

## Agenda

- Quick overview
- CDCM model
- EDCM model
- $\quad \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{A}$ (throughout and final session)


## All DUoS charges consist of up to five tariff elements

Fixed charges are levied on a pence per day basis.
Units charges are levied on a pence per kWh basis.
Agreed capacity charges are levied on a pence per kVA per day basis for capacity which a customer has 'reserved' on the network.

Excess capacity charges are levied on a pence per kVA per day basis for capacity used in excess of agreed capacity.

Reactive power charges are levied on a pence per kVArh basis.

Not all customers are subject to all charging elements, e.g. domestic customers do not have an agreed capacity so face no explicit agreed capacity charge

## Revenue recovery is dominated by domestic customers and by unit charges

- For 2018/19 DUoS charges, for GB as a whole the 'cake' was $£ 5.9$ bn.
- The biggest 'slice' of the 'cake' relates to domestic customers.
- The biggest 'layer' of the HV Half Hourly 'slice' relates to the unit charges, followed by capacity charges.
- Fixed charges (including capacity) account for $20 \%$ of the 'layers'.
- Unit charges (including reactive power) account for the remaining 80\%.



## CDCM Detail

## CDCM Overview

- The CDCM model calculates a set of charges for HV and LV connected customers
- Includes demand and generation
- Includes IDNOs charges using PCDM discounts
- Allows each DNO to recover their allowed revenue
- Tariffs and tariff structures in the CDCM are intended to reflect the costs and cost drivers of providing distribution services.
- The CDCM model charges are based on a 500 MW network reinforcement


## The 500MW model

- The costing model underpinning the CDCM is commonly called the '500MW Model'.
- In the CDCM model this is labelled 'Gross asset cost by network level'.
- Each DNO calculates the cost of developing a hypothetical 500MW increment to their network to serve typical demand in their region.
- 500 MW roughly represents the demand at a typical grid supply point.
- The cost is calculated at each network level.
- This starts at 132 kV (the highest voltage assets a DNO owns) and ends with the LV main and excludes LV services - it only includes assets used by more than one customer.
- It includes transformation separately (i.e. the cost of $132 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{EHV}$ transformation is separate to 132 kV and EHV cables).


## 500MW model example



## Input SHEET 1 - Tariff Specific Inputs

- Load Factor
- Uses 365 by 48 data for NHH and HH data aggregated by tariff type
- Average usage divided by the max usage of the tariff type
- 3 year average
- Coincidence Factor
- Uses 365 by 48 data for NHH and HH data aggregated by tariff type
- Usage by Tariff type at system peak divided by the max usage of the tariff type
- 3 year average
- Volume forecast
- WPD uses an in house bespoke system to create volume forecasts
- Extracts historical data straight from the billing system
- Data is normalised for e.g. weather correction, working days and total days
- Create forecasts using uplifts from rolling 12/24 month average trends
- Override when needed
- Apply adjustments for other factors e.g. economic/ tariff movements


## Input SHEET 1 - Tariff Specific Inputs

- Service Models
- Domestic
- Cost for Domestic uses 20 standard customers and is divided by 20
- Idea is to replicate a street
- Non - Domestic
- LV and HV Uses equipment depending on the size of the customer
- Both LV and HV use costs for sample U/G and O/H customers and split proportionately by number of customers


## Input SHEET 2 - Network Level Specific Inputs

- Diversity Allowance
- Losses
- Based on October Losses submission to Elexon
- Average KVAR by KVA
- Uses power factors at given voltage level
- Only affects the reactive power price
- Customer contributions
- Represents the \% contributed to at the time of connection for each voltage of connection by network level
- Formula created at the beginning of the CDCM
- Uses historical data
- DCP to update the method of calculation was rejected
- 500 mw models
- Cost of creating 500mw increment to the network
- Costs within the models change


## Input SHEET 2 - Network Level Specific Inputs

- Peaking probabilities
- Uses the data from a version of table 3 LTDS
- Apportion the max at each GSP/ BSP/ Primary into red/ black amber green time bands
- Add up time band totals for the GSPs, BSPs and Primaries separately
- Calculate the weighted proportion of each of the GSPs occurring in each time band
- Calculate the weighted proportion of each of the BSPs occurring in each time band
- Calculate the weighted proportion of each of the Primaries occurring in each time band
- This then creates a probabilistic method of determining the future occurrence of peaks in the time bands
- Averaged over 3 years


## Input SHEET 3 - General Inputs

- Hours in time band
- These are black yellow green and red amber green
- Black is the same as red except between November and February
- These are the actual number of hours in the charging year
- LDNO Discounts
- Straight output from the PCDM
- CDCM Revenue
- Close to the DCP66A allowed revenue format
- EDCM revenue comes direct from the EDCM model
- Real pre-tax cost of capital

```
Pretax cost of capital = (Gearing assumption*CDE) + ((1-gearing assumption)* Posttax cost of equity)
    (1 - Corporation Tax rate)
```


## Input SHEET 3 - General Inputs

- Transmission Exit Charges
- Forecast Exit charges for the charging year
- Direct and Indirect Costs
- Taken from the M16 report
- Forecast for the charging year
- Network rates
- Forecast business rates for the charging year


## CALCULATION SHEET 1 - Standing charge factors

- Standing charge factors determine the proportion of unit costs allocated to capacity or fixed charges rather than unit rates.
- Specific to each customer category and vary by network level.

|  | Domestic Aggregated | Domestic Aggregated (Related MPAN) | Non- <br> Domestic <br> Aggregated | Non- <br> Domestic <br> Aggregated <br> (Related <br> MPAN) | LV Site Specific | LV Sub Site Specific | HV Site Specific | Unmetered Supplies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission exit | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| GSPs | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 132kV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 132kV/EHV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| EHV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% |
| EHV/HV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 132kV/HV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| HV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| HV/LV | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| LV circuits | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## CALCULATION SHEET 2 - Load \& Loss Characteristics

- This is an interim calculation sheet
- The first section of this sheet has two functions:
- To calculate cumulative diversity allowances, by network level.
- To adjust coincidence, diversity, loss adjustment and network use factors for the share of load going through $132 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{HV}$ transformation, by network level and customer category.
- The second section of the sheet calculates:
- user loss factors (i.e. the loss adjustment factor based on the network level at which customers are connected); and
- the ratio of user loss factor to network loss factor (used to adjust load measured at a user's entry/exit point to an equivalent load at each network level).


## CALCULATION SHEET 3 - Customer Contributions

- Maps each customer category to the network level at which they are supplied
- Maps customer contributions by network level of supply
- Maps estimated customer contributions for each customer group by network level

|  | 132kV | 132kV/EHV | EHV | EHV/HV | 132kV/HV | HV | HV/LV | LV circuits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Aggregated | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Domestic Aggregated (Related MPAN) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Non-Domestic Aggregated | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Non-Domestic Aggregated (Related MPAN) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Site Specific | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Sub Site Specific | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| HV Site Specific | 0\% | 67\% | 67\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Unmetered Supplies | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Generation Aggregated | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Sub Generation Aggregated | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| LV Generation Site Specific | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Generation Site Specific no RP Charge | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| LV Sub Generation Site Specific | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| LV Sub Generation Site Specific no RP Charge | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 81\% | 0\% | 81\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| HV Generation Site Specific | 0\% | 67\% | 67\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| HV Generation Site Specific no RP Charge | 0\% | 67\% | 67\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## CALCULATION SHEET 4 - Volume Adjustments

- Applies LDNO discounts to volumes for all customer categories
- Uses mapping of customers to LDNO discount categories specified in the Fixed inputs sheet.
- Discounted volumes are then used throughout the CDCM model
- E.g.

| Unit rate 1 |  | Domestic Unrestricted |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| All the way customers | MWh | $396,000.00$ |
| LDNOLV | MWh | $2,299.84$ |
| LDNOHV | MWh | $6,536.87$ |
| LDNOLV discount rate applied | $\%$ | $\underline{38 \%}$ |
| LDNOHV discount rate applied | $\%$ | $\underline{58 \%}$ |
| LDNOLV, discounted | MWh | $1,424.85$ |
| LDNOHV, discounted | MWh | $2,739.54$ |
| Totalafter discounting | MWh | $\underline{400,164.39}$ |

## CALCULATION SHEET 5 - Pseudo Load Coefficients

- Step 1: calculate ratio of coincidence to load factor assuming units evenly spread within time bands, and peak falls in Red period
- Step 2: calculate correction factor
- Load coefficient divided by ratio to load factor
- Load coefficient is Coincidence to peak divided by load factor
- Step 3: calculate ratio of coincidence factor (to network asset peak) to load factor, given peaking probabilities, multiplied by correction factor
- Ratio of coincidence factor is Peaking probabilities for network level divided by proportion of hours in time band
- Step 4: Calculate Pseudo load coefficients
- Correction factor multiplied by ratio of coincidence factor


## CALCULATION SHEET 5 - Pseudo Load Coefficients E.g. Domestic Unit Rate 1 For the GSP

- Step 1: calculate ratio of coincidence $1.24=7.42 \%$ X 8760 (Hours in the year)/522 (Hours in red period)
- Step 2: calculate correction factor 1.7 = (91\% (coincidence to peak) /43\% (Load Factor)) / 1.24
- Step 3: calculate ratio of coincidence factor $15.48=92 \%$ (peaking probabilities at GSP) / (522 / 8760)
- Step 4: Calculate Pseudo load coefficients $26.34=15.48 \times 1.7$
- Simple way of looking at them
- Peaking probs X coincidence factor / Load Factor / \% usage in red period
- The higher the numerators the higher the charge
- and the higher the denominators the lower the charge


## CALCULATION SHEET 6 - System Peak Demand

- Calculates system peak load that is used to allocate other operating costs and calculate unit costs in other parts of the model.
- Firstly calculates the unit rate contribution to system peak load
- Pseudo load coefficient X Discounted volumes divided by hours in a year for each tariff for each unit rate (loss adjusts this figure)
- Aggregates the units together
- Aggregates the tariffs together
- Secondly calculates the capacity contribution to system peak
- Standing charge factors X Estimated capacity (for NHH) or Actual Capacity (for HH ) divided by ( 1 + Diversity) (loss adjusts this figure)
- NHH estimated capacity is total discounted load divided by ( Hours in year X Load Factor)
- Thirdly aggregates the unit and the capacity contribution


## CALCULATION SHEET 7 - Service Model Assets

- Calculates the total notional value of service model assets - split by LV and HV customers.
- Multiplies the service model values per unit by the equivalent volumes for each customer category (e.g. per MPAN or per MWh for UMS customers).
- Total service model notional asset values are exported to the 'Unit cost' sheet.


## CALCULATION SHEET 8 - Unit Costs

- The unit cost sheet calculates the two main unit costs used throughout the rest of the model:
- Asset costs ( $£ / \mathrm{kW} /$ year), based on the annuitised cost of assets required for reinforcing the network to meet a 500 MW increment of demand.
- Other operating costs ( $£ / \mathrm{kW} /$ year), captures the cost of other operating expenditure and transmission exit charges.
- The first section of the sheet calculates asset unit costs using three basic steps:
- Calculates additional simultaneous load at each network level from an increment of 500MW at GSP
- Adjusts 500 in 500 MW for losses and diversity between top and bottom of network level.
- Divide the cost of assets required to meet the 500 MW of incremental demand by additional simultaneous load at each network level.
- Multiply the result of step 2 by the annuity factor, which is based on assumptions of the DNOs' allowed real rate of return and an assumed network asset life


## CALCULATION SHEET 8 - Unit Costs

- To calculate unit costs for other operating unit costs.
- The first step is to calculate a notional asset value for each network level based on estimated system peak demand and annuitized asset costs for each network level.
- Notional asset values are then combined with service model asset values.
- Uplift 500 mw model to simultaneous max demand
- Operating costs are then allocated based on the share of total notional asset value at each network level, and divided by system peak demand, to give a $£ / \mathrm{kW}$ cost for other operating costs.


## CALCULATION SHEET 9 - Initial Unit Rates

- The Initial unit rates sheet calculates unit rate 1 , unit rate 2 and unit rate 3 for demand and generation customers
- [p/kWh from network model assets] = 100*[network level $£ / \mathrm{kW} /$ year]*[user loss factor]/[network level loss factor]*[pseudo load coefficient]*(1- [contribution proportion])/[days in charging year]/24
- [p/kWh from operations] = $100^{*}[$ transmission exit or other expenditure
- $£ / \mathrm{kW} /$ year]*[user loss factor]/[network level loss factor]*[pseudo load coefficient] /[days in charging year]/24


## CALCULATION SHEET 10 - Service Model Charges

- Calculates charges at the LV customer and HV customer network levels to reflect operating and maintenance costs associated with dedicated service model assets.
- Calculated by multiplying service model asset value by the overall ratio of "other operating costs for allocation" to "notional asset value".
- The cost of the assets themselves are not charged for,.
- Assumed to be entirely customer-contributed.
- Service model costs are recovered through the fixed charge for all customer categories which have one.


## CALCULATION SHEET 11 - Units Rate Charges

- Adjusts unit rates 1, 2 and 3 to account for costs that will be recovered through fixed or capacity charges instead.
- Multiplying unit rates by (1-[standing charge factors])
- Calculation done by network level
- Initial unit rates are sourced from the 'Initial unit rates' sheet for all network levels except LV customers. This network level includes operating costs associated with service model assets for unmetered supply customer categories.
- Unit rates 1, 2 and 3 (pre revenue matching) are summarised at the bottom of the sheet for each customer category, aggregating over network levels.


## CALCULATION SHEET 12 - Reactive power charges

- Reactive power charges = yardstick charges X (1- standing charge factors) X (KVARs by KVA) X power factor
- Yardstick demand charges are from initial unit rates
- Yardstickgeneration charges
- Loss adjusted unit costs minus customer contributions


## CALCULATION SHEET 13 - Capacity charges

- Allocates For demand users (other than UMS), unit rates to capacity charge elements (p/kVA/day)
- Loss adjusted, diversity adjusted, power factor adjusted unit costs $X$ standing charge factor $X$ ( 1 - customer contributions)
- NHH is added to fixed charge
- Excess capacity charge does not have the adjustment for customer contributions


## CALCULATION SHEET 14 - Fixed charges

- Brings in the service model element of the fixed charges and the capacity element for NHH customers together


## Revenue from pre-scaled tariffs

- Pre-scaled tariffs would be very unlikely to recover the DNO's allowed revenue if left unaltered.
- This is because the underlying cost basis of the 500 MW model is (intentionally) very different to the cost basis which is used to make up revenue allowances.
- Revenue allowances include significant elements of sunk costs which are not included in the forward-looking 500MW model.
- The revenue which would be derived from pre-scaled tariffs is determined by taking the DNO's forecast of usage for each customer group and applying the pre-scaled tariffs.


## Determination of the residual

- CDCM target revenue is determined by taking total revenue allowances and deducting any revenue recovered elsewhere - i.e. revenue recovered in the EDCM.
- The residual is the difference between CDCM target revenue and the revenue which would be derived from pre-scaled tariffs.


## Application Of Residual

- Tariff scaling is achieved through the use of a fixed adder.
- Apply the same p/kWh adjustment to every unit rate
- Where tariff scaling is negative this could result in negative unit rates for demand - so tariffs are capped at zero and the fixed adder adjusted to ensure sufficient negative scaling still takes place after the capping.
- Generally, all licensees except the London region have positive scaling with London having negative scaling.
- This makes scaling look very complicated in the models - where positive scaling is being applied it is as simple as residual divided by total unit forecast.
- Following the TCR decision the method of scaling will change and be recovered by a fixed charge
- Due to he imnlemented in Anril 2027 nrices


## Disaggregation of the CDCM



## CDCM Scenario Analysis

|  |  | HV Site Specific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Price |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change In | Price |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transformation | Unit rate <br> 1 $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit rate } \\ & 2 \\ & \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unit rate $3$ $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh}$ | Fixed charge p/MPAN/ day | Capacity charge p/kVA/d ay | Exceede <br> d <br> capacity <br> charge <br> p/kVA/d <br> ay | Reactive power charge p/kVArh | Unit rate <br> 1 <br> p/kWh | Unit rate $2$ $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh}$ | Unit rate <br> 3 <br> p/kWh | Fixed charge p/MPAN/ day | Capacity charge p/kVA/d ay | Exceede <br> d <br> capacity <br> charge <br> p/kVA/d <br> ay | Reactive power charge p/kVArh |
| Base Case |  | 6.084 | 1.484 | 1.368 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario2 | Increase Load factor to 100\% | 4.878 | 1.459 | 1.373 | 96.03 | 2.97 | 6.76 | 0.04 | -1.206 | -0.025 | 0.005 | 0.73 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.014 |
| Scenario3 | Increase Coincidence factor to 100\% | 7.424 | 1.512 | 1.362 | 94.49 | 2.92 | 6.72 | 0.07 | 1.34 | 0.028 | -0.006 | -0.81 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.016 |
| Scenario4 | Double rate one units | 3.862 | 1.408 | 1.347 | 95.43 | 2.95 | 6.75 | 0.054 | -2.222 | -0.076 | -0.021 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 |
| Scenario5 | Double rate two units | 7.926 | 1.41 | 1.245 | 93.4 | 2.89 | 6.68 | 0.054 | 1.842 | -0.074 | -0.123 | -1.9 | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0 |
| Scenario6 | Double rate three units | 8.157 | 1.41 | 1.24 | 93.89 | 2.91 | 6.7 | 0.054 | 2.073 | -0.074 | -0.128 | -1.41 | -0.04 | -0.04 | 0 |
| Scenario7 | Double MPANs | 6.077 | 1.484 | 1.368 | 95 | 2.94 | 6.73 | 0.054 | -0.007 | 0 | 0 | -0.3 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0 |
| Scenario8 | Double capacity | 5.941 | 1.478 | 1.366 | 88.43 | 2.74 | 6.53 | 0.053 | -0.143 | -0.006 | -0.002 | -6.87 | -0.21 | -0.21 | -0.001 |
| Scenario9 | Double excess capacity | 6.082 | 1.484 | 1.368 | 95.26 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.054 | -0.002 | 0 | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario10 | Double reactive | 6.083 | 1.484 | 1.367 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.054 | -0.001 | 0 | -0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario11 | Double service model value | 6.077 | 1.484 | 1.368 | 189.74 | 2.94 | 6.73 | 0.054 | -0.007 | 0 | 0 | 94.44 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0 |
| Scenario12 | Double Diversity | 6.208 | 1.483 | 1.364 | 97.27 | 2.33 | 5.25 | 0.056 | 0.124 | -0.001 | -0.004 | 1.97 | -0.62 | -1.49 | 0.002 |
| Scenario13 | Double KVAR | 6.081 | 1.482 | 1.366 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.109 | -0.003 | -0.002 | -0.002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.055 |
| Scenario14 | Increase customer contributions to 100\% for HV and be | 6.16 | 1.56 | 1.444 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.054 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario15 | Zero customer contributions | 5.757 | 0.724 | 0.584 | 95.3 | 6.74 | 6.74 | 0.061 | -0.327 | -0.76 | -0.784 | 0 | 3.79 | 0 | 0.007 |
| Scenario16 | Double 500mw values | 7.957 | 1.126 | 0.955 | 51.44 | 3.23 | 10.82 | 0.081 | 1.873 | -0.358 | -0.413 | -43.86 | 0.28 | 4.08 | 0.027 |
| Scenario17 | Peaking probs Move 20\% from yellow primaries to red | 6.215 | 1.462 | 1.367 | 94.94 | 2.94 | 6.73 | 0.054 | 0.131 | -0.022 | -0.001 | -0.36 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0 |
| Scenario18 | Timebands Move 500 yellow hours to red | 5.452 | 1.493 | 1.254 | 73.71 | 2.29 | 6.09 | 0.048 | -0.632 | 0.009 | -0.114 | -21.59 | -0.66 | -0.65 | -0.006 |
| Scenario19 | Double allowed revenue | 8.993 | 4.393 | 4.277 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.054 | 2.909 | 2.909 | 2.909 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario20 | Double Real pre-tax cost of capital | 7.102 | 1.27 | 1.124 | 95.3 | 2.99 | 9.02 | 0.069 | 1.018 | -0.214 | -0.244 | 0 | 0.04 | 2.28 | 0.015 |
| Scenario21 | Double Transmission exit charge | 6.655 | 1.424 | 1.3 | 95.3 | 2.95 | 6.74 | 0.061 | 0.571 | -0.06 | -0.068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.007 |
| Scenario22 | Double Direct cost | 6.368 | 1.169 | 1.035 | 125.57 | 3.87 | 7.66 | 0.062 | 0.284 | -0.315 | -0.333 | 30.27 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.008 |
| Scenario23 | Double Indirect cost | 6.543 | 0.975 | 0.83 | 144.19 | 4.43 | 8.23 | 0.066 | 0.459 | -0.509 | -0.538 | 48.89 | 1.48 | 1.49 | 0.012 |
| Scenario24 | Double Network Rates | 6.233 | 1.319 | 1.193 | 111.19 | 3.43 | 7.22 | 0.058 | 0.149 | -0.165 | -0.175 | 15.89 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.004 |

## EDCM Detail

## EDCM Background

- EDCM - EHV Distribution Charging Methodology.
- EDCM was introduced in April 2012 for Demand Customers and April 2013 for Generation Customers.
- Introduced to meet Ofgem's requirement for a forward looking site specific methodology to encourage efficient use of the network
- Methodology uses Long Run Incremental Cost (LRIC) approach or Forward Cost Pricing (FCP) depending on DNO
- EDCM calculates a Site Specific charge for each Customer connected at either EHV or at HV Substation.


## EDCM Tariff Structures

- Import fixed charge (p/day)
- Import capacity charge (p/kVA/day)
- Exceeded import capacity charge (p/kVA/day)
- Super-red import unit charge ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh}$ )
- Export fixed charge (p/day)
- Export capacity charge (p/kVA/day)
- Exceeded export capacity charge (p/kVA/day)
- Export super-red unit rate ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{kWh}$ )


## EDCM Tariff Structures

- The 'Super Red' rate applies from Monday to Friday around tea time between November and February.
- In LPN there is also an additional 'Super Red' period 11.00 to 14.00 Monday to Friday between June and August.
- In the South West And South Wales areas the super red does not apply in the two weeks around Christmas
- An EDCM Generation Customer may receive a unit rate credit, depending on them supporting the network and being non-intermittent
- The addition (or removal) of a Customer from any part of our network can have a significant impact upon other EDCM customers connected in the same area, this can increase or decrease their charges for the following year even if they have made no changes themselves


## Long Run Marginal Cost

- The LRIC methodology calculates nodal incremental costs
- represent the deferred reinforcement costs caused by the addition of demand or generation at each network node.
- AC power flow analysis is used to take account of how a change in connectee behaviour affects the network.
- calculations of the time needed before reinforcement is required at different points on the network and subsequently the net present value (NPV) of the future costs of reinforcement.
- LRIC power flow method produces a $£ / \mathrm{kVA} /$ year local "Charge 1 " and a remote "Charge 1" for each location
- local charge refers to the local network
- remote charge refers to higher voltage levels.
- Each LRIC location may have a "linked location", in order to define clusters of up to eleven locations which are processed together.


## Forward Cost Pricing

- The FCP methodology separates the network into a number of 'Network Groups'.
- The FCP demand price is calculated by assessing network reinforcement cost to support a maximum of $15 \%$ demand increment for each network group over the next 10 years.
- The potential reinforcement cost is calculated and averaged at each voltage level within the same network group such that the total revenue recovered equals to the forecasted reinforcement cost plus a certain level of investment return.
- The FCP charges within a network group are the same for all the customers connected to that group.
- The outputs of the FCP power flow method are a $£ / k V A / y e a r ~ " C h a r g e ~ 1 " ~ f o r ~ e v e r y ~$ group defined in the DNO's network. A group is either;
- • 132 kV and similar circuits (a "level 1" group)
- • $132 \mathrm{kV} / 33 \mathrm{kV}$ and similar substations with 33 kV and similar circuits (a "level 2" group)
- • $132 \mathrm{kV} / 11 \mathrm{kV}$ or $33 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{HV}$ primary substations (a "level 3" group).
- Each group may also have "parent group" associated with it. The parent group of a parent group is referred to as a "grandparent group".


## Network Use Factors

- Network use factors (NUF) are a measure of the relative value of assets used by customers at different locations within the EHV network.
- Every customer may have a NUF defined for each of the five network levels considered within the EDCM.
- NUFs are used in order to determine unique network asset rates for every customer associated with their access to capacity and usage of the network.
- Network use factors are used in the calculation of "asset-based" elements of the import capacity charges.
- network rates direct operating costs
- asset-based residual revenue element.


## Customer categories

- Customer categories determine the allocation of upstream asset components
- Network use factors then determine the allocation of costs for those assets



## Customer Categories

| Category | Use of voltage level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 132kV | 132kV/EHV | EHV | EHV/HV | 132kV/HV |
| 0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1000 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1100 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 0100 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1110 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| 0110 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| 0010 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| 0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1001 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 0011 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 0111 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 0101 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 1101 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 1111 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |

## EDCM Scenario Analysis Tariff Inputs

|  | Local charge 1 | Remote charge 1 | Maximum import capacity | Proporti <br> on <br> exposed <br> to <br> indirect <br> cost <br> allocatio <br> $n$ and <br> fixed <br> adder | Nonexempt post-2010 export capacity | Proporti on eligible for charge 1 credits | Super-red units exported | Superred kW import divided by kVA capacity | Sole use asset MEAV | 132 kV circuits | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{HV} \end{aligned}$ | Import <br> super- <br> red <br> charge | Import <br> fixed <br> charge | Import capacity charge | Export <br> super- <br> red | Export <br> fixed <br> charge | Export <br> capacity <br> charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Case | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.05 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario2 | 2.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.31 | -1.974 | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario3 | 1.000 | 2.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.316 | 1,246.34 | 4.04 | -1.974 | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario4 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 20,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,495.07 | 4.06 | -1.316 | 373.77 | 0.05 |
| Scenario5 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 3.41 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario6 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 20,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 623.17 | 4.05 | -1.316 | 1,246.34 | 0.05 |
| Scenario7 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | - | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.05 | - | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario8 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 20,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.05 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 |
| Scenario9 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.1 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.63 | 1.89 | -1.316 | 623.31 | 0.05 |
| Scenario10 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 1,000,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 2,492.41 | 4.06 | -1.316 | 1,246.20 | 0.05 |
| Scenario11 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | 1,245.99 | 5.68 | -1.316 | 623.00 | 0.05 |
| Scenario12 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.658 | 1,246.25 | 4.29 | -1.316 | 623.12 | 0.05 |
| Change From Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario2 | 2.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - | 0.26 | -0.658 | - | - |
| Scenario3 | 1.000 | 2.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.658 | - | 0.01 | -0.658 | - | - |
| Scenario4 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 20,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | 248.73 | 0.01 | - | 249.40 | - |
| Scenario5 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - 0.64 | - | - | - |
| Scenario6 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 20,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | 623.17 | - | - | 623.17 | - |
| Scenario7 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | - | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - | 1.316 | - | - |
| Scenario8 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 20,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scenario9 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.1 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | 0.29 | - 2.16 | - | 0.14 | - |
| Scenario10 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 1,000,000 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | 1,246.07 | 0.01 | - | 623.03 | - |
| Scenario11 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 2.0 | 1.0 | - | 0.35 | 1.63 | - | 0.17 | - |
| Scenario12 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 10,000 | 1.0 | 5,000 | 1.0 | 10,000 | 0.5 | 500,000 | 1.0 | 2.0 | - | 0.09 | 0.24 | - | 0.05 | - |

## EDCM Scenario Analysis General Inputs Pricing

| Import <br> super- <br> red <br> charge | Import <br> fixed charge | Import capacity charge | Export <br> super- <br> red | Export <br> fixed <br> charge | Export capacity charge | Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.05 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Base Case |
| 0.658 | 1,983.44 | 3.87 | -1.316 | 991.72 | 0.05 | Double Direct cost |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 3.67 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Double Indirect cost |
| 0.658 | 1,755.60 | 4.01 | -1.316 | 877.80 | 0.05 | Double Network rates |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 8.65 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Double Amount of money DNO wants to raise from use of system charges |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.41 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Double Transmission exit charges |
| 0.658 | 1,246.83 | 3.96 | -1.316 | 623.42 | 0.05 | Double Diversity allowance between level exit and GSP Group |
| 0.658 | 1,251.48 | 2.04 | -1.316 | 625.74 | 0.05 | Double System simultaneous maximum load |
| 0.658 | 629.47 | 3.92 | -1.316 | 314.74 | 0.05 | Double Assets in CDCM model |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 4.01 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Double Maximum network use factor |
| 0.658 | 1,246.34 | 3.56 | -1.316 | 623.17 | 0.05 | Double Minimum network use factor |
| $n$ Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 737.10 | - 0.18 | - | 368.55 | - | Double Direct cost |
| - | - | - 0.38 | - | - | - | Double Indirect cost |
| - | 509.26 | - 0.04 | - | 254.63 | - | Double Network rates |
| - | - | 4.60 | - | - | - | Double Amount of money DNO wants to raise from use of system charges |
| - | - | 0.36 | - | - | - | Double Transmission exit charges |
| - | 0.49 | - 0.09 | - | 0.25 | - | Double Diversity allowance between level exit and GSP Group |
| - | 5.14 | - 2.01 | - | 2.57 | - | Double System simultaneous maximum load |
| - | - 616.87 | - 0.13 | - | - 308.43 | - | Double Assets in CDCM model |
| - | - | - 0.04 | - | - | - | Double Maximum network use factor |
| - | - | - 0.49 | - | - | - | Double Minimum network use factor |

## EDCM Scenario Analysis General Inputs Revenue

| Scenario |  | Revenue from adjusted super-red unit rate |  | Revenue <br> from <br> export <br> capacity <br> charge | Revenue <br> from <br> super- <br> red units | Revenue <br> recovere <br> d from <br> export <br> sole use <br> charge | Revenue recovered from import and export charges | Revenue recovered from import charges | Revenue recovere d from export charges | Charge 1 capacity charge | Transmissi on exit charge | Network <br> rates and <br> direct <br> costs <br> charge | Import <br> capacity <br> based <br> indirect <br> charge | Asset based residual revenue charges | Import <br> capacity <br> based <br> fixed <br> adder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Case | 147,825 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 160,430 | 157,375 | 3,055 | 9,999 | 17,087 | 21,531 | 37,490 | 51,760 | 9,958 |
| Double Direct cost | 141,255 | 5,001 | 7,240 | 913 | 132 | 3,620 | 157,896 | 153,495 | 4,401 | 10,002 | 17,092 | 34,274 | 37,500 | 35,548 | 6,839 |
| Double Indirect cost | 133,955 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 146,560 | 143,505 | 3,055 | 9,998 | 17,085 | 21,528 | 74,970 | 8,699 | 1,674 |
| Double Network rates | 146,365 | 5,001 | 6,408 | 913 | 132 | 3,204 | 161,759 | 157,774 | 3,985 | 9,996 | 17,081 | 30,317 | 37,476 | 43,187 | 8,309 |
| Double Amount of money DNO wants to raise from use of system charges | 315,725 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 328,330 | 325,275 | 3,055 | 9,995 | 17,080 | 21,522 | 37,474 | 192,599 | 37,054 |
| Double Transmission exit charges | 160,965 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 173,570 | 170,515 | 3,055 | 9,996 | 34,161 | 21,523 | 37,475 | 48,483 | 9,328 |
| Double Diversity allowance between level exit and GSP Group | 144,540 | 5,001 | 4,551 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 157,148 | 154,092 | 3,056 | 10,005 | 17,097 | 21,357 | 36,261 | 50,487 | 9,333 |
| Double System simultaneous maximum load | 74,460 | 5,001 | 4,568 | 913 | 132 | 2,284 | 87,094 | 84,029 | 3,065 | 9,989 | 8,659 | 10,799 | 24,364 | 17,317 | 3,332 |
| Double Assets in CDCM model | 143,080 | 5,001 | 2,298 | 913 | 132 | 1,149 | 152,308 | 150,378 | 1,930 | 10,005 | 17,097 | 21,761 | 32,237 | 51,980 | 10,001 |
| Double Maximum network use factor | 146,365 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 158,970 | 155,915 | 3,055 | 9,994 | 17,078 | 21,114 | 37,469 | 50,757 | 9,953 |
| Double Minimum network use factor | 129,940 | 5,001 | 4,549 | 913 | 132 | 2,275 | 142,545 | 139,490 | 3,055 | 9,986 | 17,064 | 16,306 | 37,440 | 39,199 | 9,945 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Double Direct cost | 6,570 | - | 2,690 | - | - | 1,345 | 2,534 | 3,880 | 1,345 | 3 | 5 | 12,743 | 10 | 16,212 | - 3,119 |
| Double Indirect cost | 13,870 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,870 | 13,870 | - | - 1 | 2 | 3 | 37,481 | 43,061 | 8,284 |
| Double Network rates | 1,460 | - | 1,859 | - | - | 929 | 1,328 | 399 | 929 | - 4 | 6 | 8,786 | 14 | 8,573 | 1,649 |
| Double Amount of money DNO wants to raise from use of system charges | 167,900 | - | - | - | - | - | 167,900 | 167,900 | - | - 4 | 7 | - 9 | 15 | 140,839 | 27,096 |
| Double Transmission exit charges | 13,140 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,140 | 13,140 | - | - 4 | 17,074 | - 8 | 14 | 3,277 | 630 |
| Double Diversity allowance between level exit and GSP Group | 3,285 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3,282 | 3,283 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 174 | 1,229 | 1,272 | 625 |
| Double System simultaneous maximum load | 73,365 | - | 19 | - | - | 9 | 73,337 | 73,346 | 9 | 10 | 8,429 | 10,732 | -13,125 | 34,443 | 6,626 |
| Double Assets in CDCM model | 4,745 | - | 2,252 | - | - | 1,126 | 8,122 | 6,997 | 1,126 | 6 | 9 | 230 | 5,253 | 220 | 42 |
| Double Maximum network use factor | - 1,460 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,460 | 1,460 | - | 5 | - 9 | 417 | 20 | 1,003 | 5 |
| Double Minimum network use factor | - 17,885 | - | - | - | - | - | 17,885 | 17,885 | - | 13 | 23 | 5,225 | 50 | 12,561 | 13 |





## Questions?

