CMP191 NETSO Consultation in relation to any potential changes to the CUSC which takes place in forums other than the CUSC Modifications Panel





CUSC Modifications Panel, 30<sup>th</sup> September 2011 Alex Thomason – Code Administrator



#### **CMP191**

- Raised by SSE on 14<sup>th</sup> February 2011
- BSC Modification P271 and Grid Code Panel Paper also raised
- Joint BSC, CUSC, Grid Code Workgroup established
- Held 5 meetings between March and July 2011



#### **CMP191 Defect**

- Third Energy Package adopted by EU in July 2009
  - Requires creation of European Network Codes (ENCs)
  - ENCs will be legally binding in GB
  - ENCs likely to have impact on GB codes including CUSC
- ENCs to be drafted by European Network of Transmission System Operator for Electricity members
- NGET is ENTSO-e member and will have access to ENC development process earlier than others in GB



#### **CMP191 Solution**

- CMP191 proposes obligation be placed upon NGET to:
  - Consult with CUSC Parties via the CUSC Panel
  - Take into consideration views of CUSC Parties/ GB Stakeholders
- On matters discussed in forums that NGET attends as NETSO that other GB parties do not have access to

ENTSO-e meetings

CMP191 proposes NGET fulfill its obligation through a crosscode forum (Joint European Standing Group)



# **Joint Workgroup Consultation**

- 10 responses received
  - Majority support for CMP191
  - National Grid have concerns about the requirement for an 'obligation'
  - Unanimous support for implementation approach
  - Unanimous support for JESG prior to code modification process concluding

## Workgroup Consultation Alternative Modification



- Developed by Workgroup post-consultation
- Extensive debate at the joint Workgroup about what the scope of an 'obligation' should mean
- More specific solution
  - "anything under the Third Package that could, in NGET's reasonable and prudent opinion as NETSO within ENTSO-e, result in a change to the BSC, CUSC or Grid Code"
- Supported by majority of Workgroup members



# **Code Administrator Consultation**

- 4 responses received
  - All supportive of CMP191
  - All supportive of WACM
  - Majority preference for WACM
  - All support implementation approach
  - Concern from one respondent regarding the possibility of JESG being disbanded



### **National Grid Opinion**

- CMP191 and WACM do not better facilitate either Applicable CUSC Objective and should not be implemented
- Objective (a):
  - The proposer's justification in relation to existing Transmission Licence obligations are not relevant to the development of European Network Codes
  - The lack of clarity around the scope of CMP191 does not better facilitate NGET's efficient discharge of its licence obligations
- Objective (b):
  - CMP191 is not the most proportionate way to achieve improved information flows and better stakeholder engagement
  - The JESG can efficiently provide a forum to share information and provide views and therefore a CUSC modification is not required



### **Panel Recommendation Vote**

- (a) the efficient discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed upon it under the Act and by this licence; and
- (b) facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.