## **Grid Code Industry Consultation Response Proforma**

## **GC0028 Constant Terminal Voltage**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **10 August 2015** to <a href="mailto:Grid.Code@nationalgrid.com">Grid.Code@nationalgrid.com</a>. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

These responses will be included in the Report to the Authority which is drafted by National Grid and submitted to the Authority for a decision.

Respondent:	Please insert your name and contact details
-	(phone number or email address)
Company Name:	Please insert Company Name
Do you support the proposed implementation approach? Please clarify why.	
Do you believe that GC0028 better facilitates the appropriate Grid Code objectives? If not, why does	For reference the applicable Grid Code objectives are:
it fail to do so?	(i) to permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity;
	(ii) to facilitate competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);
	(iii) subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole; and
	(iv) to efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency.
Do the proposed changes facilitate efficient connection and	

operation of new and/or existing	
Synchronous Generating Units?	
If not, why do they fail to do so?	
Do the proposed changes impose	
any additional material risks on	
the System Operator, e.g. reduced	
stability margins, reduced	
reactive capability margins, or	
difficulty in managing	
transmission system voltages? If	
yes, please highlight these risks.	
Do the proposed changes impose	
any additional material risks on	
Transmission Owners, e.g.	
additional investment that might	
be neither economic nor efficient?	
If yes, please highlight these	
risks.	
Do the proposed changes	
adequately protect the interests of	
all Transmission System Users? If	
not, why do they fail to do so?	
Are there further technical	
considerations to be taken into	
account? If yes, please highlight	
these technical considerations.	
Is there any evidence that Users	
will be inappropriately or	
adversely affected by the changes	
proposed? If so please provide	
details.	
Do the modifications proposed	
strike an appropriate balance	
between the needs of Generators,	
Transmission Licensees, and	
other interested parties? If not,	
why do they fail to do so?	
Please provide any other	
comments you feel are relevant to	
the proposed change.	