Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP328: Connections Triggering Distribution Impact Assessment**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm** on 19 March 2021. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Rob Pears [Rob.Pears@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:Rob.Pears@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:**

1. *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
2. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
3. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and*
4. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

*\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

**Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard Workgroup Consultation questions** | | |
| 1 | Do you believe that the CMP328 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives? |  |
| 2 | Do you support the proposed implementation approach? |  |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments? |  |
| 4 | Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider? |  |
| **Modification Specific Workgroup Consultation questions** | | |
| 5 | For DNO respondents, please describe your process and timescales associated with current Third Party Works applications |  |
| 6 | For Third Party Works users, please describe your experience of using the Third Party Works process, specifically awareness of and timescales associated with the process; are there any defects in the TPW process that the DIA process does not address? |  |
| 7 | Annex 6 provides a summary of the WG's view of the pros/cons of both the Third Party Works and proposed Distribution Impact Assessment process. |  |
| 7a | Do you agree with this? |  |
| 7b | Do you have any additional pros or cons you wish to add? |  |
| 8 | Applicability - Do you agree with the applicability criteria proposed? Please provide your rationale. |  |
| 9 | Contractual milestones - Do you foresee a better way of updating contractual milestones to reflect the result of a Distribution Impact Assessment? |  |
| 10 | Fees and Costs - Do you agree with the Proposal that any costs as a result of the DIA should be passed from the DNO to the Transmission applicant via the ESO? |  |
| 11 | Clean Energy Package (CEP) - Currently CUSC Section 4 documents the payments that will be made by the ESO for Mandatory Services with the site- specific details captured in the Bilateral Connection Agreement. In your view, how/where should any compensational arrangements be documented for DNOs curtailing Transmission connected generators. |  |
| 12 | Which of the following do you believe should be included when assessing options/impacts under the proposed DIA process; |  |
| 12a | impact upon distribution connected generators/storage with transmission export capacity (TEC) |  |
| 12b | impact upon distribution connected generators/storage without transmission export capacity (TEC) |  |
| 13 | Should the DIA process be triggered upon receipt, or acceptance of an application from the transmission customer and please provide your reasoning. |  |