

# **Code Administrator Code of Practice Survey Results**

### Comparison Grid Code 2017 and 2018 results

	Results 2017	Results 2018	Change
Perceived improvements			
Net improved %	-	20	Increase 20%
Net worsened %	-	6	Increase 6 %
Overall satisfaction			
Net satisfied %	59	66	Increase 7%
Net dissatisfied %	7	6	Decrease 1%
Satisfaction with the provision of			
support	67	73	Increase 6%
Net satisfied % Net dissatisfied %	8	6	Decrease 2%
Satisfaction with support received			
when requested	69	77	Increase 8%
Net satisfied %	4	0	Decrease 4%
Net dissatisfied %	•		

# **Code Administrator Code of Practice Survey Results**

### **Comparison Grid Code 2017 and 2018 results**

	Results 2017	Results 2018	Change
Kept informed about the code			
Net informed %	81	80	Decrease 1%
Net not informed %	19	14	Decrease 5%
Ease of interpreting information from			
the Code Administrator	52	60	Increase 8%
Net easy %	26	14	Decrease 12 %
Net difficult %	20	14	Decircase 12 70
Relevance of information			
Net relevant %	92	83	Decrease 9%
Net not relevant %	8	10	Increase 2%
Understanding modifications			
Net satisfied %	44	54	Increase 10%
Net dissatisfied %	19	6	Decrease 13%

# What does our service transition look like?

- Our 'Customer Journey' Project will enhance the current service we provide in RIIO-T1.
- We believe there is an opportunity to further increase performance and unlock consumer value through Code Management – however the role of a Code Manager remains unclear at this point in time.
- We would welcome your views on our indicative views for the role of a Code Manager in RIIO-2.

#### **Code Administration**

CACoP Compliance
A Good Critical Friend
Limited scope to raise Modifications
Limited Strategic Code Change
Reactive Stakeholder Engagement
Limited Use of Tools and Technology
Limited Innovation
Limited Prioritisation Capability
Limited Consumer Value Objective



## Code Management\*

CACOP Compliance and Improvement
A Better Critical Friend
Wider scope to raise Modifications
More Strategic Code Change
Proactive Stakeholder Engagement
Greater Use of Tools and Technology
Driving Innovation e.g. Code Simplification
Greater Prioritisation Capability
Stronger Consumer Value Objective

#### **Questions**

- 1. Does this define the high level principles of a code manager?
- 2. Who do you think is best placed to undertake this role?



# Funding model options for a Code Manager?

Margin based funding Incentive based outcomes
 Fixed Funding for the duration of the price control i.e. 5 years
 Annual funding process
 Industry Stakeholder Consultation on outputs / deliverables

### **Questions**

- 1. For each of the above areas what are your views?
- 2. Are there any other important principles we need to capture?

Panel / Ofgem Approval process?
Panel role in allocating resources /

budget