reviews; and
- Improving and aligning code administration practices

This Modification Proposal seeks to introduce into the STC, a new Self-Governance process for those modifications which are deemed to have non-material changes or impacts to the following:

- Customers
- Competition
- Security of Supply
- Sustainable Development

Amendment Description

Where it is determined that a Modification Proposal will not have a material impact in line with the criteria set out in the transmission licence, the Self-Governance route would expedite the process of implementing a Modification Proposal by not requiring the Authority to make a determination on that Proposal, instead leaving the decision to the STC Modification Panel (the "Panel") CA050 was submitted to the June 2013 STC Modification Panel meeting and the Panel approved the Proposal to progress to the Assessment and Report Phase.

Normal

CA051 CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW		CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW PHASE 2 – TH	W PHASE 2 – THE SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW (SCR)		
Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	Submitted	Normal	
		26/06/13	Priority		

Amendment Description

In April 2012, Ofgem announced their intention to initiate a Code Governance Review (CGR Phase 2) which would look at extending the arrangements introduced to the CUSC, UNC and BSC to the other codes. Following on from this, Ofgem published a consultation on their CGR (Phase 2) Proposals in September 2012 and subsequently announced their CGR (Phase 2) final proposals on 27 March 2013. This second phase focused on extending the CGR conclusions to further industry codes, and included:

- Extending the scope of Self-Governance across codes;
- Applying Significant Code Review procedures uniformly, allowing for holistic cross-code reviews; and
- Improving and aligning code administration practices

This Modification Proposal seeks to introduce into the STC one of the proposals highlighted in CGR (Phase 1), the Significant Code Review (SCR). The SCR process seeks to require certain licence holders to raise code modifications in line with the conclusions set out by the Authority following an SCR. The SCR will allow the Authority to initiate a review of one or more matters which they consider to:

- Have significant impacts on electricity consumers or competition;
- Have significant impacts on the environment, security of supply or sustainable development;
- Create significant cross code or cross licence issues;
- Have a significant impact on the Authority's principle objectives (under section 3A of the Act), statutory functions or relevant obligations bound by EU law.

Current status and progress

CA051 was submitted to the June 2013 STC Modification Panel meeting and the Panel approved the Proposal to progress to the Assessment and Report Phase.

CA052 CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW PHASE 2 – THE SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW (SCR) ROLE OF CODE ADMINISTRATOR AND CODE ADMINISTRATION

Proposer	National Grid

Submitted 26/06/13

Amendment Description

In April 2012, Ofgem announced their intention to initiate a Code Governance Review (CGR Phase 2) which would look at extending the arrangements introduced to the CUSC, UNC and BSC to the other codes. Following on from this, Ofgem published a consultation on their CGR (Phase 2) Proposals in September 2012 and subsequently announced their CGR (Phase 2) final proposals on 27 March 2013. This second phase focused on extending the CGR conclusions to further industry codes, and included:

- Extending the scope of Self-Governance across codes;
- Applying Significant Code Review procedures uniformly, allowing for holistic cross-code reviews; and
- Improving and aligning code administration practices

As part of the suite of CGR Modification Proposals, one of the areas reviewed was the Role of Code Administrator and the creation of a Code of Practice (CACoP). Ofgem's final proposals seek to enable the Code Administrator to assist parties to adhere to the principles contained within the CACoP (which was implemented on 31 December 2010). The CACoP aims to facilitate convergence and transparency in code Modification processes and was developed by Code Administrators, with input from code users and Ofgem, in order to establish best practice for code administration and to encourage participation from those involved in the energy industry who may not be code users.

Submitted Normal Priority

Current status and progress

CA052 was submitted to the June 2013 STC Modification Panel meeting and the Panel approved the Proposal to progress to the Assessment and Report Phase.

CA053 **CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW PHASE 2 – THE SEND BACK PROCESS**

Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	Submitted Priority
		26/06/13	
Amendment Description		C	Current status and progres

In April 2012, Ofgem announced their intention to initiate a Code Governance Review (CGR Phase 2) which would look at extending the arrangements introduced to the CUSC, UNC and BSC to the other codes. Following on from this, Ofgem published a consultation on their CGR (Phase 2) Proposals in September 2012 and subsequently announced their CGR (Phase 2) final proposals on 27 March 2013. This second phase focused on extending the CGR conclusions to further industry codes, and included:

- Extending the scope of Self-Governance across codes; •
- Applying Significant Code Review procedures uniformly, allowing for holistic cross-code reviews; and
- Improving and aligning code administration practices •

This Modification proposal seeks to introduce into the STC one of the proposals highlighted in CGR (Phase 1), the Send Back process. National Grid propose to introduce the ability for the Authority to 'send back' a Modification Report in instances where we are unable to make a decision based on, for example, a technical flaw or deficiency in the analysis.

Current status and progress

CA053 was submitted to the June 2013 STC Modification Panel meeting and the Panel approved the Proposal to progress to the Assessment and Report Phase.

Normal

CA054 CODE GOVERNANCE REVIEW PHASE 2 – THE SEND BACK PROCESS

Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	Submitted		
		26/06/13			
			_		

Amendment Description

In April 2012, Ofgem announced their intention to initiate a Code Governance Review (CGR Phase 2) which would look at extending the arrangements introduced to the CUSC, UNC and BSC to the other codes. Following on from this, Ofgem published a consultation on their CGR (Phase 2) Proposals in September 2012 and subsequently announced their CGR (Phase 2) final proposals on 27 March 2013. This second phase focused on extending the CGR conclusions to further industry codes, and included:

- Extending the scope of Self-Governance across codes;
- Applying Significant Code Review procedures uniformly, allowing for holistic cross-code reviews; and
- Improving and aligning code administration practices

This Modification Proposal seeks to introduce a new Fast-Track Self-Governance process for those modifications which are deemed to have non material changes or impacts to the

following;

- Customers
- Competition
- security of Supply
- Sustainable Development

This Modification Proposal would enable the STC Modification Panel (the "Panel) to make a decision on whether Fast-Track Self-Governance be applied based on the content of the Modification Report. It is judged that the 'Fast-Track' process should be implemented for very minor changes such as updating names and addresses, minor typographical errors or other formatting errors. The Panel can then specify the corrective action that it believes is required to be taken without need to consult with industry parties and follow the normal Self-Governance modification process.

Submitted Normal Priority

Current status and progress

CA054 was submitted to the June 2013 STC Modification Panel meeting and the Panel approved the Proposal to progress to the Assessment and Report Phase.