Consultation Response Proforma

## C16 and Relevant Balancing Services (RBS) Consultation Appendix A

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on 5th January 2024**

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact: [balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

## C16 Review: Informal Consultation

Please express your views regarding the consultation updates to the C16 statements in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

| **Procurement Guidelines Statement (PGS)** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e. version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the wording on system management contracts on P14?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the updates to the wording for Future Requirements for Part 2 System Ancillary Services on P16?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the addition of Stability to the list of Commercial Ancillary Services on P18?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you object to the removal of the wording related to Network Development Roadmap found on P18-P20?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines Statement for Balancing Reserve on P20?  Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines Statement for Voltage Network Services Procurement on P24?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines Statement for Constraint Management Intertrip Service (CMIS) on P27?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 9 | Do you object to the removal of the wording for Operational Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM) from the Procurement Guidelines Statement on P27?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 10 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines for Demand Flexibility Service on P28?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 11 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines Statement for Stability on P28?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 12 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Applicable Balancing Services Volume Data Methodology Statement (ABSVD)** | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the ABSVD Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you object to the removal of wording for Operational Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM) from P19?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to ABSVD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Balancing Principles Statement** | | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Balancing Principles Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 2 | Do you agree to the updates to wording in Section 2.3 Control Phase - Pre Gate Closure in the Balancing Principles Statement on P25?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the wording on Regulating Reserve in the Balancing Principles Statement on P29?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 4 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Balancing Principles Statement? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Balancing Services Adjustment Data (BSAD) Methodology Statement** | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the BSAD Methodology Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you object to the removal of wording for Operational Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM) from P13?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you object to the removal of wording for Operational Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM) from P19?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you object to the removal of wording for Operational Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM) from P20?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD Methodology Statement? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **System Management Action Flagging (SMAF) Methodology Statement** | | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the SMAF Methodology Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 2 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to SMAF? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. | |

## RBS Guidelines Review

Please express your views regarding the consultation for updates to the RBS Guidelines in the right-hand side of the table below:

|  |
| --- |
| **Relevant Balancing Service Guidelines (RBS)** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Relevant Balancing Service Guidelines in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the addition of Balancing Reserve to the list of Relevant Balancing Services in Part 1 on P1?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the RBS Guidelines? Or any other changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

## Additional Questions to industry

Please express your views regarding additional questions to industry in the right hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Additional Questions** | | |
| 1 | Do you believe consumer LCM imbalances should be corrected via ABSVD for demand turn up providers, as per existing C16 arrangements?  Please provide rationale.  NOTE: please state if you are answering as*:*  (A) a BSC-registered Supplier (and contracted-partner), or  (B) an independent aggregator flex provider or  (C) other (please specify). | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you have any feedback on the potential proposed (LCM Provider-optional) compensation alternative to ABSVD? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Where the Provider is also the BSC-registered party Supplier for a consenting MPAN, should the LCM Provider also be permitted an opt-out of the existing intended ABSVD mechanism?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |