

# Grid Code Review Panel KPIs

David Smith, 4<sup>th</sup> February – Grid Code Review Panel



**nationalgrid**

The power of action.™

# Agenda

---


- ◆ Background
- ◆ Grid Code change KPIs
- ◆ Panel KPIs
- ◆ Next steps

# Background

---

- ◆ Drivers
  - ◆ Governance review
  - ◆ Focus on UNC/CUSC/BSC but should adopt best practice for Grid Code
- ◆ Benchmarking

# Grid Code change KPIs (illustrative purposes only)



# Assessment difficulty

---

Points awarded (1 for each)

- ◆ Major reform
- ◆ Complex area (e.g. Gas Insulated Switchgear, Reactive)
- ◆ Working group required
- ◆ Urgent
- ◆ More than one solution likely
- ◆ Cross code issue

	Low	Medium	High
Scoring	0	1-3	4+

# Assessment traffic light

	Time	Quality
<b>Green</b>	No of Issues/Mods less than or equal to initial plan	Panel accept National Grid/working group recommendation to move to company consultation
<b>Amber</b>	No of Issues/Mods greater than initial plan but agreed variation with Panel	Panel reject National Grid/working group recommendation to move to industry consultation but not due to failure of analysis, ToR, process or Presentation
<b>Red</b>	No of Issues/Mods less than or equal to initial plan, no agreed variation with Panel	Panel reject National Grid/working group recommendation to move to industry consultation due to failure of analysis, ToR, process or presentation

Implementation –

Green as per plan  
Red later than plan

# Panel KPIs (initially panel only)

KPI	Measure	Month	Rolling average
Papers issued	Number of papers	4	6
Papers issued late	Number of papers issued late. Target is five working days before meeting	0	2
Draft minutes issued on time	Working days late. Target is 10 working days after panel Meeting	0	0
Final minutes Issued on time	Working days late. Target is 10 working days after panel meeting	0	0
Web site updated on time	Number of papers issued late. Target is five working days before meeting for papers, final minutes 10 working days after Panel	0	0

*(illustrative purposes only)*

# Issues with the Authority

---

- ◆ B/09 – Grid Code Requirements for Category 5 Intertripping Arrangements
  - ◆ Submitted to the Authority on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2009
  - ◆ 7 responses received
- ◆ D/09 – Automatic LFDD
  - ◆ Submitted to the Authority on 11<sup>th</sup> December 2009
  - ◆ 5 responses received



# Authority Decisions received

---

- ◆ E/09 – Provision of Reactive Power from Power Park Modules, Large Power Stations and Embedded Power Stations (Consequential amendment from CAP169)
  - ◆ Authority approved WGAA3 for CAP169
  - ◆ CAP169 raised on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2009
  - ◆ E/09 sent to the Authority on 24<sup>th</sup> November 2009
  - ◆ Authority decision on 21<sup>st</sup> December 2009
  - ◆ Implementation Date is 21<sup>st</sup> March 2010
  - ◆ 4 responses received

## Next steps

---

- ◆ Based upon feedback, trial KPIs at next panel meeting
- ◆ Once established look to extend panel KPIs to working groups

# Panel recommendations

---

- ◆ **Agree** to trial KPIs at next panel meeting
- ◆ **Note** the intention to make KPIs a standing agenda item thereafter
- ◆ **Note** the intention to extend panel KPIs to standing / working groups at a later date