Consultation Response Proforma

**C16 Consultation**

**Appendix A**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on the 7th December 2021**

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com

If you are using the above hyperlink to respond, please check that the “s” at the end of” balancingservices” populates.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Please express your views regarding the consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Early Consultation** |
| 1 | Do you agree with NGESO’s views in responses to the Early consultation? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Procurement Guidelines** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to new Response services? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to amendments to cease the procurement of Dynamic Firm Frequency Response (DFFR)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to amendments to replace Optional Downward Flexibility Management (ODFM), with a new reserve product? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to amendments to move STOR into Positive Slow Reserve? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to amendments for NGESO to stop procuring Enhanced Frequency Response (EFR)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you find the Procurement Guidelines Report and MBSS useful? Can you provide examples of what you have used them for? Do you have any suggestions on improvements? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BPS** |
| 1 | Do you find the BPS Report useful? Can you provide examples of what you have used them for? Do you have any suggestions on improvements? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BPS or the BPS reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BSAD** |
| 1 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **ABSVD** |
| 1 | Are you supportive of our intent to enhance NGESO systems and processes to enable a consistent approach across BM and non-BM units for ABSVD and Dynamic Frequency Response services? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **SMAF** |
| 1 | Do you find the SMAF Report useful? Can you provide examples of what you have used them for? Do you have any suggestions on improvements? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF or the SMAF reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |