#### CMP311 WG3 NGESO proposal update

Simon Sheridan 17<sup>th</sup> June 2019





## **Proposal – the why**

- Failing suppliers x 10 in FY 18/19 = £1.5m for ESO to recover.....this time
- Consumers can pick up the bill twice
- Bad for consumer confidence to continue to shop for the best deals, so affects competition
- What if a bigger supplier went into administration?
  - e.g. Even a more established smaller supplier with 2 or 3 invoices in arrears, could leave approx. £5-20m owing
- 2 year funding gap for ESO waiting for cost recovery in K factor (recovery in future TNUoS)
  - Cashflow cost put onto consumers
- Ofgem have indicated there may be tougher scrutiny of future claims
- Suppliers obtain credit for trading and energy balancing, so do have other options
- LoC would ask industry to obtain approx. £143m at cost to industry of approx. £3m (2%) p.a.

## **Proposal – the why 2**

- NGESO has reduced assets and max profit up to £30m
  - The ESO has a small asset base (currently £200m RAV) yet transacts significant revenues on behalf of the industry (£4bn – of which TNUoS £2.7bn).
  - Any exposure to customer credit risk therefore creates volatility to its cashflows and underlying profits of the business.
  - These exposures are significant in relation to its size and ability to bear the downside consequences putting pressure on its credit rating.
  - The ESO have the ability to appeal to Ofgem to allow for the resocialisation of any unrecovered monies this is not certain and can take a number of years to complete. In the mean time the ESO bears the impact of this.
  - Would you continue to take on this level of unsecured credit risk?
  - Something has to change with unsecured credit, it is not a sound business model for NGESO
  - Ofgem best practice understood, but NGESO is an asset less business now so our business model is different to when this was written



### **CMP311 Solution changes**

- 1. Focus on removing Payment Record Sum (PRS) only
  - Reminder split for each of the 3 types of User Allowed Credit
    - PRS: £742m, Credit Rating: £760m, Independent Credit Assessment: £41.9m
- Current PRH calculations:
  - > NGET RAV =  $\pounds 14,696m$
  - ➢ Maximum Unsecured Credit Cover is 2% of NGET RAV = £293.921m
  - > PRS 2% of Maximum Unsecured Credit Cover = £5.878m (£98k each month of good payment)
  - So £98K credit available every month to every supplier built up over 5 years = **£6m approx**.
  - > PRS remains at 2% of Maximum Unsecured Credit Cover after 5 years

#### 2. Implementation date 12 months after Ofgem approval

# **Questions?**

