

CUSC Prioritisation Stack as at 26 June 2020		
Modification	Title	Panel comments on Prioritisation (as at 26 June 2020)
HIGH PRIORITY		
CMP335/336	Transmission Demand Residual billing and consequential changes to CUSC Section 3 and 11 (TCR) (CMP335) and CUSC Section 14 (TCR) (CMP336)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is a Modification that Ofgem have directed ESO to raise • Decision from Ofgem needed by end 2020 • Implementation date 1 April 2022
CMP343/340	Transmission Demand Residual bandings and allocation for 1 April 2022 implementation (TCR) Consequential changes for CMP343 (TCR) (CMP340)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is a Modification that Ofgem have directed ESO to raise • Decision from Ofgem needed by end 2020 • Implementation date 1 April 2022
CMP328	Connections Triggering Distribution Impact Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised on behalf of all DNOs • Needs to be progressed in parallel with completion of Transmission and Distribution interactivity process (expected to be completed by end 2020) • Significant volumes of these Tertiary Windows connections already progressing so there is a pressing need for a solution
CMP344	Clarification of Transmission Licensee revenue recovery and the treatment of revenue adjustments in the Charging Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must be implemented prior to the start of the next price control period from 1st April 2021 • Received stakeholder support for this to be progressed at pace given significant potential costs of any future income adjusting events
MEDIUM TO HIGH PRIORITY		
CMP311	Reassessment of CUSC credit requirements for Suppliers, specifically for “User Allowed Credit” as defined in Section 3, Part III section 3.27 of the CUSC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important in current Covid-19 environment to provide as much clarity for suppliers as possible • Solution already consulted on and even with revised solution anticipate 2 Workgroups to get this to Panel
CMP326	Introducing a ‘Turbine Availability Factor’ for use in Frequency Response Capacity Calculation for Power	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simple change as appears to be a binary choice between 2 options – 2 Workgroups anticipated

	Park Modules (PPMs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs to be progressed before volumes become larger and unmanageable – increased interest following Power Available project going live in May There have been recent developments on Power Available
CMP330	Allowing new Transmission Connected parties to build Connection Assets greater than 2km in length	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Simple change and potential for cost savings and faster connections Clarity needed ahead of Contracts for Difference Auctions in 2021
MEDIUM PRIORITY		
CMP304	Improving the Enhanced Reactive Power Service by making it fit for purpose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modification seeks to apply a different timescale to the provision on reactive power – Panel divided though on whether this is a simple change as solution still needs to be clarified Ofgem cannot make a final decision on CMP305 until CMP304 is completed Decreased cost to consumers if more competition
CMP316	TNUoS Charging Methodology for Co-located Generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although not many co-located sites currently, this complex change needs to be progressed before volumes become large and unmanageable Clarity needed ahead of Contracts for Difference Auctions in 2021 April 2022 Implementation
LOW TO MEDIUM PRIORITY		
CMP288/289	Explicit charging arrangements for customer delays and backfeeds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Panel recognised a lot of work done on this, but Workgroup Members on the Panel questioned whether or not 2 workgroups are sufficient to complete this Materiality unclear as proposal seeks to add transparency to the existing arrangements
CMP315	TNUoS: Review of the expansion constant and the elements of the transmission system charged for	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Panel recognised there is a material impact here; however, argued that need to understand the TCR outcome before progressing further

LOW PRIORITY

CMP286/287	<p>- Improving TNUoS Predictability Through Increased Notice of the Target Revenue used in the TNUoS Tariff Setting Process</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Panel recognised the work done so far but noted the interactions with TCR and the potential need for a 2nd Request for Information on CMP287. Need to understand the TCR outcome before progressing further
CMP291	<p>The open, transparent, non-discriminatory and timely publication of the harmonised rules for grid connection (in accordance with the RfG, DCC and HVDC) and the harmonised rules on system operation set out within the Bilateral Agreements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complex change Enduring requirement but no compliance date set
CMP298	<p>Updating the Statement of Works process to facilitate aggregated assessment of relevant and collectively relevant embedded generation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will have long term benefits to consumers (by allowing more projects to connect and so provide more competition in the generation market) Complex change This is a process improvement modification and is seeking to reflect current working practice Proposer does not view this as a current priority
CMP307	<p>Expanding the BSUoS charging base to include embedded generation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supported Proposer's view to wait first for final 2nd BSUoS final Taskforce Recommendation (at end of September) and confirmation of Ofgem's planned steps thereafter
CMP308	<p>Removal of BSUoS charges from Generation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Panel supportive of progressing but agreed to wait first for final 2nd BSUoS final Taskforce Recommendation (at end of September) and confirmation of Ofgem's planned steps thereafter
CMP331	<p>Option to replace generic Annual Load Factors (ALFs) with site specific ALFs</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> April 2022 Implementation at earliest Materiality on the wider charging base not clear
CMP341	<p>CUSC Sandbox: enabling derogation from certain obligations to support small-scale trials of innovative propositions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complex Although a CACOP and Ofgem driven initiative, there does not appear to be any current industry appetite to progress

CUSC Prioritisation Criteria

Section 8: 8.19.1.(e) makes the following provision for the Panel and states “Having regard to the complexity, importance and urgency of particular CUSC Modification Proposals, the CUSC Modifications Panel may determine the priority of CUSC Modification Proposals and may (subject to any objection from the Authority taking into account all those issues) adjust the priority of the relevant CUSC Modification Proposal accordingly”

Complexity	The modification is viewed as being resource intensive and will most likely require a higher than average number of workgroups to conclude the process. Additionally, the modification defect is viewed to have implications for many different areas of the energy market which need to be taken into consideration throughout the process.
Importance	The perceived value & risk associated with the proposed modification. The value / risk could be considered from a number of different perspectives i.e. financial / regulatory / licence obligations both directly for customer and end consumers more generally.
Urgency	A modification which requires speedy consideration within the code governance process, both complexity and importance should be factors considered in evaluating urgency as well as the timescales for implementation within the respective code.