

## **Codes Summary**

This document provides a summary as to latest developments concerning the various other Industry Codes:

### **CUSC**

#### **CUSC Amendments Panel Meeting**

The CUSC Amendments Panel met on 28<sup>th</sup> July 2006. Panel Recommendation Votes were held on CAP107 ("Redefinition of Response Energy Payment for Mandatory Frequency Response") and CAP124 ("Clarification of the Withholding of Payments for Operational Intertrips"). The Panel voted to recommend approval of CAP107 Working Group Alternative Amendment B and CAP124 Consultation Alternative Amendment B.

The next meeting of the CUSC Amendment's Panel is scheduled for 18<sup>th</sup> August 2006.

### **BSC**

#### **BSC Panel Meeting**

The BSC Panel met on 10<sup>th</sup> August 2006. Urgent Modification Reports for P201 and P202 (both "Energy Imbalance Tolerance Band") were reviewed, as were Assessment Reports for P198 ("Introduction of a Zonal Transmission Losses Scheme"), P200 ("Introduction of a Zonal Transmission Losses Scheme with Transitional Scheme") and P203 ("Introduction of a Seasonal Zonal Transmission Losses Scheme"). P204 ("Scaled Zonal Transmission Losses") will be reviewed at the Panel's October meeting.

### **Grid Code**

The next meeting of the GCRP is scheduled for 21<sup>st</sup> September 2006.

B/06 (Regional Differences) – Ofgem has approved the proposal which brings about a closer harmonisation of the definitions of Large and Small Power stations in Scotland (and abolishes the concept of Medium Power Stations north of the border).

The fourth meeting of the Power Park Modules and Synchronous Generating Unit working group will be held 7<sup>th</sup> September 2006.

### **Charging**

The Charging User Group (ChUG) is due to meet during the week commencing 11 September (exact date to be confirmed) and updates will be provided as they become available.

Condition 3 (The Treatment of Intermittent Generation): Final report published on 10 August. National Grid could find no evidence that intermittent generation consistently causes less investment than conventional generation and therefore are not proposing any modifications to the charging methodology in respect of condition 3.

Condition 4 (Long term fixed price products): Draft report published on 11 August for industry comment. National Grid has concluded that the development of multi-year fixed-price charges should not be progressed at this stage.

### **TO Price Review**

The initial proposals document was published on 26<sup>th</sup> June 2006. Further details can be found on the Ofgem website. Site: - <http://www.ofgem.gov.uk>.

# **AMALGAMATED ELECTRICITY CODES AMENDMENT REPORT**

**As at 14<sup>th</sup> August 2006**

This document contains the Amendment Registers for the CUSC, STC, BSC, TCMF & Grid Code and is correct as of the above date.

The most up to date versions may be found at the following websites:

STC: [www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/sotocode/](http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/sotocode/)

CUSC: <http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/systemcode/amendments/>

BSC: [www.elexon.co.uk/changeimplementation/ModificationProcess/ModificationReports/default.aspx](http://www.elexon.co.uk/changeimplementation/ModificationProcess/ModificationReports/default.aspx)

TCMF: [www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Charges/TCMF/](http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Charges/TCMF/)

Grid Code: [www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/gridcode/reviewpanelinfo/](http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/gridcode/reviewpanelinfo/)

## STC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

All documents referred to in the Amendment Register are available on the National Grid STC website.

### CA021

### Exchange of Investment Planning Data

<b>Proposer</b>	National Grid	<b>Submitted</b>	11/04/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
-----------------	---------------	------------------	----------	-------------------------------	--------

#### Amendment Description

This amendment proposes changes to paragraph 2.4.3 (and 2.4.2) of Schedule 3 of the STC to allow Parties to disclose specific data to Transmission Owners on an enduring basis for the purposes of transmission investment planning, specifically stability studies.

The current provisions of paragraph 2.4.3 of Schedule 3 will expire on 30 September 2006. However, in the view of the proposer, there is a continuing need for this data to be provided to enable all Parties to meet their Licence obligations. It is therefore proposed that this data exchange is made permanent, but that the relevant sections of Schedule 3 are made more specific about the data that can be disclosed.

#### Current status and progress

CA021 Exchange of Certain Investment Planning Data was proposed by National Grid and was discussed at the 18<sup>th</sup> April 2006 STC Committee meeting. The Committee decided to take forward CA021 to the Evaluation Phase, meeting was held on 8th May 2006 to discuss further. The Committee AGREED at the 17<sup>th</sup> May 2006 meeting that the Evaluation phase was complete for CA021 and a Proposed Amendment Report should be drafted.

The Committee reviewed and signed-off the Proposed Amendment Report for Industry Consultation. Sent out for Industry consultation on 27/06/2006. Close of consultation on 11th July 2006.

No consultation responses received. Final Amendment Report submitted to Authority on 14<sup>th</sup> July 2006.

## STC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CA020

#### GB Transmission System Performance Reporting

<b>Proposer</b>	SPTL	<b>Submitted</b>	14/03/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
-----------------	------	------------------	----------	-------------------------------	--------

##### Amendment Description

This Amendment Proposal seeks to establish a process in the STC for the development of a statement by which the GB Transmission System performance can be measured and for the production of the associated report. The production of the statement and the report are licence requirements on National Grid. It is a licence requirement on the TOs that they provide assistance to National Grid in the production of the report.

The proposed amendment is based upon existing STC processes by which the Transmission Licensees co-operate to produce the Seven Year Statement.

##### Current status and progress

CA020 GB Transmission Performance Reporting was proposed by SPTL and discussed at the March 2006 STC Committee meeting. The STC Committee decided for CA020 to go forward to the Evaluation phase.

A teleconference took place on 11<sup>th</sup> April 2006 to discuss the legal text. At the 18<sup>th</sup> April 2006 STC Committee meeting the Committee AGREED for CA020 to move to Assessment and Report Phase.

Consultation closed on 6<sup>th</sup> June 2006, no responses received. Final Amendment Report submitted to Ofgem for determination on 20/6/2006.

Authority approved CA020 on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2006. CA020 was implemented on 31<sup>st</sup> July 2006.

### CA019

#### Assessment of the Impact of Certain Embedded Power Stations

<b>Proposer</b>	SPTL	<b>Submitted</b>	20/02/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
-----------------	------	------------------	----------	-------------------------------	--------

##### Amendment Description

This Amendment Proposal seeks to establish a process in the STC for the assessment of the impact on the GB Transmission System of certain Embedded Power Stations in the licensed area, or within the boundary of influence, of a Transmission Owner.

This Amendment Proposal reflects the Working Group Alternative Amendment 1 to CUSC Amendment Proposal CAP097, which seeks to clarify National Grid's requirements of Small and Medium Embedded Power Stations as GBSO. SPTL is also proposing an Alternative Amendment to CA019, which would facilitate the revised process proposed by the CAP097 Consultation Alternative Amendment 1 proposed by CE Electric UK.

##### Current status and progress

CA019 was discussed at the February Committee meeting. CA019 and Alternative have been proposed by SPTL as alternatives to CA017 and Alternative. This is linked to CA016 and CA017, and follows discussions at the CA016/17 working group held on 09/01/06, 01/02/06 and 15/02/06. At the March Committee meeting it was decided a Teleconference will be held to signoff the Proposed Amendment Report.

A teleconference took place on 3rd April 2006. Committee decided to send out for Industry Consultation – Industry Consultation closed on 20th April 06. One Response was received. At the STC Committee meeting on 27th April 2006 the Committee AGREED to submit to the Authority. Amendment Report Submitted to Authority on 27th April 2006.

The Authority rejected CA019 on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2006 (In line with STC recommendation)

## STC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CA018

### Removal of the Restriction on Proposing Alternative Amendments

<b>Proposer</b>	National Grid	<b>Submitted</b>	14/02/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
-----------------	---------------	------------------	----------	-------------------------------	--------

#### Amendment Description

This Amendment Proposal seeks to remove from the STC the restriction that each Party may only propose one Alternative Amendment. Paragraph 7.2.2.8 of Section B of the STC currently limits Parties to proposing only one Alternative Amendment. This has proved to be overly restrictive, particularly when developing STC amendments to “back-off” CUSC amendment proposals, which may contain a greater number of Alternatives.

#### Current status and progress

CA018 Removal of the Restriction on Proposing Alternative Amendments was discussed at the February Committee. The Committee decided that CA018 could proceed to the Assessment & Report Phase. At the March Committee meeting the Committee decided the Proposed Amendment Report to be sent for consultation for 10 business days.

Consultation closed on 6th April 2006. No industry responses received. At the STC Committee meeting on 18th April 2006 the Committee decided to submit to the Authority for determination. Amendment Report Submitted to Authority on 19th April 2006. Authority approved CA018 on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2006. Implementation date is 7<sup>th</sup> June 2006.

### CA017

### Assessment of the Impact of Certain Embedded Power Stations

<b>Proposer</b>	National Grid	<b>Submitted</b>	13/12/05	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
-----------------	---------------	------------------	----------	-------------------------------	--------

#### Amendment Description

This Amendment Proposal seeks to establish a process in the STC for the assessment of the impact on the GB Transmission System of certain Embedded Power Stations in the licensed area, or within the boundary of influence, of a Transmission Owner.

This Amendment Proposal reflects the Working Group Alternative Amendment 1 to CUSC Amendment Proposal CAP097, which seeks to clarify National Grid's requirements of Small and Medium Embedded Power Stations as GBSO. National Grid is also proposing an Alternative Amendment to CA017, which would facilitate the revised process proposed by the CAP097 Consultation Alternative Amendment 1 proposed by CE Electric UK.

#### Current status and progress

CA019 was discussed at the February Committee meeting. CA019 and Alternative have been proposed by SPTL as alternatives to CA017 and Alternative. This is linked to CA016 and CA017, and follows discussions at the CA016/17 working group held on 09/01/06, 01/02/06 and 15/02/06. At the March Committee meeting it was decided a Teleconference will be held to signoff the Proposed Amendment Report.

A teleconference took place on 3rd April 2006. Committee decided to send out for Industry Consultation – Industry Consultation closed on 20th April 06. One Response was received. At the STC Committee meeting on 27th April 2006 the Committee AGREED to submit to the Authority. Amendment Report Submitted to Authority on 27th April 2006.

The Authority rejected CA017 on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2006 (In line with STC recommendation).

## STC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

CA016		Modification Applications in Respect of Certain Embedded Power Stations			
Proposer	National Grid	Submitted	13/12/05	Submitted Priority	Normal
<b>Amendment Description</b>		<b>Current status and progress</b>			
<p>This Amendment Proposal seeks to establish a process in the STC for the assessment of the impact on the GB Transmission System of certain Embedded Power Stations in the licensed area, or within the boundary of influence, of a Transmission Owner.</p> <p>This Amendment Proposal reflects the original CUSC Amendment Proposal CAP097 (as proposed by National Grid), which seeks to clarify National Grid's requirements of Small and Medium Embedded Power Stations as GBSO. National Grid is also proposing an Alternative Amendment to CA016, which would facilitate the revised process proposed by both the CAP097 Working Group Alternative Amendment 2 and the CAP097 Consultation Alternative Amendment 3 proposed by SP Transmission &amp; Distribution.</p>		<p>CA019 was discussed at the February Committee meeting. CA019 and Alternative have been proposed by SPTL as alternatives to CA017 and Alternative. This is linked to CA016 and CA017, and follows discussions at the CA016/17 working group held on 09/01/06, 01/02/06 and 15/02/06. At the March Committee meeting it was decided a Teleconference will be held to signoff the Proposed Amendment Report.</p> <p>A teleconference took place on 3rd April 2006. Committee decided to send out for Industry Consultation – Industry Consultation closed on 20th April 06. One Response was received. At the STC Committee meeting on 27th April 2006 the Committee AGREED to submit to the Authority. Amendment Report Submitted to Authority on 27th April 2006.</p> <p>Ofgem have approved CA016 Alternative Amendment on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2006. Implementation date of 14th July 2006.</p>			

## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

All documents referred to in the Amendment Register are available on the National Grid CUSC website.

### CAP124

#### Clarification of the withholding of payments for Operational Intertrips

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 11/05/06

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

##### Amendment Description

It is proposed to change section 4.2A.5 to include an additional provision expanding upon the current clauses to clarify that a Restricted Export Level Payment or Intertrip Payment will be withheld should the Intertrip operate when a User has failed to disarm the System to Generator Operational Intertripping Scheme in accordance with an instruction from National Grid.

##### Current status and progress

CAP124 was presented to the May 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP124 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 23 May 2006 with a closing date of 20 June 2006. A CAA was proposed by E.ON and a second period of consultation commenced on 27 June, which will conclude on 11 July 2006. **The Panel voted that the amendment better facilitated the applicable objectives of the CUSC on 28 July 2006,**

### CAP123

#### Quorum at Amendment Panel meetings held via teleconference

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 23/03/06

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

##### Amendment Description

CAP123 proposes to change CUSC 8.9.2 to ensure the available conference provisions within CUSC 8.7.8 can be fully utilised and that meetings held via this provision are capable of being a quorate meeting of the Amendments Panel.

##### Current status and progress

CAP123 was presented to the March 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP123 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 3 April 2006 with a closing date of 25 April 2006. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 27 April 2006 with a closing date of 5 May 2006. The Panel voted that the amendment better facilitated the applicable objectives of the CUSC on 19 May 2006. The draft Amendment Reports was circulated to the Panel to ensure their vote was captured correctly with a closing date of 30 May 2006. The Amendment Report was issued to the Authority on 1 June 2006. The Authority approved the Amendment on 23 June 2006 for implementation on 7 July 2006.

## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CAP122

### Change to the definition of Intertrip Payment for Power Park Modules

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 23/03/06

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

CAP122 proposes to change the definition of Intertrip Payments within CUSC 4.2A.4(c) and associated terms, to one payment per Power Park Module instead of one payment per Generating Unit. In our view such a change is consistent with the underlying philosophy behind CAP076 and the applicable CUSC objectives and will remove the risk to the Industry of disproportionate costs were a Power Park Module to be tripped off the transmission system.

It is not the intention of this proposal to change the amount payable per trip, (i.e. £400,000), except in the specific context of Power Park Modules.

#### Current status and progress

CAP122 was presented to the March 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP122 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 6 April 2006 with a closing date of 5 May 2006. The Panel voted that the amendment better facilitated the applicable objectives of the CUSC on 19 May 2006. The draft Amendment Reports was circulated to the Panel to ensure their vote was captured correctly with a closing date of 30 May 2006. The Amendment Report was issued to the Authority on 1 June 2006. **The Authority approved the Original Amendment on 6 July 2006 for implementation on 20 July 2006**

### CAP121

### Amendment to Outturn Reconciliation

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 23/03/06

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

CAP121 proposes to change the CUSC provision CUSC 2.14.3 (c) in circumstances where Outturn Reconciliation cannot be completed within one year of the Completion Date, thus providing both National Grid and the Generator with the flexibility to agree mutually acceptable timescales to complete the reconciliation process. Where mutual agreement cannot be reached, the existing 12 month period would remain in force.

#### Current status and progress

CAP121 was presented to the March 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP121 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 4 April 2006 with a closing date of 26 April 2006. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 28 April 2006 with a closing date of 8 May 2006. The Panel voted that the amendment better facilitated the applicable objectives of the CUSC on 19 May 2006. The draft Amendment Reports was circulated to the Panel to ensure their vote was captured correctly with a closing date of 30 May 2006. The Amendment Report was issued to the Authority on 1 June 2006. The Authority approved the Amendment on 27 June 2006 for implementation on 11 July 2006.



## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CAP120

### Connection Application Form – Alternative Connection Offers in England & Wales and Scotland

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 23/03/06

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

CAP120 proposes to change the CUSC Application Form for Bilateral Connection Agreements, (CUSC Exhibit B) to include additional questions to ascertain whether an Applicant has or intends to make an alternative application for connection in England & Wales or Scotland (or vice versa) to enable National Grid to ensure disclosure of information within National Grid is managed in accordance with National Grid Licence Special Condition M.

An alternative connection application is when an Applicant applies for multiple connections to the GB transmission system at different locations and at least one location is outside National Grid's Transmission area i.e. Scotland and where the Applicant does not intend to connect at all the locations which they have applied for, but intends to connect at a location which is most beneficial for their project. Please note this does not apply to competing offers between the Scottish TO's, as no advantage can be gained by National Grid and the normal procedures for confidentiality shall apply.

The proposer requests and recommends that this Amendment Proposal proceeds straight to Industry Consultation for a period of 2 weeks as we believe that it would be beneficial to make this change in a timely way.

#### Current status and progress

CAP120 was presented to the March 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP120 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 3 April 2006 with a closing date of 25 April 2006. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 27 April 2006 with a closing date of 5 May 2006. The Panel voted that the amendment better facilitated the applicable objectives of the CUSC on 19 May 2006. The draft Amendment Reports was circulated to the Panel to ensure their vote was captured correctly with a closing date of 30 May 2006. The Amendment Report was issued to the Authority on 1 June 2006. **The Authority approved the Original Amendment on 6 July 2006 for implementation on 20 July 2006**

## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

<b>CAP119</b>		<b>Clarification of, and correction to, the table of Users' Credit Allowances</b>			
<b>Proposer</b>	National Grid	<b>Submitted</b>	19/01/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
<b>Amendment Description</b>		<b>Current status and progress</b>			
CAP 119 proposes to update the table for Users' Credit Allowances as a % of unsecured Credit Cover.		CAP119 was presented to the January 2006 Panel meeting. The Panel decided that CAP119 should proceed to industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 2 <sup>nd</sup> February, with a closing date of 16 February 2006. A second period of consultation commenced on 21 February, which will conclude on 7 March 2006. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 23 March 2006. The Panel voted on 31 March 2006 for the Original Proposal. The Amendment Report was issued to the Authority on 26 April 2006. The Authority approved the Original Amendment on 1 June 2006 for implementation on 15 June 2006.			
<b>CAP113-118</b>		<b>Housekeeping Amendment</b>			
<b>Proposer</b>	National Grid	<b>Submitted</b>	19/01/06	<b>Submitted Priority</b>	Normal
<b>Amendment Description</b>		<b>Current status and progress</b>			
CAP's113 – 118 proposes to correct errors in various sections of the text.		CAP113-118 was presented to the January 2006 Panel Meeting. The Panel decided that all the amendments proposed were consistent with the definition of a housekeeping amendment and should be processed in accordance with Paragraph 8.21.2 of CUSC. Amendment Report issued to Authority on 20 February 2006. The Authority approved the Amendment on 23 March 2006 for implementation on 7 April 2006.			

## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CAP107

### Redefinition of Response Energy Payment (REP) for Mandatory Frequency Response

**Proposer** E.On

**Submitted** 08/12/05

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

CAP107 seeks to make a change to the calculation of Response Energy Payment under section 4.1.3.9A of CUSC so what a generator pays, or is paid, is its First Bid Price, for changes in energy output which occur as a result of delivering frequency response.

Currently the Response Energy is priced at a reference price which is the average of the time weighted average System Buy Price and time weighted average System Sell Price for the preceding calendar month.

By paying, or charging the relevant BMU a price equivalent to its First Bid Price, the generator concerned is able to more closely reflect its actual costs.

#### Current status and progress

CAP107 was presented to the December Panel Meeting. The Panel determined that CAP107 should proceed to consideration by the BSSG acting as a Working Group for a period of 3 months, presenting their Final Working Group Report to the March Panel Meeting. The Authority agreed to a month extension at the CUSC Panel meeting 24 February 2006. The Working Group will now report to April Panel Meeting. At the CUSC Panel Meeting on the 31 March 2006 the Authority agreed to an extension due to the proposed cancellation of the April meeting. The Working Group reported to the May Panel Meeting, where it was decided to proceed to Industry Consultation. The Consultation Document was issued 23 May 2006 with a closing date of 20 June 2006 for comments. A Draft Amendment Report is to be circulated to the industry for 5 days prior to the July CUSC Panel. **The Panel voted on 28/07/06 for WGAAB as being the best option with a 3 month implementation from the Authority decision.**

### CAP097

### Revision to the Contractual Requirements for Small and Medium Embedded Power Stations under 6.5

**Proposer** National Grid

**Submitted** 21/07/05

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

This Amendment Proposal clarifies NGC's requirements from Embedded Small and Medium Power Stations in order to efficiently discharge its obligations imposed through the Transmission Licence and the Act. In setting out the requirements this Amendment Proposal recognises the Grid Code LEEMPS proposal in relation to the NGC/ DNO / Medium Power Station interfaces and seeks to ensure the CUSC processes under CUSC 6.5 are consistent with the requirements of the Grid Code.

This Amendment Proposal therefore includes additional requirements that whilst stand-alone support proposals by the LEEMPS working group under the Grid Code. The Grid Code changes are based on an indirect relationship between NGC and Embedded Medium Power Stations, facilitated via the DNO. The DNO would ensure the Embedded Medium Power Station complies with the relevant requirements of the Grid Code, including the provision of information to allow NGC to assess the impact of an Embedded development on the Transmission System.

#### Current status and progress

CAP097 was presented to the July Panel. The Panel determined that CAP097 should be considered by a Working Group and present their Final Report to the October Panel. The Working Group presented their Final Report to the Panel on 28<sup>th</sup> October. The Panel determined that CAP097 should proceed to wider industry consultation. The Consultation commenced on 10<sup>th</sup> November 2005, until the 12<sup>th</sup> December 2005. Consultation Alternatives were proposed during the Original Consultation period. The Consultation Alternative Consultation Document was circulated on 23<sup>rd</sup> December until 11<sup>th</sup> January 2006. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 23 January 2006. The Panel voted on 24 February 2006 for CAA1 as being the best option with a 10 day implementation. The Final Amendment Report was submitted to the Authority on 15<sup>th</sup> March 2006 for their consideration and determination. The Authority approved CAA2 Amendment on 14 June 2006 for implementation on 14 July 2006.

## CUSC AMENDMENT REGISTER – AS AT 1<sup>st</sup> August 2006

---

### CAP094

### Limited Duration Transmission Entry Capacity

**Proposer** First Hydro

**Submitted** 15/06/05

**Submitted  
Priority** Normal

#### Amendment Description

CAP094 seeks to introduce a new access product – Limited Duration Transmission Entry Capacity (LDTEC). The current access products do not embrace circumstances where TEC is available for the remainder of the financial year but NGC is not able to grant enduring TEC because of the time taken to analyse a proposal or future rights not being available. The new product would also extend to circumstances where the generator only requires TEC for the remainder of the financial year and does not require enduring TEC rights.

#### Current status and progress

The Panel agreed that CAP094 should be considered by a Working Group reporting back to the August Panel. The Panel agreed to an extension of the working group consideration of one month and that the Working Group Report should be presented to the September Panel meeting. The Panel determined at the September meeting that CAP094 should proceed to wider industry consultation. The consultation report was circulated on 4<sup>th</sup> October, with a closing date of 4<sup>th</sup> November 2005. A second period of consultation was undertaken commencing on 14<sup>th</sup> November until 28<sup>th</sup> November 2005. The Draft Amendment Report was circulated on 5<sup>th</sup> December 2005. The Final Amendment Report was submitted to the Authority on 13<sup>th</sup> December 2005. The Authority approved the WGAA 5 Amendment on 22 February 2006 for implementation on 1 April 2006.

### **Grid Code Consultation Papers - Current Status Report – 14<sup>th</sup> August 2006**

<b>Paper Ref.</b>	<b>Grid Code Change Reference</b>	<b>Current Status</b>	<b>Data Provided</b>	<b>Notes</b>
B/06	Regional Differences	Approved	8 <sup>th</sup> August 2006	Implementation date 1 <sup>st</sup> September 2006

## BSC MODIFICATION REGISTER (Part 1: Pending Modifications)

04/08/2006

P 206

Publication of BSC Panel Election Results

Proposer	Ben Sheehy	Modification Description	Current progress	Current Status
Organisation	E.ON UK PLC	Annex B-2 of the Code should be amended to require BSCCo to disclose the number of preference votes received in each voting round by candidates standing for election to the BSC Panel. Subject to approval, this amendment should be implemented from the submission date of this Modification Proposal, in order to disclose the 2006 election results.	An IWA in respect of P206 will be presented to the BSC Panel on 14 September 2006.	ELEXON Committee/ BSC Panel
Raised	03/08/2006			Status update
Process	NORMAL			3-Aug-06
Authority Report Date	N/A			
Modification Group	TBC			

P 205

Increase in PAR level from 100MWh to 500MWh

Proposer	Chris Welby	Modification Description	Current progress	Current Status
Organisation	GOOD ENERGY LTD	The purpose of this modification is to amend the PAR level introduced with the introduction of P194 of 100MWh to 500MWh, which will lessen the impact of P194 on smaller parties, in particular variable renewable generators, within the market.	The proposer requested that the Modification be treated as urgent and the Panel recommended that the proposal not be treated as an Urgent Modification Proposal. The Authority has consented to the urgent treatment of the proposal and has directed an urgent timetable for its progression.	Urgent Timetable Applied
Raised	05/07/2006			Status update
Process	URGENT			13-Jul-06
Authority Report Date	27 September 2006			
Modification Group	P205 Modification Group			

## BSC MODIFICATION REGISTER (Part 1: Pending Modifications)

04/08/2006

P 204

Scaled Zonal Transmission Losses

Proposer	John Capener	Modification Description	Current progress	Current Status
Organisation	BRITISH ENERGY	This proposal seeks avoid the difficulties of previous proposals by apportioning a proportion of losses locationally, but without creating large gross flows between locations which exaggerate errors in the processing, create uncertainty and risk, and create windfall winners and losers. These features still exist, but in diluted form more likely to be acceptable to the majority of industry parties and proportionate to the defect.	On 13 July 2006, the BSC Panel submitted P204 to a 3 month Assessment Procedure, with an Assessment Report to be presented to the Panel on 12 October 2006.	Assessment Procedure
Raised	03/07/2006			Status update
Process	NORMAL			
Authority Report Date	TBC			
Modification Group	TBC			

P 203

Introduction of a seasonal Zonal Transmission Losses scheme

Proposer	Terry Ballard	Modification Description	Current progress	Current Status
Organisation	RWE NPOWER	This modification is based on the seasonal alternative solution to P198 developed at the modification group but without any phasing. Seasonal zonal Transmission Loss Factors (TLF) (the “applicable TLFs”) would be derived ex ante for application to generation and demand BMUs within a zone (the “applicable zone”) for each of the four BSC seasons. The proposed scheme would retain the current process for allocating transmission losses to generation and demand (45% of transmission losses to production accounts and 55% to consumption accounts).	On 13 July 2006, the BSC Panel submitted P203 to a 1 month Assessment Procedure, with an Assessment Report to be presented to the Panel on 10 August 2006.	Assessment Procedure
Raised	26/06/2006			Status update
Process	NORMAL			
Authority Report Date	tbc			
Modification Group	TBC			

## BSC MODIFICATION REGISTER (Part 1: Pending Modifications)

04/08/2006

<b>P 202</b>		<b>Energy Imbalance Incentive Band</b>		
<b>Proposer</b>	Keith Munday	<b>Modification Description</b>	<b>Current progress</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
<b>Organisation</b>	BIZZENERGY	All supplier trading parties with consumption accounts under the BSC should be allowed an Energy Imbalance Tolerance Band in certain defined circumstances. The band should be 20MWh per half-hour trading period. Imbalances within this narrow band should be cashed out at a different price to the current cashout price. The circumstances where this should be applied would be tailored to instances where the supplier is short of contracted power and the system is also short, in recognition of the fact that small parties cannot often contract for power either because of their size relative to the market or because of illiquidity in the traded markets. The price indicator in such circumstances should be linked to a market-based price, which would be the reverse price for the relevant trading period, rather than the main price which would otherwise have applied.	The proposer requested that the Modification be treated as urgent and the Panel recommended that the proposal not be treated as an Urgent Modification Proposal. The Authority has consented to the urgent treatment of the proposal and has directed an urgent timetable for its progression.	Urgent Timetable Applied
<b>Raised</b>	07/06/2006			<b>Status update</b>
<b>Process</b>	URGENT			12-Jun-06
<b>Authority Report Date</b>	August 2006			
<b>Modification Group</b>	PSMG			



## BSC MODIFICATION REGISTER (Part 1: Pending Modifications)

04/08/2006

<b>P 201</b>	<b>Energy Imbalance Tolerance Band</b>			
<b>Proposer</b>	Bill Bullen	<b>Modification Description</b>	<b>Current progress</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
<b>Organisation</b>	UTILITA	This Modification proposes all supplier trading parties with consumption accounts under the BSC should be allowed an Energy Imbalance Tolerance Band in certain defined circumstances. The band should be 20MWh per half-hour trading period.	In light of the Authority's decision to progress P202 - 'Energy Imbalance Incentive Band' as an Urgent Modification Proposal BSCCo recommended that P201 should also be progressed as an Urgent Modification Proposal. The Authority has decided that this Modification should be progressed as an Urgent Modification Proposal.	Urgent Timetable Applied
<b>Raised</b>	26/05/2006			<b>Status update</b> 14-Jun-06
<b>Process</b>	URGENT			
<b>Authority Report Date</b>	August 2006			
<b>Modification Group</b>	PSMG			

<b>P 200</b>	<b>Introduction of a Zonal Transmission Losses scheme</b>			
<b>Proposer</b>	Phil Lawless	<b>Modification Description</b>	<b>Current progress</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
<b>Organisation</b>	TEESSIDE POWER	Under the current BSC arrangements all transmission system losses are allocated to BSC parties in proportion to metered energy, whether production or consumption on a uniform allocation basis (45% to production accounts, 55% to consumption accounts). Therefore the current system provides no signal as to the different effect on transmission losses of generating and consuming electricity in different parts of the country.  The proposed scheme combines a transitional hedging scheme with the redefinition of TLFs proposed under P198. Therefore, if P198 addresses defects in the BSC, this proposed modification addresses exactly the same defects, whilst also providing a beneficial method of avoiding unnecessary windfall gains and losses.	On 11 May 2006, the BSC Panel submitted P200 to a 3-month Assessment Procedure, with an Assessment Report to be presented to the Panel on 10 August 2006.	Assessment Procedure
<b>Raised</b>	24/04/2006			<b>Status update</b> 16-May-06
<b>Process</b>	NORMAL			
<b>Authority Report Date</b>	August 2006			
<b>Modification Group</b>	P198 MG			

## BSC MODIFICATION REGISTER (Part 1: Pending Modifications)

04/08/2006

<b>P 198</b>		<b>Introduction of a Zonal Transmission Losses scheme</b>		
<b>Proposer</b>	Terry Ballard	<b>Modification Description</b>	<b>Current progress</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
<b>Organisation</b>	RWE NPOWER	It is proposed that a zonal transmission losses scheme is introduced to the GB BSC. This scheme would be based on the principles established under Modification P82. A single Transmission Loss Factor (TLF) (the "applicable TLF") would be derived ex ante for application to generation and demand BMUs within a zone (the "applicable zone") for a relevant period (the "applicable period"). The proposed scheme would retain the current process for allocating transmission losses to generation and demand (45% of transmission losses to production accounts and 55% to consumption accounts).	On 09 March 2006, the BSC Panel noted the P198 Interim Report and the recommendations of the P198 Modification Group; and agreed an extension to the Assessment Procedure timetable of three months, such that an Assessment Report will be presented to the Panel at its meeting of 10 August 2006.	Assessment Procedure
<b>Raised</b>	16/12/2005			<b>Status update</b>
<b>Process</b>	NORMAL			27-Jan-06
<b>Authority Report Date</b>	August 2006			
<b>Modification Group</b>	P82 TLFMG			

<b>P 197</b>		<b>SVA Qualification Processes Review</b>		
<b>Proposer</b>	Glenda Simons	<b>Modification Description</b>	<b>Current progress</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
<b>Organisation</b>	LAING ENERGY	This Modification proposes changes to the SVA Qualification Processes (Entry Processes, Certification and Accreditation) as recommended by the SVA Qualification Review Group and the Supplier Volume Allocation Group following the review of SVA Qualification Processes.	Final Modification Report issued to the Authority for determination on 14 June 2006. This report recommended that the Proposed Modification should not be made and the Alternative Modification should be made.	Authority
<b>Raised</b>	12/12/2005			<b>Status update</b>
<b>Process</b>	NORMAL			14-Jun-06
<b>Authority Report Date</b>	14 June 2006			
<b>Modification Group</b>	VASMG			