

CMP192 – Arrangements for Enduring Generation User Commitment



CUSC Modifications Panel, 11th November 2011
Code Administrator – Emma Clark

CMP192 – Introduction

- CMP192 “Enduring Generation User Commitment” submitted by National Grid to CUSC Panel on 25 February 2011
- Workgroup convened to develop enduring proposal and report back in 5 months
- Timetable subsequently extended to 7 months due to complexity and breadth of issues
- Significant and complex proposal

CMP192 Defect

- User Commitment methodology is not defined in the CUSC
- User commitment perceived as a barrier to entry by the industry
- No account of generators' perceived risk profile
- Large, volatile and non-transparent liabilities

CMP192 Solution

- Add new section to the CUSC defining the principles of User Commitment.
- Local / Wider split between pre and post-commissioning generators
- Provide incentive so TOs receive accurate and timely information
- Focus on optimising future transmission investment

Workgroup Consultation

- 23 responses received
- 9 Workgroup Consultation Alternative Requests received
- Majority not supportive of Original.

CMP192 Alternatives

CMP192 Work Group Alternatives												
Notice Period	Pre : Post 4 : 4				Pre : Post 4 : 2				Pre : Post Wider 2 : 2 / local 4 unless FSL			
Profile	25,50,75,100 : 100, 75, 50, 25				25,50,75,100 : 100, 75				75,100 : 100, 75			
Additional features:												
FSL (no 1,2,3 £/kW) option	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1,2,3 £/kW capped @ YR-4 (i.e. 25% or FSL)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Grandfathering option for pre-commissioning	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
50% sharing for all local reinforcements where demand is existing or planned at the site	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
Work Group Alternative Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

Workgroup Conclusions and Vote

- Workgroup has met the terms of reference
- 12 Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACM) developed
- 14 Workgroup members eligible to vote
- Each WACM received majority support for better facilitating at least one of the Objectives
- Original did not receive majority support
- No single WACM received majority support
- WACM 8 received 5 votes out of a possible 14

Code Administrator Consultation

- 19 responses received
- Majority not supportive of Original
- No overall majority for anyone one WACM but significant support for WACM 8 and WACM 12.

National Grid Opinion

- National Grid believes that the Original and Alternatives 1 to 4 and 9 to 12 better meet the Applicable CUSC Objectives, with Alternative 10 as best.

Panel Recommendation Vote

- (a) the efficient discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed upon it under the Act and by this licence; and
- (b) facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.
- (c) compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency