## national**grid**

## Agenda

Meeting name	CMP275 Workgroup	
Date of meeting	15 February 2017	
Time	10.00- 14.00	
Location	Ardencote, The Cumsey, Lye Green Road, Claverdon, Warwickshire, CV35 8LT	
Dial in:	Phone: 0808 238 9819	Participant code: Part: 81833258#

Item	Торіс	Lead
1 2	Introduction and meeting objectives Review of Terms of Reference	CW All
3	Proposer's presentation on the defect	IT
4	Complete list of all the 'ancillary' and 'balancing' services	UM
5	Agree scope of work and analysis required for future Workgroup Meetings	All
6	Agreement of agenda items for WG Meeting 2	All

Attendees		
Name	Company	Role
Ryan Place	Code Administrator	Chair
John Martin	Code Administrator	Alternative Chair
Caroline Wright	Code Administrator	Technical Secretary
Urmi Mistry	National Grid	Workgroup member
lan Tanner	UKPR	Workgroup member
	UKPK	(Proposer)
Maryam Khan	Ofgem	Observer
Gareth Graham	SSE	Workgroup member
Paul Jones	Uniper Energy	Workgroup member
Joe Underwood	Drax Power	Workgroup member
Simon Lord	Engie	Workgroup member
Robert Longden	Cornwall Energy	Workgroup member
Lisa Walters	Walters Wye	Workgroup member

Simon Reid	Scottish Power	Workgroup member
Laurence Barrett	E.ON	Workgroup member
Bill Reed	RWE	Workgroup member
Tim Ellingham	RWE	Alternate Workgroup member

Apologies		
Name	Company	Role
Ryan Place	Code Administrator	Chair
Bill Reed	RWE	Workgroup member
Lisa Walters	Walters Wye	Workgroup member

## Terms of Reference:

Specific areas	When cover
a) Clarify which revenue streams are	
excluded from mutuality exclusive	
arrangement ensuring consideration includes the interaction between both the Balancing	
Mechanism (BM) and Balancing Services.	
b) Demonstrate how this proposal will	
interact with the existing procurement of	
services ensuring that this did not lead to over procurement in the market.	
over procurement in the market.	
c) Demonstrate how this modification does	
not discourage providers from tendering for services.	
d) Define the assets affected by the	
proposal.	
e) Demonstrate that they have considered	
the impact of wider strategic issues being	
pursued by the industry in their proposal.	
f) Consider how this modification interacts with	
<ul> <li>f) Consider how this modification interacts with Ofgem's Flexibility Call for Evidence which is</li> </ul>	
seeking ways to allow participants to access	
multiple revenue sources	
g) Clarify how the proposed changes to the	
CUSC would impact Distribution Networks.	
h) Ensure individual power stations are not	
identified within the report.	

Workgroup proposed timetable

<u> </u>	
w/c13 February 2017	Workgroup meeting 1
w/c 6 March 2017	Workgroup meeting 2
w/c 27 March 2017	Workgroup meeting 3

10 April 2017	Workgroup Consultation issued (15 days)
5 May 2017	Deadline for responses
w/c 15 May 2017	Workgroup meeting 4
w/c 5 June 2017	Workgroup meeting 5 (agree WACMs and Vote)
22 June 2017	Workgroup report issued to CUSC Panel
30 June 2017	CUSC Panel meeting to approve WG Report

Post Workgroup modification process

3 July 2017	Code Administrator Consultation issued (15 Working days)
24 July 2017	Deadline for responses
31 July 2017	Draft FMR published for industry comment (5 Working Days)
8 August 2017	Deadline for comments
17 August 2017	Draft FMR circulated to Panel
25 August 2017	Panel meeting for Panel recommendation vote
31 August 2017	FMR circulated for Panel comment (3 Working day)
5 September 2017	Deadline for Panel comment
8 September 2017	Final report sent to Authority for decision
13 October 2017	Indicative Authority Decision due (25 working days)
20 October 2017	Implementation date

## Applicable CUSC Objectives: Use of System Charging Methodology

(a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;

(b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);

(c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses\*;

(d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc. Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1; and

(e) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).