

# Relevant Interruption Claims Report 24<sup>th</sup> January 2013 – 31<sup>st</sup> January 2014

20th February 2014 Published on:

This report details information in respect to Relevant Interruption claims received during the reporting period of 24th January 2013 – 31st January 2014.

# **Contents**



## Any Questions?

Contact: **Jade Clarke** 

Code Administrator



Jade.clarke@nationalgr id.com



01926 653606

Version	Date	Author	Change Reference
0.1	20 <sup>th</sup> February 2014	Code Administrator	Report to CUSC Panel

1. CMP212 Relevant Interruption Claims Report......3

## 1. CMP212 Relevant Interruption Claims Report

### 1.1 Purpose of Relevant Interruption Claims Report

As a result of the implementation of CMP212 – Setting limits for claim: submission, validation and minimum financial threshold values in relation to Relevant Interruptions, it was agreed that the Code Administrator would produce a report to the CUSC Panel detailing information in respect of the Relevant Interruptions received during the reporting period.

This report covers the reporting period from 24<sup>th</sup> January 2013 – 31<sup>st</sup> January 2014.

## 1.2 Summary

During the reporting period there were a total of ten claims received. Table 1 below shows more detail of the claim status.

Table 1

Claims being processed	Claims Pending Payment	Claims Paid	Claims Rejected	Total Claims Received
1	0	2	7	10

Ten claims were received under the CMP212 process, three claims were valid and seven claims were rejected.

The two claims which were valid (and subsequently paid) were received before the 24<sup>th</sup> February 2013 and were regarding incidents dating back to May 2011 and July 2011. These were both resolved and paid under CMP212 in 2013.

There is one claim currently being processed.

The eight claims that were rejected under CMP212 were either not valid or paid under different mechanisms i.e. CAP76 - Treatment of System to Generator Intertripping Schemes.

# 1.3 Additional Detail

Table 2 shows details of individual claims in date order.

Table 2

Claim	Status	Days between claim submission and final letter	Extension to CUSC Section 5.10.6 agreed	Paid Value £k (nearest 5k)
1	Rejected	27	n/a	n/a
2	Rejected	25	n/a	n/a
3	Rejected	25	n/a	n/a
4	Rejected	37	n/a	n/a
5	Valid	32	n/a	5k*
6	Valid	210	Yes	480k
7	Rejected	16	n/a	n/a
8	Rejected	67	Yes	n/a
9	Valid – being processed	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	Rejected	35	n/a	n/a

<sup>\*</sup>claim value was less than 1k.