CM079 – Consideration of STC/STCP changes in relation to CMP330/374

02 June 2023





Modification Process

Code Modification Process Overview



Workgroup Responsibilities

Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and
Conduct to be
consistent with the
values of equality and
diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

Terms of Reference

CM079 – Consideration of STC/STCP changes in relation to CMP330/374

Workgroup Term of Reference		Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage)
а)	Quantitative assessment of the STC/STCP's, which will need to change to facilitate implementation of CMP374	
b)	Impact assessment against STC Applicable Objectives of ToR (a)	
c)	Ensure the appropriate Industry experts or stakeholders are engaged in the Workgroup to ensure that all potentially affected stakeholders have the opportunity to be represented in the Workgroup	
d)	The cross Code impacts this Modification has on CMP330/CMP374 solution and other areas of the CUSC	
e)		

Timeline Review

CM079 – Consideration of STC/STCP changes in relation to CMP330/374

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Workgroup Meeting 1	17 January 2022	Code Administrator Consultation	4 October – 25 October
Workgroup Meeting 2	11 February 2022	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel	21 November 2023
Workgroup Meeting 3	11 March 2022	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	29 November 2023
Workgroup Meeting 4 - Revisit Code mapping and establish requirements	2 June 2023	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	7 December 2023
Workgroup Meeting 5 - Develop Solution, Draft Legal Text and consider potential Workgroup Consultation questions	26 June 2023	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	10 December 2023
Workgroup 6 – Review Workgroup Consultation questions and finalise Workgroup Consultation, ToR Review	12 July 2023	Implementation Date	TBC
Workgroup Consultation	19 July – 08 August 2023		
Workgroup Meeting 6 - Review Workgroup Consultation responses, , consider new points raised, refine solution, review legal text and discuss any potential alternatives	14 August 2023		
Workgroup Meeting 7 - Finalise Workgroup Report and hold Workgroup Vote	08 September 2023		
Workgroup report issued to Panel (5 working days)	19 September 2023		
Workgroup report presented to Panel	27 September 2023		

Proposer Solution Map

Richard Woodward - NGET

TO/ESO interaction	CMP374/414 Solution/Consequence	STC Changes	Change Impact RAG	Wider concerns
Feasibility Study request	Not required/minimal [OPTIONAL]	STCP17-1		Charging statement
Initial User Application Form Raised	 Contestability intention is signalled Application Fee charges may need to change ATOCO process may need to be adjusted – impacts intervention criteria between Host TO and Affected TO 	 CUSC STCP19-6 + Refresh Template No impact (TBC for intervention criteria where works extend beyond just one TO region) 		
ESO issue SBN to TO + SBN technically competent. Clock starts	 Contestability signalled Pre-emptive Intervention initiated (<i>potentially</i>) Production of a single offer with contestable vs non-contestable options 	 STCP18-1 – 18-2; Where does the SBN live? Do we need to define to Contestability/User Build in the wider STC? Otherwise where do we put this criteria (same as (1)?) STCP18-1 – 18-2; Schedule 8 + Schedule 9 		STC would need to introduce the concept of contestability.
TOCO produced	Process to agree where there may be issues with intervention or design options	STCP18-1; Section D; Schedule 8		
Adoption Agreement negotiated	 Process to commence to negotiation Timeline to agree Rights for dispute Role of ESO (if any) 	 1+2 Do we need to define to Contestability/User Build in the wider STC? Otherwise where do we put these provisions for adoption agreement. 3. Section H + reference to relevant sections for Contestability + contracts (as above) 4. TBC 		Need to understand the legal driver to commence negotiation of Adoption Agreement vs TOCA
Commencement of works	 User Commitment – Finals Sums process (ensuring no double-counting where User is funding works) Enduring intervention process Process to consider subsequent applicants taking on Contestable Work Process for failure (above and beyond AA terms) 	 STCP19-2 2-4. Do we need to define to Contestability/User Build in the wider STC? Otherwise where do we put these provisions for intervention. 		
Construction	Same as above (1-2)			
Commissioning	 Outage coordination Cost data exchange Adoption process Witnessing commissioning Charging? 	Section D (Part 1, 2, 4, 5 (NOT IN TABLE OF CONTENTS!!!); STCP19-2; 19-3; 19-4; 11-1; 11-2; 11-3;		
Adoption/Enduring Ops	 Transfer process Adoption Fee Warranties 	Same as above		
Dispute Process	Through-out	Section H		Q. Disputes may crop up throughout process – do we rely on CUSC process/STC process or something being in the adoption agreement.
Definitions	Create new definitions to facilitate the changes needed	Section J + plus impacted STCPs and their associated definitions		

AOB and **Next Steps**

Any Questions?