

Minutes

Meeting name	Charging Delivery Body - Meeting 11
Time	10:00am – 1:00pm
Date of meeting	7 February 2019
Location	National Grid, The Strand

Attendees

Name	Initials	Organisation
Charging Delivery Body Members		
Andy Burgess	(AB)	Chair – Ofgem
Ren Walker	(RW)	Technical Secretary - National Grid ESO (Lead Secretariat)
Angelo Fitzhenry	(AF)	ElectraLink (Code Administrator)
Jenny Doherty	(JD)	National Grid ESO (Electricity System Operator)
Nigel Bessant	(NB)	Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks
Nicholas Rubin	(NR)	Elexon (Code Administrator)
Oliver Day	(OD)	UK Power Networks
Paul McGimpsey	(PMcG)	SP Distribution and SP Manweb
Rob Marshall	(RM)	National Grid ESO (Code Administrator)
Tony McEntee	(TMcE)	Electricity North West
Andrew Enzor	(AE)	Northern Powergrid (Alternate)
Simon Yeo	(SY)	Western Power Distribution
Ofgem Attendees		
Tim Aldridge	(TA)	Ofgem
Andrew Self	(AS)	Ofgem
Paul Guillon	(PG)	Ofgem
Josh Haskett	(JH)	Ofgem
Sean Hennity	(SH)	Ofgem – part meeting
Apologies		
Lee Wells	(LW)	Northern Powergrid

1 Introductions & apologies

1.1 Apologies were received from Lee Wells (Northern Powergrid).

2 Review of Charging Delivery Body Actions Log

Action CDB70: CDB to review the Programme Plan

2.1 The CDB will review the programme plan under agenda item 7. This action can be closed.

Action CDB71: Amend the Terms of Reference (ToR)

2.2 RW advised the Terms of Reference has been amended following feedback at the meeting in December. RW stated approval of the ToR will be completed by email correspondence.

3 Targeted Charging Review update

3.1 SH provided CDB members with an update on the Targeted Charging Review (TCR). SH stated that the consultation closed on 4 February 2019 with over 130 responses and the team are currently reviewing all responses carefully. OD questioned the type of stakeholder who responded to the consultation. SH confirmed that the responses were from a wide range of stakeholders, including a selection of consumer bodies, network companies, investors and renewable generators.

3.2 AS thanked CDB members for their participation in the 'TCR implementation workshop' held on 16 January and sought interest from members on whether they were willing to participate in another technical session in the future. AS said he would be in touch if such a workshop was arranged.

4 Review of Balancing Services Charges Task Force, Terms of Reference

4.1 JD advised the CDB that the approved Terms of Reference and the Task Force members have been published on the Charging Futures website.

4.2 JD stated that a podcast and minutes from the first meeting are also available on the website.

5 Access Project Update

5.1 JH updated the group on the progress of the Access project since the last CDB meeting, including the setting up of the Challenge Group and Delivery Group. JH advised the CDB that 35 people had put themselves forward for the Challenge Group. JH stated there would be two working papers in 2019. Some CDB members challenged why different elements of TNUoS are being looked at separately, JH advised he would feed this back to the groups.

5.2 JH confirmed the next steps for the Access project are to assess and develop options across the identified workstreams. The Access project will then short list options in November and ensure stakeholders are aware of the progression.

5.3 Some CDB members raised a concern that the Access project's data request asked for a large amount of historical data, when in fact the modelling will need to focus on

future scenarios. AB advised the CDB that Ofgem recognise that the future will be different to the past but they are looking for as much evidence as possible to use as a baseline when Ofgem model scenarios. JH reinforced this point further.

5.4 PMcG stated that there is a non-SCR industry led piece of work which needs reviewing but worth adding as a future agenda item as this is still being discussed.

Action CDB072: Lead Secretariat to add Wider industry progression as a standing agenda item for future meetings.

6 Charging Futures Feedback

6.1 RM provided the CDB with feedback on the Charging Futures Forum, which was held on 15 January 2019. The CDB discussed how the facilitator role would work at the next forum as the concern from Ofgem was that some participants see this as an opportunity to ask Ofgem questions rather than engage in the discussion topics. The CDB agreed that at the next forum the role of the facilitators would be made clearer and subject matter experts would be made more visible to attendees.

6.2 RM advised that both Slido and Menti were used at the Forum as different ways of facilitating the meeting. NR questioned if there was an FAQ section on the Charging Futures website for those questions that didn't get answered at the Forum. RM advised he would take this away and consider how this could be resolved going forward.

7 Updates on New and Existing Charging Modifications

7.1 **Connection and Use of System Code (CUSC)** – currently there are fourteen CUSC Charging Modifications in flight and five on hold.

7.2 RW provided an update on the Modifications that have progressed since the last meeting.

7.3 RW advised, CMP294 was approved by the Authority on 7 December 2018 with an implementation date of 1 April 2019. CMP301 was sent back by the Authority, the Code Administrator is liaising with the proposer to meet the requirements in Ofgem's decision letter. This Modification will then proceed to its second Code Admin Consultation.

7.4 CMP305 was also sent back by the Authority, which the Code Administrator will look into further.

Distribution Connection and Use of System Agreement (DCUSA)

7.5 AF advised the CDB that he attended the Distribution Charging Methodologies Development Group (DCMDG). AF stated that the DCMDG talked through all the in-flight DCUSA modifications and identified the changes that had a direct impact with SCR.

7.6 AF stated that the DCMDG felt that DCP287 should be withdrawn due its overlap with the Access SCR. However, the proposer/sponsor of DCP287 has not yet decided whether or not to withdraw this modification.

7.7 RM advised that CUSC had a similar issue with modifications CMP271/274/276, because these modifications were already raised when the TCR SCR was launched. As there was no direct route outlined within the CUSC, it was the

Proposer, the Panel and Code Administrator who together agreed these modifications should be put on hold.

7.8 RM asked the CDB if DCP287 should be added to the programme plan. The CDB confirmed it should be left off the plan.

Programme Plan

7.9 RM presented the programme plan to the CDB. He stated it was a first draft on which he was seeking thoughts and feedback from CDB members. RM advised the purpose of the programme plan is to bring together a strategic view on what is happening with charging.

7.10 NB questioned who the audience is for the programme plan. RM confirmed that the CDB is the audience, but the plan would be published on the Charging Futures website. AB said the programme plan should remain as a CDB paper only while it is being drafted as the information needs to be more definitive before it is published.

Action: Lead Secretariat to circulate a revised update of the programme plan following feedback from CDB.

8 Key Messages to be shared with Code Panel Meetings

8.1 The CDB agreed to share the programme plan with Code Panels once they have developed the plan further.

9 Timings for CDB and CFF meetings in 2019

9.1 RM advised that there are currently no meeting dates scheduled for both CDB and CFF. The CDB agreed to hold their next meeting as a WebEx if the agenda is light.

9.2 RM confirmed that Ofgem and National Grid ESO would discuss further dates for both the CDB and CFF meetings.

10 Any Other Business

a) Industry strategic projects

10.1 The Charging Delivery Body advised there were no further updates on Industry strategic projects.

10.2 TMcE wanted to discuss an invitation which had been circulated by National Grid ESO to a Workshop on TNUoS Charging of Co-located generation. He questioned if it overlapped with the work of the two SCRs on network charging. JD confirmed that this Workshop was taking place due to the ESO looking at a specific area of the generation TNUoS methodology that was separate from the SCR scope. TMcE advised it would have been helpful if this had been explained in the original communications.