

**CUSC Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma****CMP345 'Defer the additional Covid -19 BSUoS costs'**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **3pm on 12 June 2020**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com).

Respondent details	Please enter your details
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Alan Currie
<b>Company name:</b>	Ventient Energy
<b>Email address:</b>	Alan.currie@ventientenergy.com
<b>Phone number:</b>	07798770564

**For reference the applicable CUSC objectives are:**

- a. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- b. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- c. *That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- d. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1 \*; and*
- e. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

*\*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the CMP345 Original solution, WACM1, WACM2, WACM3, WACM4, WACM5, WACM6, WACM7 or WACM8 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives?	<p>Original: No</p> <p>Baseline: Yes</p> <p>WACM1: No</p> <p>WACM2: No</p> <p>WACM3: No</p> <p>WACM4: No</p> <p>WACM5: No</p> <p>WACM6: Yes</p> <p>WACM7: Yes</p> <p>WACM8: No</p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<p>Original: No</p> <p>Baseline: Yes</p> <p>WACM1: No</p> <p>WACM2: No</p> <p>WACM3: No</p> <p>WACM4: No</p> <p>WACM5: No</p> <p>WACM6: Yes</p> <p>WACM7: Yes</p> <p>WACM8: No</p>
3	Do you have any other comments?	<p>The above support for the original, Baseline and corresponding WACM's is in line with our original response in that we see:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No need to identify the COVID related costs (WACM1,3,4)</li> <li>2. Delays out with the current charging year negatively impacting users such as embedded generators due to the planned implementation of CMP333 (WACM 5,8)</li> </ol> <p>Should CMP333 be delayed we would support equal delay to the additional BSUoS recovery smeared across a full charging year at the HH level.</p>