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The Markets Roadmap outlines the ESO’s plans to reform our markets to enable zero-carbon operation by 2025 and fully decarbonise by 2035.

The markets roadmap also:

- Provides key insights into the different ESO markets as well as the key drivers for reform.
- Gives stakeholders confidence that we are making the right market reform and design decisions.
- Shares strategic questions we are currently tackling and signposts how industry can work with us to answer them.

[Markets Roadmap webpage]
# 2022 Highlights → 2023 Outlook and beyond

## In 2022, we achieved a lot in challenging environment

- We rapidly responded to the energy price crisis to ensure system security over winter, by:
  - Introducing winter contingency contracts with coal generators.
  - Developing the Demand Flexibility Service to access wide-scale demand turn down.
  - Adjusting our procurement strategies to mitigate costs increases.
- We still delivered on our commitments to:
  - Make our procurement more efficient.
  - Launch new markets.
  - Improve and expand our approach to stakeholder engagement.

## Some key deliverables for 2023

- Next update on our Net Zero Market Reform Programme this summer.
- Comprehensive review of the balancing mechanism.
- Launch of new reserve products in autumn.
- Launch of local constraints and stability markets, as well as EAC.

## Getting ready for 2035

- Ongoing support of REMA process.
- Analysis of key strategic considerations like central dispatch, locational pricing and future of capacity markets and contracts for difference.
- Optimised and coherent procurement of ancillary services.
Frequency response markets have expanded in scope but costs have remained the same

**What happened in 2022:**
- Launched Dynamic Regulation and Dynamic Moderation
- Reduction in MFR procured, saving almost £80m
- Growth of Dynamic Containment market to help secure losses
- Overall response volumes increased but costs remained stable

**What is happening 2023 and beyond:**
- Launch of our enduring auction capability for co-optimisation of products
- Removal of barriers to entry to some markets
- Introduction of Annual Development Cycle
Despite lower utilisation volumes, reserve costs increased significantly in 2022. New products will help to reduce costs and contain frequency more efficiently.

**What happened in 2022:**

- Reserve utilisation decreased by 11% in 2022 in comparison to previous Covid-19 affected years.
- Utilisation costs increased by 17% driven by higher fuel prices, as also observed in day-ahead markets.
- STOR volumes consistently secured the largest generation loss, including on high scarcity days due to a more dynamic ESO buy order.

**What’s happening in 2023 and beyond:**

- A benefits case for Balancing Reserve remains well-established but the delivery plan is being revised.
- Quick and Slow Reserve will also launch later in 2023 to help us to restore frequency to within operational limits more effectively.
- Negative iterations will help us to procure firm downward reserve to maintain footroom and secure large demand losses.
Thermal volumes and costs continue to grow, but ESO markets will reduce constraint costs

What happened in 2022:

• Volume of thermal constraints increased
• Costs rose given volume of actions required and global gas prices
• The Constraint Management Intertrip Scheme saw savings of £80million and 140,000 tonnes of carbon emissions
• Significant increase in ESO instructed interconnector trades to address an import constraint

What is happening 2023 and beyond:

• NOA forecasts an increase in thermal constraint costs up to 2030
• The MW Dispatch, Local Constraint Market and full CMIS launch
• Net Zero Market Reform project will continue to identify optimal wholesale market and policy reform to tackle rising thermal constraints.
We have started to implement findings from the Distributed ReStart Project into BAU restoration practices

What happened in 2022:

- Costs remained relatively consistent to previous years
- Launched new tenders (Northern and South-eastern) including DER which shows potential for new and diverse technology mix
- Launch of one-off wind only tender to further diversify the technology mix and to reduce reliance on volatile fossil fuels
- Reforms driven by ESRS obligations and de-carbonisation

What is happening 2023 and beyond:

- ESO & DNO feasibility testing following the expression of interest stage
- Award contracts to a new and diverse portfolio of technologies including DER
- Incorporating these learnings in to BAU in future tenders (Southwest & Midlands)
- Launch of first distributed restart zone

RT Figure 1 shows providers that came online in 2020, 2021 & 2022 as well as those who expressed interest in the three tenders launched in 2022.
Two more stability pathfinders concluded in 2022 whilst costs associated with increasing system inertia trebled in comparison with 2021.

What happened in 2022:

- Actions taken to reduce the size of the largest loss decreased very significantly.
- Actions to increase system inertia doubled in volume and trebled in cost (£104m) due to greater penetration of renewables and high gas costs.
- Stability Pathfinders 2 and 3 secured >20GVA.s inertia and >23GVA effective SCL, including the first grid-forming battery storage solutions.

What’s happening in 2023 and beyond:

- Lowering the minimum inertia threshold via FRCR will reduce the costs associated with managing system inertia.
- The Stability Market Design innovation project recommends three discrete markets – a long-term (Y-4), mid-term (Y-1) and short-term (D-1).
- A mid-term Y-1 stability market will be initiated in 2023 to access high-availability inertia as a cheaper alternative to the Balancing Mechanism.
- D-1 and Y-4 markets will be developed in parallel.
We are developing our procurement strategy as our voltage management requirements continue to rise

What happened in 2022:

- Voltage management requirements continued to grow
- The default payment rate increased due to gas prices
- We identified an estimated 3.4GVar of capacity from existing units
- Our Mersey Pathfinder delivered £12.6million in savings and is forecast to save £25.3m across 2023/24.

What is happening 2023 and beyond:

- Exploring how to harness this additional 3.4GVar
- Pennines New Services Procurement go-live in 2024
- Continue to develop our enduring market design

VT Figure 3: The utilisation and synchronisation costs between 2020-2022

Note: revenue recovered by the Transmission Owners related to their reactive compensation equipment cannot be identified within their overall Regulated Asset Base (RAB). The above chart, therefore, does not represent the full cost to consumers of voltage management in 2022.
Increasing number of dispatch actions for managing energy and system constraints. BM costs rise due to tight margins and high gas prices.

What happened in 2022:

• Actions and costs for managing energy balancing, thermal and stability constraints have increased across the board.

• Winter 2021 saw several very high-cost days in the BM. In January 2022 we commissioned a Review of the BM to understand underlying cost drivers.

• BM remains largely dominated by gas plant.

What is happening 2023 and beyond:

• As we integrate higher volumes of intermittent capacity, we expect greater proportion of balancing actions and high costs to manage system requirements.

• Open Balancing Programme is developing future balancing capabilities to improve dispatch efficiency and support new markets.

• We are undertaking a review of the BM as part of a wider assessment that will feed into the government’s REMA.
ESO Market Design Framework
An assessment on behalf of National Grid Electricity System Operator
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Introducing LCP

- We are a financial services and analytics consultancy with offices in London, Winchester, Edinburgh, Cambridge, Dublin and Paris.
- We are a partnership, founded in 1947.
- We offer independent modelling, software and advice across pensions, investment, insurance, energy and health.
- LCP Delta is our specialist energy practice, formed through the merger of LCP Energy and Delta-EE.

~ 1000 experts
6 offices
700+ clients
Advising 45% of the FTSE100
Introducing LCP Delta

Powering the energy transition across the whole value chain

LCP Delta is a specialised energy transition practice providing

Subscription research | Consulting | Data and analytics | Training

...to clients that are active in all parts of the value chain

Generation & storage | Networks | Demand

...delivering expertise and advice in

Power market forecasting | Energy storage & flexibility | Hydrogen | Power trading

Distributed power | Policy impact analysis | System modelling | Business models

EV charging infrastructure | Connected home & HEM | Low carbon heat | Customer decision making | Community energy

~ 100
people
Active since 2004
200+ clients
**ESO Markets Roadmap Assessment**

LCP Delta carried out a review of the ESO’s markets against its Market Design Framework

LCP Delta was commissioned by the ESO to undertake an independent review into how well aligned decisions and market developments have followed the Market Design Framework (MDF).

Where markets are being developed, the assessment will consider whether the approach taken by ESO in establishing these markets is in line with the principles.

Where markets are mature, the assessment will consider whether the way the market operates is in line with the framework and if any changes should be prioritised.

The assessment includes:

- A RAG rating against all principles (in blue);
- Analysis of the competitiveness of the auctions;
- Analysis of wholesale power market interactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market design fully aligned with the principles</td>
<td>Blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market design is aligned with the principles</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market design is adequately aligned with the principles</td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market design is not aligned with the principles</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**RAG ratings - Approach**

Assessing the framework

To RAG rate the ESO’s markets against each principle, we utilised its own framework user guide. This user guide provides a number of metrics underneath each principle.

This ‘long-list’ of metrics fed into the written assessment of each market, which when individually assessed gave an overall RAG rating for the principle, and therefore the market design objective.

We provided a RAG rating against each principle for each market other than for practicality.

We agreed to not assess practicality as this focuses on an ESO perspective of the market – which was difficult for outsiders to assess.
The Market Design Principles - Approach

Based on what the ESO is trying to achieve, the market design will never perfectly follow the MDF. So trade-off’s are considered.

Reviewing each of the markets on the same basis is difficult, as each market design is also based on a number of ‘trade-offs’. This means that every market assessment is slightly different.

These trade offs are based on:

- the system need,
- Investability (or how investable the market is),
- dispatch profile,
- Stackability (can the contract be stacked with other contracts?),
- Locational need.

Essentially, a market will never meet the a rigid MDF perfectly – and this explains why some market RAG ratings may seem inconsistent.
**Summary RAG assessment - Results**

The ESO’s markets are generally well aligned with the framework, but are areas for focus and improvement.

The table provides a summary of our RAG assessment for the MDF across all balancing services against the MDPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Mechanism</th>
<th>Competition</th>
<th>Coherence</th>
<th>Transparency</th>
<th>Investability</th>
<th>Locational Signals</th>
<th>Net Consumer Benefits</th>
<th>Practicality*</th>
<th>Adaptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Products</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick and Slow</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balancing Mechanism</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: RAG assessment of each product. ‘P’ denotes priority MDP

*The practicality principle hinges on the ESO’s internal processes, practices and infrastructure, and therefore, LCP Delta has not assessed this principle.
**Competition - Approach**

Competition was a priority area, and we assessed the concentration of the markets using HHI.

The ESO has agreed with Ofgem that a threshold of greater than 1500 moderately concentrated and greater than 1800 a concentrated market.

This is a commonly accepted threshold, however, LCP Delta has provided additional commentary and notes to help understand the underlying market dynamics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HHI</th>
<th>Screening Threshold agreed with Ofgem</th>
<th>LCP notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1000</td>
<td>Not Concentrated market</td>
<td>Not concentrated and a competitive market place exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-1500</td>
<td>Not Concentrated market</td>
<td>Not concentrated, but imperfect competition may exist, and may exceed moderately concentrated threshold in individual auctions. Market growth and mergers and acquisitions may cause concern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-1800</td>
<td>Moderately concentrated market</td>
<td>Moderately concentrated, and imperfect competition likely exists particularly in individual auctions – where it may likely exceed 1800 threshold on occasion. Market growth and mergers and acquisitions will likely cause concern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
<td>Highly concentrated market</td>
<td>A concentrated market that holds competitive concern. Individual auctions will fluctuate in HHI, however, it is likely that many significantly exceed this threshold. Action should be taken. Market growth and mergers and acquisitions will cause concern.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Market Concentration analysis

All ESO markets are not deemed to be concentrated, however, some are of concern and should be monitored regularly – showing signs of an oligopoly.

- All of the ESO’s energy balancing markets are below 1500 HHI, and therefore, not concentrated.
- STOR and DM-Low does exhibit an HHI greater than 1000 (averaged across the year). This represents concerns of individual auctions across the year exceeding 1500.
- Using top three and five company concentration ratio, STOR, and DM has signs of an oligopoly.
Wholesale Market Assessment

The ESO requested a particular review of interactions with the wholesale market, and we identified three key overarching interactions.

An impact on the wholesale market is unavoidable, but the ESO is developing good mitigations in its market design to limit any risk.

As part of the coherency principle, we assessed the ESO’s balancing services against its impact on the wholesale market.

We found that there is a real risk regarding the impact that the ESO’s balancing services has on the GB power wholesale market, particularly from energy products. This is not a new risk and it is driven by the competition for the same energy volumes. However, the ESO has developed adequate mitigations to limit this impact.

Supply competition

- Competition between the balancing services and the wholesale market for the same volume can impact on the supply curve of the different markets, impacting on price.

Inefficient dispatch

- Day-ahead trading session is becoming more crowded and risking inefficient dispatch.

System service payments supporting competitive pricing in the energy markets

- This impact is very limited, but the payment from a system service could act to support the bidding of a unit and impact on a natural merit order. Similar to the Capacity Market.
Summary - Key Takeaways

- Most products are partially aligned with the competition principle - despite this being a priority focus for all products. The ESO should ensure that enhancing competition (often through increasing participation) is considered further. This would ensure net-consumer benefit is maximised.

- Through HHI and top three and five company ratio analysis the concentration of the ESO’s markets suggest a competitive market place is exhibited. However, for STOR and Dynamic Moderation, the ESO should continue to monitor its liquidity - as they show signs of imperfect competition, particularly on an individual auction basis.

- The system services (particularly Pathfinders) show good signs of incentivising investment. This is required for new technologies meeting system needs, as they aren’t necessarily valued elsewhere (eg. the CM). Energy balancing services provide ‘investability’ through providing dependable short-term revenue streams that make up a blend of a providers revenues to make a viable business case. The ESO should focus on maintaining good transparency to market participants and consistent procurement that meets participants expectations.

- The BM is least well aligned with the framework. The BM is an imperfect marketplace, but it is a vital mechanism for managing the system. We do not propose fundamental reform, rather, the ESO should continue its reform of balancing services as per the Markets Roadmap to minimise the need for the BM to address system needs. In the interim, ESO should focus on increasing participation and competition.

- We find clear signs of wholesale market interaction with energy balancing services as they compete for the same supply - times of significant opportunity cost leads to market exits. Also, less of the Dynamic Response services bidding behaviour is generally linked to wholesale power market (price and spread) - and we pick up on other drivers.

- There’s a risk of inefficient unit dispatch from an increasingly congested and complicated Day-ahead trading session. In favour of sequential auctions (multiple auctions for individual services), the ESO is looking to implement co-optimised auctions which would ease this congestion and should decrease the risk of inefficient dispatch.
Contact details

Matthew Deitz
Consultant, Energy Economics and Finance

Tel: +44 (0)20 7432 6799
Email: Matthew.Deitz@lcp.uk.com
Disclaimer

About LCP Delta
LCP Delta is a trading name of Delta Energy & Environment Limited and Lane Clark & Peacock LLP. References in this document to LCP Delta may mean Delta Energy & Environment Limited, or Lane Clark & Peacock LLP, or both, as the context shall require.

Delta Energy & Environment Limited is a company registered in Scotland with registered number SC259964 and with its registered office at Argyle House, Lady Lawson Street, Edinburgh, EH3 9DR, UK.

Lane Clark & Peacock LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC301436. All partners are members of Lane Clark & Peacock LLP. A list of members’ names is available for inspection at 95 Wigmore Street, London, W1U 1DQ, the firm’s principal place of business and registered office. Lane Clark & Peacock LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is licensed by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries for a range of investment business activities.

LCP and LCP Delta are registered trademarks in the UK and in the EU. Locations in Cambridge, Edinburgh, London, Paris, Winchester and Ireland.

Copyright © 2023 LCP Delta.

https://www.lcp.uk.com/emails-important-information contains important information about this communication from LCP Delta, including limitations as to its use.

Disclaimer and use of our work
This work has been produced by LCP Delta under the terms of our written agreement with National Grid for the Client's sole use and benefit, subject to agreed confidentiality provisions, and for no other purpose. To the greatest extent permitted by law, unless otherwise expressly agreed by us in writing, LCP Delta accepts no duty of care and/or liability to any third party for any use of, and/or reliance upon, our work. This document contains confidential and commercially sensitive information. Should any requests for disclosure of information contained in this document be received, LCP Delta request that we be notified in writing of the details of such request and that we be consulted and our comments taken into account before any action is taken.

Where this report contains projections, these are based on assumptions that are subject to uncertainties and contingencies. Because of the subjective judgements and inherent uncertainties of projections, and because events frequently do not occur as expected, there can be no assurance that the projections contained in this report will be realised and actual events may be different from projected results. The projections supplied are not to be regarded as firm predictions of the future, but rather as illustrations of what might happen. Parties are advised to base their actions on an awareness of the range of such projections, and to note that the range necessarily broadens in the latter years of the projections.
Enduring Auction Capability

Markets Roadmap 2023– 25/04/23
Enduring Auction Capability (EAC)

The current design for Response services has highlighted several challenges...

- Providers blind guess where other parties will tender – risk of oversupplying a single market when other markets are left empty
- Limited ability to split capacity and stack revenues across different services
- Limited options available to market participants (e.g., maximum of three orders per unit per delivery period, with only one child allowed for each parent order)

Enduring Auction Capability

The EAC will deliver co-optimised procurement of day-ahead Response and Reserve services, which would be scalable and extendable to new services and products.

Benefits of the EAC

- Better user experience from fewer manual processes and utilisation of technology to facilitate bidding
- Closer to real-time procurement leading to increased market liquidity and participation
- Easy access across multiple markets from connected and co-optimised auctions for ancillary services
- Improved levels of flexibility and configurability to adapt to changes in service procurement
EAC Delivery Roadmap

### 2022
- **Sep**: High-Level Market Design
- **Oct**: Detailed Market Design
- **Nov**: 1st Industry Webinar
- **Dec**: Auction Platform Proof of Concept (Sprints)
- **Jan**: EAC Questionnaire
- **Feb**: SMP Workshop
- **Mar**: EAC Market Design 1-2-1s

### 2023
- **Apr**: EBR Consultation
- **May**: Integration Testing
- **Jun**: Market trial guidance
- **Jul**: Market Trials Registration
- **Aug**: Mock auctions
- **Sep**: API documentation available for market providers
- **Oct**: User Interface Development
- **Nov**: Ongoing training and support
- **Dec**: UI demonstration workshop

#### Auction Go-Lives
- **Response**: Late September 2023
- **Quick Reserve**: Mid October 2023
- **Slow Reserve**: Mid November 2023
Q&A

Please put any questions you have in the Q&A function and we'll do our best to answer them.
Thanks for attending

• Please give us feedback on today’s webinar and on the ESO 2023 Markets Roadmap by completing a quick survey – Scan the QR code or click the [LINK] in the chat.

• If you have any questions or would like to talk to us, please contact us: [box.market.dev@nationalgrideso.com]