

## CMP261 Send Back CUSC Panel 1 Pager

---

1. Are legal text 'corrections' (as set out in the legal text section of the Ofgem send back letter dated 22 February) to the four existing Proposals permissible?
  - All Workgroup members support this.
2. Are legal text 'corrections' not identified (i.e. the sign of the GDSadj term also needs to be corrected for the Original Proposal and WACM1) in the send back letter permissible to the four existing Proposals?
  - All Workgroup members support this based on Ofgem's confirmation.
3. Are other changes to the Proposals presented in the FMR amendable under send back powers?
  - 3 Workgroup members believe it is **not** permissible.
  - 7 Workgroup members believe it **is** permissible.
4. Are changes for the passage of time (shunting entire Proposals forward 1 year in legal text) related to the decision permissible?
5. Are changes for the passage of time (changing references from Charging Year 2016/2017 to 2017/2018 in legal text) related to the decision permissible?
6. Are new WACM's permissible that address what is in the send back letter?
  - 3 Workgroup members believe it is **not** permissible.
  - 7 Workgroup members believe it **is** permissible.
7. Are new WACM's permissible that go beyond what is in the send back letter (passage of time)?
  - 4 Workgroup members believe it is **not** permissible.
  - 6 Workgroup members believe it **is** permissible.
8. Are new WACM's permissible that go beyond what is in the send back letter?
  - 9 Workgroup members believe it is **not** permissible.
  - 1 Workgroup members believe it **is** permissible.
9. If new WACM's are raised is a re-vote prudent on all Proposals?