CUSC Alternative and Workgroup Vote

**CMP364: Definition changes for CMP363**

**Please note:** To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

**Stage 1 - Alternative Vote**

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs).

**Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote**

2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

2b) If WACMs exist, vote on whether each WACM better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives better than the Original Modification Proposal.

2c) Vote on which of the options is best.

**Terms used in this document**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Term** | **Meaning** |
| Baseline | The current CUSC (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made) |
| Original | The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification |
| WACM | Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup) |

**The applicable CUSC (Non-Charging) objectives are:**

1. The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;
2. Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
3. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and
4. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

\*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

Workgroup Vote

**Stage 1 – Alternative Vote**

Vote on Workgroup Alternative Requests to become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.

*The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.*

*Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chairman believe that the potential alternative solution would better facilitate the CUSC objectives* *than the Original proposal then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.*

“Y” = Yes

“N” = No

“-“ = Neutral

**No Alternative Vote for CMP364**

**Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives**

To assess the original and WACMs against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

ACO = Applicable CUSC Objective

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Grahame Neale - ESO | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Lee Stone – E.ON | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Lee Wells/Kara Burke – Northern Powergrid | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Lauren Jauss/Raoul Thulin– RWE Supply & Trading GmbH | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Grace March - Sembcorp | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Binoy Dharsi/Simon Vicary – EDF Energy | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Edda Dirks/Garth Graham – SSE Generation Limited | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

**Stage 2b – WACM Vote (If required)**

Where one or more WACMs exist, does each WACM better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the Original Modification Proposal?

**No WACMs for CMP364**

**Stage 2c – Workgroup Vote**

Which option is the best? (Baseline or Proposer solution (Original Proposal))

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Company** | **BEST Option?** | **Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)** |
| Grahame Neale | ESO |  |  |
| Lee Stone | E.ON |  |  |
| Lee Wells | Northern Powergrid |  |  |
| Lauren Jauss | RWE Supply & Trading GmbH |  |  |
| Grace March | Sembcorp |  |  |
| Binoy Dharsi | EDF Energy |  |  |
| Edda Dirks | SSE Generation Limited |  |  |

Of the 7 votes, how many voters said this option was better than the Baseline.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Option** | **Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline** |
| Original |  |