|  |
| --- |
| CUSC Modification Proposal Form |
| CMPXXX:**[Insert modification title]****Overview:** [Insert a summary of the modification. This should be short and clearly identify the topic the modification relates to. This should not be any longer than the timetable section.] | **Modification process & timetable** **Proposal Form**25 November 2022**Workgroup Consultation**25 November 2022 - 25 November 2022**Workgroup Report**25 November 2022**Code Administrator Consultation**25 November 2022 - 25 November 2022**Draft Final Modification Report**25 November 2022**Final Modification Report**25 November 2022**Implementation**25 November 2022**1****2****3****4****5****6****7** |
| **Status summary:** The Proposer has raised a modification and is seeking a decision from the Panel on the governance route to be taken. |
| **This modification is expected to have a:** [Select impact][Insert impacted parties. I.e. Suppliers, Generators, Transmission System Operators, Interconnectors, Transmission Owners, Aggregators, etc] |
| **Proposer’s recommendation of governance route** | Self-Governance modification to proceed to Code Administrator Consultation |
| **Who can I talk to about the change?** | **Proposer:** [Your name]youremail@.com[Your phone number] | **Code Administrator Contact:** Click or tap here to enter text. |
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What is the issue?

[Insert a description of the issue that this modification is solving. Please be as clear and concise as possible. The fewer words the better. It should be able to be understood by somebody who isn’t a technical expert.]

## Why change?

[Insert justification for the change. Please be as clear and concise as possible. The fewer words the better. It should be able to be understood by somebody who isn’t a technical expert.]

 What is the proposer’s solution?

[Insert your solution. Please identify which part of the code will need to be changed.]

## Draft legal text

[Detail the proposed legal text or proposed route to finalise the legal text.

If you’re recommending a governance route which is either Fast-track or straight to Code Administrator Consultation, please provide the legal text with the proposal form as a separate document. To obtain the latest version of the legal text on which to mark-up tracked-changes, or to find out more about the requirements for legal text, please contact the Code Administrator team.]

What is the impact of this change?

|  |
| --- |
| Proposer’s assessment against CUSC Charging Objectives  |
| **Relevant Objective** | **Identified impact** |
| (a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity; | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection); | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees’ transmission businesses; | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (e) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology. | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| \*\*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (d) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006. |

|  |
| --- |
| Proposer’s assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives  |
| **Relevant Objective** | **Identified impact** |
| (a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence; | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity; | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| (d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements. | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale] |
| \*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006. |

|  |
| --- |
| Proposer’s assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories |
| **Stakeholder / consumer benefit categories** | **Identified impact** |
| Improved safety and reliability of the system | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale. Will this change mean that the energy system can operate more safely and reliably now and in the future in a way that benefits end consumers?This area would relate to changes which balance the system safely, securely and at optimum cost, particularly for consumers in vulnerable situations. It would also consider changes which introduce flexibility across the market to flow energy at the most efficient profile, lower operational costs and make sure GB consumers can access the cheapest sources of energy.Examples of changes include:* security of supply
* changes to the balancing regimes e.g. charging changes
 |
| Lower bills than would otherwise be the case | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale. Will this change lower consumers’ bills by controlling, reducing, and optimising spend, for example on balancing and operating the system?This area would relate to changes that are likely to benefit end consumers. This could include any change where it has been demonstrated that it could lower bills for end consumers.If possible, this section should include any quantifiable benefits. Examples of changes include:* Charging review]
 |
| Benefits for society as a whole | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale. This area would focus on demonstrating why and how the change can improve the quality of service for some or all end consumers. Improved service quality ultimately benefits the end consumer due to interactions in the value chains across the industry being more seamless, efficient and effective.] |
| Reduced environmental damage | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale. Will this proposal support:* new providers and technologies?
* a move to hydrogen or lower greenhouse gases?
* the journey toward statutory net-zero targets?
* decarbonisation?

This area would relate to changes which demonstrate innovative work to design solutions which ensure the system can operate in an environmentally sustainable way both now and in the future.Examples include:* Gas quality blending
* Carbon Capture and Storage

New technologies, e.g. wind power] |
| Improved quality of service | **[Select impact]**[Please provide your rationale. This area would relate to any other identified changes to society, such as jobs or the economy. For example, by 2050, energy system decarbonisation efforts could add 19 million jobs and £52tn of gross domestic product (GDP) to the global economy, increasing the GDP of Northern and Western Europe by 1.25% and 2.5% respectively. It could also generate a 15% increase in global welfare and reduce negative health effects caused by local air pollution by 60%.] |

When will this change take place?

### Implementation date

[**Insert the date which you are proposing the change is made to the code**. N.B For modifications which change Section 14, the implementation date is the first day in a Charging Year.]

### Date decision required by

[Insert the date which the decision is required from the Authority - or Panel (if self-governance]

### Implementation approach

[List any systems or processes that will need to change as a result of this proposal.]

### Proposer’s justification for governance route

Governance route: [Select governance route from the drop-down menu.]

[Please give justification for the governance route you have recommended. Use the below guidance to help. If you have recommended Self-Governance, Urgent or Fast-Track, you must explain how it meets the relevant criteria.]

|  |
| --- |
| **Guidance on governance routes** |
| **Timescales** | **Route** | **Who makes the decision (Governance type)** |
| Normal | Proceed to Code Administrator Consultation\* | Authority (Standard Governance) or Panel (Self-Governance) |
| Assessment by a Workgroup\*\* |
| Urgent | Proceed to Code Administrator Consultation | Authority (Standard Governance) |
| Assessment by a Workgroup |
| Fast-track | Straight to appeals window, then implementation | Panel (Self-Governance) |
| **\*** This route is for modifications which have a fully developed solution and therefore don’t need to be considered by a Workgroup. **\*\*** For modifications which need further input from industry to develop the solution.  |
| **Self-Governance Criteria** |
| It depends on the material effect of the modification as to whether it should be subject to Standard or Self-Governance. If you are proposing that your modification should be subject to Self-Governance, you must explain how it meets the below criteria.The modification is unlikely to discriminate between different CUSC Parties and is unlikely to have a material effect on:* Existing or future electricity customers;
* Competition in the generation, distribution, or supply of electricity or any commercial activities connected with the generation, distribution or supply of electricity,
* The operation of the National Electricity Transmission System
* Matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies
* The CUSC Panel’s governance procedures or the CUSC Panel’s modification procedures
 |
| **Urgency Criteria** |
| If you are proposing that your modification is Urgent, you must explain how it meets Ofgem’s Urgent criteria (below). When modifications are granted Urgency, this enables the us to shorten the standard timescales for industry consultations. Note that the we (Code Admin) must seek Authority approval for this option.Ofgem’s current guidance states that an urgent modification should be linked to an imminent issue or a current issue that if not urgently addressed may cause:* A significant commercial impact on parties, consumers or other stakeholder(s); or
* A significant impact on the safety and security of the electricity and/or gas systems; or
* A party to be in breach of any relevant legal requirements.
 |
| **Fast-Track Self-Governance Criteria** |
| This route is for modifications which are minimal changes to the code. E.g. Typos within the codes. If you are proposing that your modification should be subject to Fast-Track Self-Governance, you must explain how it meets the below criteria.The modification is a housekeeping modification required as a result of an error or factual change, such as:* Updating names or addresses listed in the CUSC;
* Correcting minor typographical errors;
* Correcting formatting and consistency errors, such as paragraph numbering, or;
* Updating out of date references to other documents or paragraphs.
 |

Interactions

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [ ] Grid Code | [ ] BSC | [ ] STC | [ ] SQSS |
| [ ] European Network Codes  | [ ]  EBR Article 18 T&Cs[[1]](#footnote-1) | [ ] Other modifications | [ ] Other |

[Explain how this modification interacts with other codes, industry documents, modifications or industry projects.]

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acronym / key term** | **Meaning** |
| BSC | Balancing and Settlement Code |
| CMP | CUSC Modification Proposal |
| CUSC | Connection and Use of System Code |
| EBR | Electricity Balancing Regulation |
| STC | System Operator Transmission Owner Code |
| SQSS | Security and Quality of Supply Standards |
| T&Cs | Terms and Conditions |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Reference material

* Add links to reference material
1. If your modification amends any of the clauses mapped out in Exhibit Y to the CUSC, it will change the Terms & Conditions relating to Balancing Service Providers. The modification will need to follow the process set out in Article 18 of the Electricity Balancing Guideline (EBR – EU Regulation 2017/2195) – the main aspect of this is that the modification will need to be consulted on for 1 month in the Code Administrator Consultation phase. N.B. This will also satisfy the requirements of the NCER process. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)