

Q1 Name (optional)

Answered: 8 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Steph Clements	7/22/2019 9:38 AM
2	Tracey Saunders	7/19/2019 3:28 PM
3	Paul Bedford	7/18/2019 1:29 PM
4	Phillipa Burton	7/18/2019 11:47 AM
5	Robert Selbie	7/18/2019 10:52 AM
6	Jolyon Canlin	7/18/2019 10:22 AM
7	Michele Downes	7/18/2019 9:59 AM
8	Sam Cannons	7/18/2019 9:59 AM


Q2 Company name (optional)

Answered: 8 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	ScottishPower	7/22/2019 9:38 AM
2	NGN	7/19/2019 3:28 PM
3	Opus Energy	7/18/2019 1:29 PM
4	Scottish POver	7/18/2019 11:47 AM
5	ElecLink	7/18/2019 10:52 AM
6	ENSEK	7/18/2019 10:22 AM
7	Xoserve	7/18/2019 9:59 AM
8	SSE	7/18/2019 9:59 AM

Q3 I've worked in the electricity industry for:


Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
0-6 months	20.00%	2
6-12 months	10.00%	1
1-3 years	0.00%	0
3-5 years	10.00%	1
Over 5 years	60.00%	6
TOTAL		10

Q4 How did you hear about this event?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Colleague	30.00% 3
ELEXON website	40.00% 4
Newscast	40.00% 4
Via your Operational Support Manager (OSM)	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 10	

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Emailed invite	7/19/2019 3:28 PM
2	LinkedIn	7/19/2019 10:44 AM


Q5 What was your main reason for attending this event?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Wanted to gain a greater understanding of CACoP's purpose and activities carried out that add value to the industry	7/22/2019 9:38 AM
2	To find out more about the CACoP and its role	7/19/2019 3:28 PM
3	Representing an industry code	7/19/2019 10:44 AM
4	To help enhance CACoP principles across codes, greater consistency or explanations where inconsistencies are necessary.	7/18/2019 1:29 PM
5	Want to get an understanding of CACoP which I knew nothing about	7/18/2019 11:47 AM
6	Interested in ways to make it easier for smaller participants (like Eleclink) to engage with the codes	7/18/2019 10:52 AM
7	To understand more about CACoP and to contribute to it's development.	7/18/2019 10:22 AM
8	Observer & to understand what CACoP do.	7/18/2019 9:59 AM
9	To gain more understanding of the CACoP activities	7/18/2019 9:59 AM

Q6 Overall, how would you rate the usefulness of the CACoP engagement event?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
1 - Not useful at all	0.00%	0
2	0.00%	0
3	0.00%	0
4	0.00%	0
5 - Neutral	0.00%	0
6	0.00%	0
7	10.00%	1
8	20.00%	2
9	30.00%	3
10 - Extremely useful	40.00%	4

TOTAL	10
-------	----


Q7 What aspect of the event did you find most useful?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Getting a view of tools that we haven't seen before e.g horizon scan and the central modification register. Having the opportunity to provide CACoP with a wishlist was also helpful.	7/22/2019 9:38 AM
2	Very informative, very open to input and suggestions. Found it an informative and interactive event. Knowing who CACoP are, how they are (not) funded etc was invaluable.	7/19/2019 3:28 PM
3	To hear industry members feedback on current and future code administrator products and services.	7/19/2019 10:44 AM
4	Intended updates to the Central Modification Register to include all industry code changes rather than only those that impact more than one code and to include Release dates.	7/18/2019 1:29 PM
5	I found out about information I didn't know anything about or where to find it and I was able to feed in to discussion about how these things could be improved to be of benefit within my organisation	7/18/2019 11:47 AM
6	Opportunity to give feedback to all code admins and ofgem	7/18/2019 10:52 AM
7	Being able to discuss the CACoP elements in more detail with those who are involved in administering the CACoP and also interacting with other industry members to understand their view and use of it.	7/18/2019 10:22 AM
8	Presentations providing information on what CACoP do & products they deliver	7/18/2019 9:59 AM
9	Opportunity to engage with the relevant Code Administrators, understand more about the outputs from CACoP and to be able to provide feedback on what works well and where there could be further enhancements.	7/18/2019 9:59 AM

Q8 How would you rate the CONTENT of each presentation?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0




	VERY POOR	POOR	NEUTRAL	GOOD	VERY GOOD	TOTAL
CACoP Forward Plan – how we do our business	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	70.00%	30.00%	10
	0	0	0	7	3	

CACOP Engagement – how we can engage with you	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	70.00%	30.00%	10
	0	0	0	7	3	
Energy Code Review	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	70.00%	20.00%	10
	0	1	0	7	2	

#	IF YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PRESENTATIONS, PLEASE LEAVE YOUR COMMENTS BELOW:	DATE
1	Ofgem did not provide anything new, material presented was not easy to read.	7/18/2019 10:01 AM

Q9 How would you rate the DELIVERY of each presentation?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0




	VERY POOR	POOR	NEUTRAL	GOOD	VERY GOOD	TOTAL
CACoP Forward Plan – how we do our business	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	70.00%	30.00%	10
	0	0	0	7	3	

CACOP Engagement – how we can engage with you	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	70.00% 7	30.00% 3	10
Energy Code Review	0.00% 0	10.00% 1	10.00% 1	70.00% 7	10.00% 1	10

#	IF YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PRESENTATIONS, PLEASE LEAVE YOUR COMMENTS BELOW:	DATE
1	Having attended the Elexon seminar the week before I felt that the Energy Code Review piece lacked some of the detail that had been delivered by Mary Starks the previous week.	7/22/2019 9:42 AM

Q10 How would you rate the LEVEL OF DETAIL in each presentation?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0




■ Far too much detail
 ■ Too much detail
 ■ About the right level of detail
■ Too little detail
 ■ Far too little detail

	FAR TOO MUCH DETAIL	TOO MUCH DETAIL	ABOUT THE RIGHT LEVEL OF DETAIL	TOO LITTLE DETAIL	FAR TOO LITTLE DETAIL	TOTAL
--	---------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------	-------

CACoP Forward Plan – how we do our business	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	90.00% 9	10.00% 1	0.00% 0	10
CACoP Engagement – how we can engage with you	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	90.00% 9	10.00% 1	0.00% 0	10
Energy Code Review	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	60.00% 6	30.00% 3	10.00% 1	10

Q11 Please give us your comments on the following:

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



■ Very Poor
 ■ Poor
 ■ Neutral
 ■ Good
 ■ Very Good

	VERY POOR	POOR	NEUTRAL	GOOD	VERY GOOD	TOTAL
Facilitation on the day	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 5	50.00% 5	10
Registration on the day	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 5	50.00% 5	10

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Think it needs to be clear what the scope is of CACoP and what is specific to meeting why CACoP was formed. and then other additional scope items should be lower on the priority (even though I probably added a lot of things to the 'want' list!)	7/19/2019 3:36 PM

Q12 And finally, what suggestions do you have for improving the event?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Promote the purpose of the day more, I think that doing this would result in a greater number of industry participants.	7/22/2019 9:43 AM
2	This survey needs work! 1, Can you please remember that some codes relate to GAS. I was asked to give an answer as to how long I have worked in electricity, and not asked the question for gas. 2, Survey asked how I heard about event, allowed me to put in a reason for 'other' but there was no selection box for other... it would not allow me to progress without selecting one of the incorrect fields. 3, On the day we were advised that the slides would be sent to us, The invite to this survey implied that we would ONLY get them if we replied to the survey, yet apparently it is optional to say who we are in the survey anyway. Don't feel it is right to hold the slides to ransom to force very busy people to have to find additional time to answer the survey, and has left a slight negative impression after such a positive day.	7/19/2019 3:36 PM
3	The event was quite long and required a substantial resource commitment from attendees. This is actually one of the problems smaller participants face when with engaging with the code change process. Short/snappy communication is always appreciated.	7/18/2019 10:55 AM
4	As this was the first event it has much room to improve but as an initial event I left feeling positive about everything and that the day had been a beneficial use of time. If this does improve then this feeling will only be bolstered.	7/18/2019 10:24 AM
5	Submit material to attendees before the event, although I understand in this instance why you didn't.	7/18/2019 10:02 AM
6	Perhaps at the next session, there could be an update on the improvement items that were discussed at this event. The interactive element was well managed and a good idea to support engagement and collaboration - definitely one to keep.	7/18/2019 10:02 AM