### **Constant Terminal Voltage**



Working Group 1 29<sup>st</sup> January 2014

### **Overview**

- Objectives of Working Group
- ENTSO-E RfG Implications
- Options
- Summary
- Discussion

### **Objectives of Work Group**

- National Grid in consultation with industry representatives to:-
  - Provide clarification of GB Grid Code in relation to CC.6.3.4 and CC.6.3.8(a)(i).
  - Consider options based on supplementing tap changer range with terminal voltage adjustments and assess impact in terms of cost and benefits.
  - Ensure any proposal is consistent with the ENTSO-E Requirements for Generators.

### Figure 7 - ENTSO-E Article 13(b) (1)



### Figure 7 - Article 13.2(b) for GB



### **Case: LV / HV Reactive Capability**



# **Case:** LV / HV Reactive Capability with adjustable Terminal Voltage



nationalgrid

## Option 1 – Constant Terminal Voltage



### **Option 1 Legal Drafting - Example**

- CC.6.3.8(a)(i) A continuously-acting automatic excitation control system is required to provide constant terminal voltage control of the Onshore Synchronous Generating Unit without instability over the entire operating range of the Onshore Generating Unit. In addition to the requirements of CC.6.3.4, Generators with a Completion Date on or after 1 January 2017 shall ensure that each Onshore Synchronous Generating Unit shall be capable of continually controlling the terminal voltage of the Onshore Synchronous Generating Unit shall be capable of continually controlling the terminal voltage of the Onshore Synchronous Generating Unit to its Rated Terminal Voltage unless otherwise specified in the Bilateral Agreement. For Generators with a Completion Date before the 1 January 2017, each Onshore Synchronous Generating Unit shall be capable of controlling its terminal voltage to a value specified in the Bilateral Agreement
- Rated Terminal Voltage The terminal voltage of a Synchronous Generating Unit at its Rated MW output is defined

### **Option 2 – Adjustable Terminal Voltage** national**grid** with a limited Transformer Tapping Range



### **Option 3 – Limited Transformer Tapping** national**grid Range only**



### **Advantages / Disadvantages**

Option	Advantages	Disadvantages
1	i) Generator Terminal voltage continuously controlled to 1p.u	<ul> <li>Potentially more expensive than other options (eg Transformer required with wider tapping range).</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>ii) Maintains current Dynamic Reserve provision post fault.</li><li>iii) Maintains Stability margin</li></ul>	<ul><li>ii) References to BCA – Loss of Transparency</li><li>iii) Does not fully address Derogation issue</li></ul>
2	<ul> <li>i) Potentially cheaper Generator Transformer with lower tapping range.</li> <li>ii) Preserves the total reactive capability (ie operating envelope still maintained)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>i) Less dynamic MVAr reserve provision post fault.</li> <li>ii) Lower Stability Margin</li> <li>iii) More complex to define minimum requirements of Generator transformer tapping range and Generating Unit target voltage range.</li> <li>iv) Wider System implications would need to be understood eg would more reactive compensation equipment be required on the System or would enhanced excitation performance requirements be necessary.</li> </ul>
3	i) Potentially cheaper Transformer with lower tapping range	<ul> <li>As per option 2 in particular iv) which is likely to result in potentially greater costs to both NGET and Generators</li> </ul>

### **Summary of Options**

#### Option 1

- Amend the Grid Code requiring all Synchronous Generators with a Completion Date after 1 January 2017 to be capable of operating to a constant terminal voltage of 1p.u (unless otherwise agreed) and satisfying the requirements of CC.6.3.4.
- Defining the requirements for Synchronous Generating Units with a Completion Date before 1 January 2017 to be specified in the Bilateral Agreement.
- Option 2 and Option 3
  - The impact of a variable terminal voltage and limited transformer tapping range (option 2) or a solely limited transformer tapping range (option 3) would need to be understood in the context of the wider Transmission System, particularly in respect of reduced stability margins.
  - This would need to be considered against the material cost of purchasing Generator Transformers which are capable of meeting the requirement when correctly specified.
  - Reactive Power and Voltage Margins (both pre-fault and post fault) would need to be identified.
  - Transparency issues need to be addressed if requirements are specified Bilaterally
  - Derogation issues need further examination

### **Discussion**