# **CUSC Key Performance Indicators Quarter 1 – January – April 2015**







#### Introduction

- As part of the Code Governance Review Final Proposals, the Code Of Practice was established. This included a Principle for Code Administrators to report on KPIs
- The KPIs cover two measures:
  - Qualitative
  - Quantitative
- The KPIs are reported to the Panel each quarter, on a retrospective basis
- The KPIs identified in the COP are a minimum requirement and may be expanded

Quality of Assessment					
Measure	Quarter 1	YTD	YTD%	Comments	
Final decision by Authority which					
ACCORDS with Panel					
recommendation against the				CMP238, CMP240 and	
relevant objectives	3	3	N/A	CMP241	
Final decision by Authority which					
CONFLICTS with Panel					
recommendation owing to wider					
statutory considerations	0	0	N/A		
Final decision which conflicts with					
Panel recommendation but NOT					
owing to wider duties	0	0	N/A		
Reports 'sent back' by Authority	0	0	N/A		

Effective Communication					
Measure	Quarter 1	YTD	YTD%	Comments	
Average number of respondents to				CMP235/6, CMP237,	
Workgroup Consultation	8	8	N/A	CMP239, CMP241	
Average number of respondents to					
Industry Consultation	6	6	N/A	CMP240 and CMP241	
Glossary and plain English summary					
provided with reports	100%	100%	100%		

<sup>\*</sup> In the CUSC, 'Industry Consultation' is referred to as the 'Code Administrator Consultation' (Section 8)

Efficient Administration 1					
Measure	Quarter 1	YTD	YTD%	Comments	
CUSC Panel Papers Issued	20	20	N/A		
Late Papers	1	1	5%		
Number of Final Modification					
Reports submitted in line with					
original timetable	2	2	N/A	CMP240, CMP241	
Number of Final Modification					
Reports submitted with extension	1	1	33%	CMP238	
				3 for CMP237, 3 for	
Number of extensions to timetables				CMP227 and 1 for	
requested	7	7	N/A	CMP235/6	
Average business days between					
standard proposal raised and				CMP238, CMP240,	
submitted to the Authority	52	52	N/A	CMP241	
Average Business days between Self-					
governance proposal raised and					
submitted for CUSC Panel decision	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>\*</sup>Target for publication is 5 Working Days before Panel meeting for Panel papers and 1 Working Day after Panel meeting for publication of final minutes

<sup>\*\*</sup>Original Timetable as agreed by CUSC Panel

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The CUSC defines a Standard proposal as 'neither suitable for inclusion in a Significant Code Review nor meets the Self-governance criteria'.



Efficient Administration 2					
Measure	Quarter 1	YTD	YTD%	Comments	
Average business days between					
standard proposal submitted to					
Authorty for decision and decision					
published	18	18	N/A	CMP238, CMP240	
Average business days between					
Authority decision on standard CUSC		_			
proposal and implementation	N/A	N/A	N/A	CMP240	
Average business days between					
authority decision on standard					
Charging modification and					
implementation	10	10	N/A	CMP240	
Average business days between Self-					
Governance decision and					
implementation	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Number of CUSC Modification					
Proposals progressed though self-					
governance	0	0	N/A		
Number of CUSC Modification					
Proposals progressed through Fast-					
Track	0	0	N/A		

<sup>\*</sup>The YTD data for this measure could be affected by the Authority changing the route of a Proposal at a later state prior to the Panel's final determination, or by an appeal which may result in the Authority making the final determination instead of the Panel.

Effective Administration 3						
Measure	Quarter 2	YTD	YTD%	Comments		
Number of urgent modifications						
raised	1	1	50	CMP241		
Average business days between						
Authority decision on urgent CUSC						
proposal and implementation	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Implementation costs					
Measure	Quarter 1	YTD	YTD%	Comments	
Number of final CUSC Modification					
Reports where implementation					
costs estimates were available for					
consultation	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Average percentage difference					
between estimated and actual costs	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>\*</sup>Refers to Central Systems only and therefore may often be Not Applicable .