

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP316 Workgroup 3

Date: 2 November 2021

Contact Details

Chair: Jennifer Groome

Contact details: Jennifer.Groome@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Nicola White

Contact details: Nicola.White@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

- The actions taken at the last meeting were stepped through before the Original solution and potential alternative solution were discussed in more detail.
- Original solution discussion points
 - Concerns around how temporary TEC would be charged – an action taken to address.
 - An assumption was made that if TNUoS charges are in future extended to embedded generators <100MW TEC that charges would be based on TEC (even though some currently don't have TEC). It was raised that a separate CEC and TEC would need to be generated for all sites <100MW.
 - Clarifications were made about how the pro-rata calculation works. An action was taken for examples to be put together to clarify the calculation.
 - It was stated that the TEC register is currently published but CEC is not publicly known, and that there may be concerns in sharing site-specific CECs.
 - It was suggested that the load factor should be applied to the CEC before it is pro-rated. This suggestion was approved of by other workgroup members.
 - It was stated that ALFs are currently site specific, but they could be CEC-specific.
- Exploration of the declarations route
 - It was stated that the declarations process has been used on another modification. It was suggested that a re-declaration every X years would be beneficial. A further suggestion was for it to be done in line with the price control review. It was clarified that whether the contracts or declaration method is used, the ESO proposes that CEC is used.
 - It was voiced that if the Directors were to submit a declaration, there would need to be a specific format to this declaration and it should include the megawatts installed, rather than just the ratio of technology types installed at a site.

- It was discussed that there should be a process for checking whether the pro-rata calculations are actually cost reflective.
- There was a discussion about BMUs and that some sites aren't currently BMUs. I was asked what happens in the case there are two different technologies behind an inverter. An action was taken to address this.
- It was discussed that currently there is a 1:1 relationship between BMU and fuel type, however it was also raised that there is nothing enforcing this.
- It was clarified that if the modification was implemented, there would be no mod app expenses required for parties with existing contracts.
- The actions from the meeting are summarised in the table below.

Open Actions

Action Number	Workgroup raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
1	WG1	NW/AH	Examples of the various permutations.	<p>Six examples provided in WG2.</p> <p>Provided WG3: - 3 technology type - At least 2 months of temporary TEC (LDTEC and STEC)</p> <p>For WG4: - Positive and negative tariffs (made up) - Extreme case examples on large and small load factors</p>	WG3	Open
2	WG1	NW/AH	Declaration process vs contracts	<p>Further explore declaration process route</p> <p>Provide further evidence to support using capacity in contracts. And explanation of how the proportions are derived.</p> <p>Check declaration process in TDR mods and how this could be applied to CMP316.</p>	WG3	Open
5	WG1	NW	Consider transitional arrangements for implementation	<p>Comms will be provided to sub groups and trade associations.</p> <p>Decision prior to draft tariffs being published. Process for declarations needs to be factored into timeline</p>	WG3	Open
6	WG2	JG/RGA	Include within WG Consultation/Report any discussion on how this process would work for embedded gens with less than 100MW TEC	<p>So far discussed concerns that some of these do not have TEC. Flag consultation to DNOs.</p> <p>Ensure clear in the report that an assumption has been made that if TNUoS is extended to embedded gens less than 100MW then this will be based on TEC</p>	WG4	Open
7	WG2	All	Explain impacts on ALF setting process	Confirm - if there are no separate meters - what ALF is used.	WG3	Open

				Working assumption is that there is a separate BMU.		
10	WG3	NW	Check risk of the mod leading to parties taking out multiple temporary TEC contracts to game system		WG4	Open
11	WG3	NW	Different technologies behind an inverter - how does this work	Working assumption is that there is a separate BMU.	WG4	Open
12	WG3	JG/RGA	Explain within WG report that no costs for existing contracts intended		WG4	Open
13	WG3	JG/RGA	Recirculate amended examples spreadsheet - typo corrected		WG4	Open

Next steps

- The next Workgroup meeting is on 22 November 2021.

For further information, please contact Jennifer Groome.