

Final Self-Governance Modification Report

CMP372: CUSC changes to reflect the terms of the UK's departure from the EU

Overview: The proposal aims to ensure that retained EU law functions effectively in the context of the CUSC following the UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement and the end of the transition period. It will ensure that the CUSC takes into account the provisions in the relevant Statutory Instruments prepared under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020.

Modification process & timetable

Proposal Form

Code Administrator Consultation

20 May 2021 - 11 June 2021

Draft SG Modification Report

3 17 June 2021

4

5

6

Final SG Modification Report 07 July 2021

Appeals Window

07 July 2021 – 28 July 2021

Implementation 03 August 2021

Have 5 minutes? Read our Executive summary

Have 20 minutes? Read the full Final Self-Governance Modification Report Have 30 minutes? Read the full Final Self-Governance Modification Report and Annexes.

Status summary: The Panel has made their determination vote and an appeals window has opened.

Panel recommendation: The Panel has determined unanimously that the Proposer's solution is implemented.

This modification is expected to have a: Low impact on all CUSC parties.

Governance route	Self-Governance modification to proceed to Code Administrator Consultation				
Who can I talk to about the change?	Proposer: Katharina Birkner National Grid ESO Katharina.Birkner@nationalgrid.com 07773647025	Code Administrator Contact: Paul Mullen Paul.J.Mullen@nationalgrideso.com 07794537028			
Appeals window	If you want to appeal this decision, please send your <u>appeals form</u> and relevant documentary evidence to <u>industrycodes@ofgem.gov.uk</u> by 5pm on 28 July 2021 and ensure you copy in cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com				



Contents

Contents	2
Executive summary	3
What is the issue?	4
Why change?	4
What is the solution?	5
Proposer's solution	5
Legal text	5
What is the impact of this change?	5
Proposer's assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives	5
Proposer's assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	5
Code Administrator Consultation summary	6
Panel Recommendation Vote	6
When will this change take place?	9
Implementation Date	9
Date decision required by	9
Implementation approach	9
Interactions	9
Acronyms, key terms and reference material	9
Reference material	10
Δnnexes	10



Executive summary

This proposal seeks to ensure that retained EU law functions effectively in the context of the CUSC following the UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement and the end of the transition period. It will ensure that the CUSC takes into account the provisions in the relevant Statutory Instruments prepared under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020.

What is the issue?

On 24 December 2020 the UK reached an agreement with the EU on the terms of the UK's departure from the EU, the UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA). This was ratified by the UK Parliament on 30 December 2020. At 11pm on 31 December 2020, the Brexit transition period ended. The UK left the EU single market and customs union and EU law ceased to apply to the UK.

In the Proposer's view the CUSC needs to be amended to ensure the provisions in the relevant Statutory Instruments prepared under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020 will function effectively in the context of the CUSC following the end of the transition period.

What is the solution and when will it come into effect?

Proposer's solution: The Proposer is seeking to modify existing references to EU Regulations with appropriate references so that the references appropriately reflect the change in status to Retained EU law as foreseen in the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 and the relevant Statutory Instruments that have been prepared under it.

Implementation Date: As soon as reasonably practicable, and in alignment with the equivalent Grid Code and STC modifications

What is the impact if this change is made?

In the Proposer's view this proposal is expected to have a low impact on all CUSC parties. The CUSC needs to be amended to ensure the provisions in the relevant Statutory Instruments prepared under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 (as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020) will function effectively in the context of the CUSC following the end of the transition period.

Interactions

It is understood that other GB frameworks require revision as a result of the UK leaving the EU with an agreement. Modifications have been raised at the STC and Grid Code panels at the same time as this modification. However, this modification is not interactive with any proposal for changes to other GB frameworks.



What is the issue?

On 24 December 2020 the UK reached an agreement with the EU on the terms of the UK's departure from the EU, the UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA). This was ratified by the UK Parliament on 30 December 2020. At 11pm on 31 December 2020, the Brexit transition period ended. The UK left the EU single market and customs union and EU law ceased to apply to the UK.

Why change?

In the Proposer's view, the CUSC needs to be amended to ensure the provisions in the relevant Statutory Instruments prepared under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020 will function effectively in the context of the CUSC following the end of the transition period.

In the Proposer's view the CUSC contains a small number of references to EU Regulations and EU entities. These were introduced as a consequence of the integration of the UK into the EU Internal Energy Market (IEM) and more specifically in order to comply with a number of EU Regulations which were directly applicable in UK Law.

Following the outcome of a referendum on UK membership of the EU on 23 June 2016, the UK government notified its intention to leave the EU in March 2017 (as permitted by Article 50 of the Treaty on European Union).

The UK's departure became effective on 31 January 2020, at which point the UK entered a transition period which was in effect until IP (Implementation Period) completion day, 31 December 2020. During the transition period the UK had to comply with all EU rules and laws.

Following the ratification of the TCA and the end of the transition period, EU law that has not been retained ceased to apply to the UK. The agreement does not outline in detail the relevant arrangements that will apply regarding the CUSC. However, in the absence of further information the ESO propose updating the CUSC to correct content that became out of date at 11pm on 31 December 2020. If further modifications are required in the future to outline additional post-Brexit arrangements, the ESO will raise them at the appropriate time.

The approach specified in the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 (as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020 is to incorporate EU law into UK law (where possible). The UK Government has published Statutory Instruments with the aim of correcting, removing and/or amending provisions of EU Regulations as appropriate, relevant to the electricity industry, such that they reflect that the UK no longer being an EU Member State.

Additional background to this modification: In February 2019, the ESO raised CMP309 ('CUSC changes in the event the UK leaves the EU without an agreement') in anticipation of the possibility of the UK leaving the EU without a deal. Following the announcement of the Brexit deal, this modification was withdrawn by the ESO in January 2021 as it dealt specifically with arrangements in a 'no deal' scenario. However, the legal text for this new



modification is the same as that proposed in CMP309, as the contents of the TCA require no further changes to be made to the CUSC arrangements from January 2021.

What is the solution?

Proposer's solution

In the Proposer's view it is proposed to modify existing references to EU Regulations with appropriate references so that the references appropriately reflect the change in status to Retained EU law as foreseen in the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 and the relevant Statutory Instruments that have been prepared under it.

Legal text

The legal text for this change can be found in Annex 3.

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives					
Relevant Objective	Identified impact				
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the	Positive				
obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission	It ensures the CUSC is				
Licence;	accurate to avoid confusion				
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and	Neutral				
supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith)					
facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and					
purchase of electricity;					
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any	Neutral				
relevant legally binding decision of the European					
Commission and/or the Agency *; and					
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and	Positive				
administration of the CUSC arrangements.	It ensures the CUSC is				
	accurate to avoid confusion.				
*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009	9/714/EC. Reference to the				
Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regu	lators (ACER).				

Proposer's assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories					
Stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	Identified impact				
Improved safety and reliability of the system	Neutral				
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	Neutral				
Benefits for society as a whole	Neutral				
Reduced environmental damage	Neutral				
Improved quality of service	Neutral				



Code Administrator Consultation summary

The Code Administrator Consultation was run from 20 May 2021 to 5pm on 11 June 2021 with 1 non-confidential response received, which supported the change. The respondent did however note that:

"As part of the role of Critical Friend, the ESO should be prepared to help Proposers understand and be aware of the difference between this version of the CUSC (once implemented) and the current baseline. For example, some potential Proposers may not realise that EBR Article 18 places the same obligations in practicalities as EBGL Article 18".

The full response can be found in Annex 4.

Panel Determination Vote

The Panel will meet on the 25 June 2021 to carry out their determination vote.

They assessed whether a change should be made to the CUSC by assessing the proposed change and any alternatives against the Applicable Objectives.

Vote 1: Does the Original facilitate the objectives better than the Baseline?

Panel Member: Andv Pace

I GITOI WOIT	1001. / ulay I	400			
	Better	Better	Better	Better	Overall (Y/N)
	facilitates	facilitates	facilitates	facilitates AO	
	AO (a)?	AO (b)?	AO (c)?	(d)?	
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes
Voting St	atomont				

Voting Statement

This mod is necessary to implement the EU withdrawal arrangements into the legal text of CUSC. We support this mod and assess it as better meeting applicable CUSC objectives (a) and (d).

Panel Member: Cem Suleyman

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

I believe that CMP372 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives for the same reasons as provided by the Proposer.



Panel Member: Garth Graham

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes
Voting Sta	etement				

My vote is positive on (a) and (d) (whilst being neutral on the other applicable objectives) for the reasons set out in the proposal.

Panel Member: Grace March

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

This modification ensures the CUSC is referencing the correct legislation and such ensures Network Operators can fulfil their obligations. It also improves the accuracy and efficiency of the CUSC itself.

Panel Member: Joe Dunn

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

Positive ACO (D) as aligns with other codes and therefore improves efficiency and administration.

Panel Member: Jon Wisdom

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

The original solution for CMP372 modifies existing references to EU Regulations with appropriate references so that the change in status to Retained EU law as in the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2020 and the relevant Statutory Instruments that have been prepared under it are appropriately reflected. The solution ensures the CUSC remains accurate to avoid stakeholder confusion about the post Brexit arrangements. This better facilitates objective a) and d).



Panel Member: Mark Duffield

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

By updating the CUSC to reflect updates to UK law following the completion of the Brexit Transition Period on 31 December 2020, I believe that the amendment better facilitates applicable objectives a and d.

Panel Member: Paul Jones

		Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original Yes Neutral Neutral Yes Ye	Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

Ensures CUSC accurately reflects the position with respect to retained EU law and how it impacts on the code.

Panel Member: Paul Mott

	Better facilitates AO (a)?	Better facilitates AO (b)?	Better facilitates AO (c)?	Better facilitates AO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes

Voting Statement

CMP372 ensures the CUSC is accurate to avoid confusion. It will modify existing references to EU Regulations with appropriate references so that the references appropriately reflect the change in status to Retained EU law as foreseen in the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 and the relevant Statutory Instruments that have been prepared under it.

Vote 2 - Which option is the best?

Panel Member	BEST Option?	Which objectives does this option better facilitate? (If baseline not applicable).
Andy Pace	Original	a, d
Cem Suleyman	Original	a, d
Garth Graham	Original	a, d
Grace March	Original	a, d
Joe Dunn	Original	d
Jon Wisdom	Original	a, d
Mark Duffield	Original	a, d
Paul Jones	Original	a, d
Paul Mott	Original	a, d



Panel conclusion

The Panel unanimously determined that the Proposer's solution should be implemented.

When will this change take place?

Implementation Date

As soon as reasonably practicable, and in alignment with the equivalent Grid Code and STC modifications.

Date decision required by

As soon as reasonably practicable, and in alignment with the equivalent Grid Code and STC modifications.

Implementation approach

No implementation costs are associated with this modification.

Interactions			
⊠ Grid Code	□BSC	⊠STC	□SQSS
□European	☐ EBGL Article 18	□ Other	□Other
Network Codes	T&Cs ¹	modifications	

It is understood that other GB frameworks require revision as a result of the UK leaving the EU with an agreement. Modifications have been raised at the STC and Grid Code panels at the same time as this modification. However, this modification is not interactive with any proposal for changes to other GB frameworks.

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning	
BSC	Balancing and Settlement Code	
CMP	CUSC Modification Proposal	
CUSC	Connection and Use of System Code	
EBGL	Electricity Balancing Guideline	
STC	System Operator Transmission Owner Code	
SQSS	Security and Quality of Supply Standards	
T&Cs	Terms and Conditions	
Brexit	UK leaving the European Union following result of 2016 EU exit referendum	
IP completion day	Implementation Period completion day, which is the 31 December 2020.	
TCA	UK-EU Trade and Cooperation Agreement	
European Union Withdrawal Act 2018	European Union Withdrawal Act 2018 (as amended by the European Union (Withdrawal Agreement) Act 2020	

¹ If the modification has an impact on Article 18 T&Cs, it will need to follow the process set out in Article 18 of the European Electricity Balancing Guideline (EBGL – EU Regulation 2017/2195) – the main aspect of this is that the modification will need to be consulted on for 1 month in the Code Administrator Consultation phase. N.B. This will also satisfy the requirements of the NCER process.



Reference material

- European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018
- The Electricity and Gas etc. (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
- The Electricity Network Codes and Guidelines (Markets and Trading)
 (Amendment) (EU (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
- The Electricity Network Codes and Guidelines (System Operation and Connection) (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
- The Electricity and Gas (Market Integrity and Transparency) (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
- <u>Statutory Consultation on licence modification in the event the UK leaves the EU</u> without a deal

Annexes

Annex	Information
Annex 1	Proposal form
Annex 2	Self-Governance Statement
Annex 3	Legal Text
Annex 4	Code Administrator Consultation Response