Stage 2: CUSC Draft Workgroup Consultation Report

At what stage is this document in the process?

CMP272: Aligning Condition C5 and C10 of the CUSC to the licence changes introduced by the Code Governance Review Phase 3

01 Initial Written Assessment

02 Workgroup
Consultation

03 Workgroup Report

O4 Code Administrator Consultation

05 Draft CUSC Modification Report

06 Final CUSC Modification Report

Purpose of Modification: CMP272 seeks to implement the licence changes to the CUSC arising from Ofgem's Code Governance Review (Phase 3).

This document contains the discussion of the Workgroup which formed in January 2017 to develop and assess the proposal. Any interested party is able to make a response in line with the guidance set out in Section 9 of this document.



Published on: 10 January 2017 Length of Consultation: 10 Working days Responses by: 24 January 2017



Low Impact: National Grid, CUSC Parties, the CUSC Panel

Contents Any questions? Contact: **About this document** 2 Taran Heir **Summary of change** 2 3 Taran.Heir@natio **Original Proposal Detail** 3 4 nalgrid.com 4 **Workgroup discussions** 6 **Impact and Assessment** 15 07977 433974 **Relevant Objectives** 15 6 Proposer: 7 **Proposed Implementation and Transition** 16 Caroline Wright -Draft legal text changes for original proposal 8 16 **National Grid** Responses 17 Annex 1 - Original Draft Legal TextAnnex 2 - Updated Original caroline.wright@nati onalgrid.com **Draft Legal Text** 19 Annex 3 - Ofgem's Urgency response 21 07970 498249 Annex 4 – Workgroup attendance register 22

1 About this document

This document is a Workgroup consultation which seeks the views of CUSC and interested parties in relation to the issues raised by the CMP272 CUSC Modification Proposal which was raised by National Grid and developed by the Workgroup.

2 Summary of change

What

2.1 CMP272 has been raised to implement the licence changes to the CUSC arising from Ofgem's Code Governance Review (Phase 3). The third phase of Ofgem's review of code governance focusses on ensuring that the governance arrangements of all industry codes deliver both non-material self-governance changes and more complex changes in an efficient and timely way.

Why

2.2 CMP272 has been raised to implement the licence changes to the CUSC arising from Ofgem's Code Governance Review (Phase 3).

How

- 2.3 It is proposed that the changes to licence conditions C5 and C10 be implemented in the CUSC. The legal text changes have been developed by National Grid's legal departments following discussions with their Ofgem counterparts.
- 2.4 This document describes the CMP272 CUSC Modification Proposal (the Proposal), summarises the deliberations of the Workgroup and sets out the options for potential Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs). Prior to confirming any alternative proposals the Workgroup are seeking views on the options they have identified, what is the best solution to the defect and also any other further options that respondents may propose.
- 2.5 CMP272 was proposed by National Grid and was submitted to the CUSC Modification Panel for their consideration on 14 December 2016. A copy of this Proposal is provided within Annex 1. The Panel decided to reject the Proposer's request for a Self-Governance Modification and suggested the Proposal be developed and assessed against the CUSC Applicable Objectives in accordance with an urgent timetable. This request for 'urgency' was approved by Ofgem on 20 December 2016 (Annex 3). The Workgroup convened on 6 January 2017 and is consulting on the Proposal to gain wider views from industry.
- 2.6 CMP272 seeks to implement the license changes to the CUSC arising from Ofgem's Code Governance Review (Phase 3). The third phase of Ofgem's review of code governance focusses on ensuring that the governance arrangements of all industry codes deliver both non-material self-governance changes and more complex changes in an efficient and timely way.
- 2.7 This Workgroup Report has been prepared in accordance with the terms of the CUSC. An electronic copy can be found on the National Grid Website along with the Modification Proposal Form via the following link: http://www2.nationalgrid.com/UK/Industry-information/Electricity-codes/CUSC/Modifications/CMP272/

3 Original Proposal Detail

CGR3 Licence changes

3.1 As part of Ofgem's CGR3 Final Proposals, the Authority consulted on licence drafting to introduce proposed amendments to the Significant Code Review (SCR) process. This Modification has been raised to align the license changes to the provisions set out in Section 8 and Section 11 of the CUSC.

Initial Proposal

- 3.2 As detailed on Ofgem's website¹ the changes to the CUSC Licence Conditions C5 and C10 relate to the introduction of more flexibility the CUSC Modifications process for SCRs. The changes relate to:
- 3.3 Introducing the ability for the Authority to raise a CUSC Modification following the end of a SCR.
- 3.4 Introducing the ability for the Authority to end a SCR: Introducing an additional route to which the Authority can deem a SCR has ended.
- 3.5 Introducing the ability for the Authority to lead an end to end CUSC SCR Modification.
- 3.6 Backstop Direction: introduce a Backstop Direction where by a CUSC Modification Proposal that has been made in relation to a SCR, the Authority may issue a Backstop Direction, which requires such proposal(s) and any alternatives to be withdrawn and which causes the Significant Code Review phase to recommence.
- 3.7 These changes will be reflected in Section 8 and Section 11 of the CUSC. The red-lined draft changes are included as Annexes to this Modification Proposal.

For information only:

Self-Governance

3.8 Part of Code Governance Review three (CGR3) is to change the way that Modifications are assessed such they should be considered as self-governance unless the change can be argued by the Proposer that the defect is material enough and should therefore not be assessed as self-governance. This change is not subject to this Modification as no changes are required to the CUSC but has been included in this report for information only.

New Applicable Objective

3.9 CGR3 will introduce a new Applicable CUSC Objective: promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements. The introduction of

¹ https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/decision-modify-gas-and-electricity-licences-implement-code-governance-review-phase-3-final-proposals

- this new objective is not subject to this Modification as the change impact only the license and not the CUSC.
- 3.10 The full set of proposed legal text changes are detailed on Ofgem's website:

<u>www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/decision-modify-gas-and-</u>electricitylicences-implement-code-governance-review-phase-3-final-proposals

Discussions at the December CUSC Panel

- 3.11 The proposal was presented to the Panel on 14 December 2016 with a request for Self-Governance.
- 3.12 The Authority supported the process for Self-Governance. One Panel Member did not agree that the Proposal met the criteria for Self-Governance and believed the changes to have a material impact. Another Panel Member also highlighted that not all codes had followed the Self-Governance route to implement the changes into their retrospective codes.
- 3.13 The Panel Member suggested for the Proposal to be progressed through a Workgroup in order to enable broader Industry views to be captured within the Modification Report.
- 3.14 The Authority continued to express preference for a Self-Governance modification however; The Panel debated whether this Proposal met the criteria for Self-Governance and by majority agreed that the Proposal did not meet the criteria.
- 3.15 The Panel also decided by majority that the Proposal should: be progressed by a Workgroup, a consultation issued for a 10 day period and for the Modification to follow an urgent timetable with a reduced quorum of Workgroup Members.
- 3.16 On 20 December 2016 Ofgem approved the request for urgency.

4 Workgroup discussions

4.1 The first Workgroup meeting was held on 6 January 2017. The Proposer provided an overview of the Modification Proposal. One Workgroup Member provided a set of proposed changes which the Workgroup discussed. The discussions focussed on walking through Section 8 of the CUSC to identify any areas of amendment to ensure that the licence changes to Conditions C5 and C10 were captured correctly in the draft legal text. The changes identified are outlined below.

Section 8 points:

- 4.2 The Workgroup identified a number of typographical errors in the proposed draft legal text. It was agreed by the Proposer that these should be incorporated into the original Proposal and are listed below for reference. The updated legal text can be found in Annex 2.
- 4.3 Table 1 details the typographical errors.
- 4.4 Table 2 details the changes to make the wording Section 8 consistent.
- 4.5 Table 3 details changes to make Section 8 clearer.
- 4.6 Table 4 details areas that may be included in potential Workgroup Alternative Code Modifications (WACMs).

Section 11 point:

- 4.7 The Workgroup suggests that into the new Annex 1 of Section 11 that "published" is added into the new text to describe SCR Guidance. This is currently being reviewed by Ofgem's legal team and if confirmed suitable the original Proposal will be amended. In the instance that Ofgem do not agree to the additional wording of "published" this change may still be subject to a WACM.
- 4.8 Ofgem's legal team have also raised a question on the Original Draft legal text as to whether the definition of 'Significant Code Review Phase' is unnecessarily split between Section 8 and Section 11. The Proposer has requested confirmation whether Ofgem would rather the definition of 'Significant Code Review Phase' should be contained in Section 8 or Section 11 and will amend the Original Proposal accordingly.

Key:

Colour	Reason
	Indicates that the original proposal has been updated.
	Is with Ofgem to consider the re-wording suggested by the WG – dependant on the response from Ofgem will be whether
	the original is amended or is an option for a WACM

Table 1: Typographical errors

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?	
8.16.10	Removal of the repetition of the word 'and'	Typo Workgroup member raised whether an additional 'and' was needed because then the paragraph is read as a whole there seems to be an unnecessary repetition of the word 'and'	Original - updated in Annex 2	
8.17.6A(b) Reference to 18.17.8 which should be 8.17.8		Typo Workgroup Member highlighted a typo in the reference to 18.17.8 which should be 8.17.8. The Proposer accepted this change as a typo.	Original - updated in Annex 2	
should be 8.17 R.3		Typo Workgroup questioned whether this is the correct reference and whether it should refer to 8.17.B.3 instead and also why reference to 8.71.6B.2	Original - updated in Annex 2	
8.17.12	8.17.12 Reference to Paragraph 8.17.8 Workgroup Member highlighted error in reference to paragraph		Original - updated in Annex 2	

CMP272 Page 7 of 22

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
	should be 8.17.11		
8.17.12	Reference to 8.17.6(a) which should be 8.17.6(b)	Typo Paragraph 8.17.6(a) is applicable to NGET and not Authority Led modifications and so Paragraph 8.17.6(b) should be referenced instead.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17.B.1	Reference should be made to Paragraph 8.17.C	Typo Workgroup Member highlighted this as a typo in the reference of paragraph to backstop direction.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17C.1	Phase should also be in bold	Typo Paragraph 8.17C: rather than referring to "Significant Code Review phase", this paragraph should use the defined term (i.e., it should read "Significant Code Review Phase").	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17C.1	Phase should also be in bold	Typo Paragraph 8.17C: rather than referring to "Significant Code Review phase", this paragraph should use the defined term (i.e., it should read "Significant Code Review Phase").	Original - updated in Annex 2

CMP272 Page 8 of 22

Table 2: Consistency changes

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?	
8.17.6(b)	Insert 'an Authority Led CUSC Modification' as new wording	Consistency Workgroup Member raised an inconsistency and suggested 'an Authority Led CUSC Modification' to be the new wording as per the definition used in Section 11.	Original - updated in Annex 2	
8.17.8	Insertion of 'Authority Led Modification' Consistency As mentioned above, Workgroup Member suggested creating consistency with Section 11.		Original - updated in Annex 2	
8.17.10	Reference to Paragraph 8.17.6(a)	Consistency Workgroup Member suggested that we add (a) for clarity of the paragraph being referenced to.	Original - updated in Annex 2	
8.17.12 Reference to Paragraph 8.17.6(a) Consistency Workgroup Member suggested that we being referenced to.		Workgroup Member suggested that we add (a) for clarity of the paragraph	Original - updated in Annex 2	

CMP272 Page 9 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
8.17A.2 (b)	Insertion of 'Authority Led Modification'	Consistency As mentioned above, Workgroup Member suggested creating consistency with Section 11.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17A.3	Insertion of the wording 'Authority Led Modification'	Consistency As mentioned above, Workgroup Member suggested creating consistency with Section 11.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17A.8	Insertion of the wording 'Authority Led Modification'	Consistency As mentioned above, Workgroup Member suggested creating consistency with Section 11.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17.B.7	Insertion of wording from 8.17.9 and correct defined terms.	Consistency Workgroup Member suggested inserting wording to create consistency with 8.17.9 as well as making use of the correct defined terms of the voting rights of Panel Members	Original - updated in Annex 2

CMP272 Page 10 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

Table 3: Clarity changes

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
8.17.9	Retain the use of the word 'such'	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested keeping the word 'such' because if it is removed it would imply any Authority conclusions and directions on anything may be considered as part of CGR3 opposed to only those in relation to SCR.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17A.1	Text to be amended so that it is clear who can raise the Proposal	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested re-wording of this paragraph to keep the intent but to distinguish between CUSC Led Modification and Authority Led Modification route.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17A.4	Insertion of reference to Paragraph 8.17A.1(b)	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested adding reference to (b) for completeness of the point being made elaborating that this section was written for National Grid to be able to withdraw an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal.	Original - updated in Annex 2

CMP272 Page 11 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
8.17.B2(d)	Use of the word 'proposed' as opposed to 'proposal'	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested rewording to save confusion between a Modification which is being proposed and a Modification which has become a Proposal.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17.B.3	Removal of the word 'urgency'	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested that when this paragraph is read in its entirety the wording implies that all Authority Led Modifications are to be treated as 'urgent'. However, they may or may not be 'urgent'.	This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree with amendments then the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to the amendments it could be an option for a potential WACM.
8.17.B.5	Insertion of 8.17B.2 (d)	Clarity Workgroup Member suggested adding (d) for completeness and clarity.	Original - updated in Annex 2
8.17.B.5	Removal of the word 'urgency'	Clarity As per above, Workgroup Member suggested that when this paragraph is read in its entirety the wording implies that all Authority Led Modifications are to be treated as 'urgent'. However, they may or may not be 'urgent'.	This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree with amendments then the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to the amendments it could be an option for a potential WACM.
8.17.B.5	Revise wording of 'as soon as practicable' Workgroup Member highlighted that the term 'as soon as practicable' implies that although the authority have the discretion to change the timetable, this wording implies that the authority can only extend the timetable and not		This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree with amendments then the

CMP272 Page 12 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

Section 8 Reference highlighted for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
	shorten it.		
8.17.C.1 Insertion of CUSC Led Modification		Clarity Workgroup Member pointed out that a backstop direction only applies to an Authority Led CUSC Modification and so this should be made clear within the wording.	Original - updated in Annex 2

Table 4: Potential areas for WACMs:

Reference to Section for change	Suggested Amendment	Reason: Typo/Consistency/Clarity/Suggestion	Will this be amended and updated in the Original Proposal or should this become a WACM?
8.16.8	Remove reference to Paragraph 8.29	Suggestion Workgroup Member questioned why there is a reference to 8.17B in respect of CGR3? The view of the Workgroup was that if any kind of Proposal was not received by 5 working days then it should go to the next Panel Meeting.	This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree that shouldn't include the reference the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to the deletion it could be an option for a potential WACM.
8.17.6A	Insertion of statement	Suggestion Workgroup Member suggested the preceding text was open to interpretation and an additional statement is required to ensure provide clarity of the	Updated in Annex 2 for information but with Ofgem to determine if additional wording required. If Ofgem confirm the

CMP272 Page 13 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

		Authority's intentions.	wording the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to additional wording may be an option for a potential WACM.
8.17.B.2	Include reporting items a-k whilst keeping a focus on importance of a-c in order to include all reporting elements	Suggestion Workgroup Member suggested an Authority Led Proposal should include just as much information and items as a standard Report to promote consistency and understanding for Industry.	This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree that additional wording should be included the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to the additional wording could be an option for a potential WACM.
8.17.B.4	Duplication and requires rewording	Suggestion Workgroup Member suggested 8.17.B.3 and 8.17.B.4 is a repetition of s8.17.B and so proposed re-wording.	This point is being reviewed by Ofgem. If agree that additional wording should be included the original will be updated. If Ofgem do not agree to the additional wording could be an option for a potential WACM.

CMP272 Page 14 of 22 © 2017 all rights reserved

5 Impact and Assessment

Impact on the CUSC

5.1 Changes to Section 8 and 11 – please refer to section 4 and Annex 1 and Annex 2 for the legal text changes.

Consumer Impacts

5.2 The Proposer considers that this will not have any material impact on consumers from the implementation of this Modification.

Environment Impacts

5.3 The workgroup has not assessed the impact on Greenhouse Gas Emissions.

Engagement with Authority

5.4 Ofgem has been fully engaged with the changes to the Codes and Licences that National Grid administer.

Impact on Core Industry Documents

5.5 None

Impact on other Industry Documents

5.6 None

6 Relevant Objectives

- 6.1 This Proposed Modification will better facilitate:
 - <u>Applicable CUSC Objective (a)</u> 'the efficient discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed upon it under the Act and by this licence' by ensuring that the CUSC correctly reflects the conditions under which the Authority can raise or direct the licensee to raise Modifications relating to electricity regulation.
 - Applicable CUSC Objective (d) 'promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements' by ensuring that the CUSC accurately reflects the provisions set out in Standard Licence Conditions to permit the Authority to raise Modification Proposals and SCR processes, ensuring such Modifications Proposals are progressed efficiently and effectively.
- 6.2 The proposed changes will aid the acceleration in the change process and enable more efficient delivery of priority Modifications.
- 6.3 The full set of proposed legal text changes are detailed on Ofgem's website:

<u>www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/decision-modify-gas-and-electricity-licences-implement-code-governance-review-phase-3-final-proposals</u>

7 Proposed Implementation and Transition

7.1 It is recommended that CMP272 is implementation by 14 March 2017.

8 Draft legal text changes for original proposal

8.1 Annex 1 contains the Original Draft Legal Text. Post the Workgroup Meeting the identification of a number of amendments to the Original Proposal Annex 2 contains the updated draft track marked legal changes. The full legal drafting provided by National Grid's legal department and has been developed in conjunction with Ofgem's legal department. This has been updated following discussions after the first Workgroup meeting. These updates are described in section 4.

9 Responses

9.1 This Workgroup is seeking the views of CUSC Parties and other interested parties in relation to the issues noted in this document and specifically in response to the questions highlighted in the report and summarised below:

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions:

- Q1: Do you believe that CMP272 Original proposal or either of the potential options for change better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives?
- Q2: Do you support the proposed implementation approach?
- Q3: Do you have any other comments?
- Q4: Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative request for the Workgroup to consider?

Specific CMP272 Workgroup Consultations question:

- Q5: Do you agree with the changes made to the original proposal and if not please describe why.
- Q6: Are there any additional areas that the Workgroup should consider?
- 9.2 Please send your response using the response proforma which can be found on the National Grid website via the following link:
 - http://www2.nationalgrid.com/UK/Industry-information/Electricity-codes/CUSC/Modifications/CMP272/
- 9.3 In accordance with Section 8 of the CUSC, CUSC Parties, BSC Parties, the Citizens Advice and the Citizens Advice Scotland may also raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request. If you wish to raise such a request, please use the relevant form available at the weblink below:
 - http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/systemcode/amendments/forms_guidance/

- 9.4 Views are invited upon the proposals outlined in this report, which should be received by 5pm on 24 January 2017. Your formal responses may be emailed to: cusc.team@nationalgrid.com
- 9.5 If you wish to submit a confidential response, please note that information provided in response to this consultation will be published on National Grid's website unless the response is clearly marked "Private & Confidential", we will contact you to establish the extent of the confidentiality. A response market "Private & Confidential" will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the CUSC Modifications Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.
- 9.6 Please note an automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT System will not in itself, mean that your response is treated as if it had been marked "Private and Confidential".

Annex 1 – Original Draft Legal Text

- (d) nothing in this Section 8 shall prevent a **Proposer** from submitting a revised proposal in compliance with the requirements of Paragraph 8.16.4 in respect of the same subject-matter.
- 8.16.6 Subject to Paragraph 8.17A.8 and without prejudice to the development of a Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification(s) pursuant to Paragraphs 8.20.10 and 8.20.15, the CUSC Modifications Panel shall direct in the case of (a), and may direct in the case of (b), the Panel Secretary to reject a proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.16, other than a proposal submitted by The Company pursuant to a direction issued by the Authority following a Significant Code Review in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6, if and to the extent that such proposal has, in the opinion of the CUSC Modifications Panel, substantially the same effect as:
 - (a) a Pending CUSC Modification Proposal; or
 - (b) a Rejected CUSC Modification Proposal, where such proposal is made at any time within two (2) months after the decision of the Authority not to direct The Company to modify the CUSC pursuant to the Transmission Licence in the manner set out in such CUSC Modification Proposal,

and the Panel Secretary shall notify the Proposer accordingly.

- 8.16.7 Promptly upon receipt of a CUSC Modification Proposal, the Panel Secretary shall:
 - (a) allocate a unique reference number to the CUSC Modification Proposal;
 - (b) enter details of the CUSC Modification Proposal on the CUSC Modification Register.
- 8.16.8 Subject to Paragraphs 8.8.6, 8.29 and 8.2917B, where the CUSC Modification Proposal is received more than five (5) Business Days prior to the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting, the Panel Secretary shall place the CUSC Modification Proposal on the agenda of the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting and otherwise shall place it on the agenda of the next succeeding CUSC Modifications Panel meeting.
- 8.16.9 It shall be a condition to the right to make a proposal to modify the **CUSC** under this Paragraph 8.16 that the **Proposer:**
 - (a) grants a non-exclusive royalty free licence to all CUSC Parties who request the same covering all present and future rights, IPRs and moral rights it may have in such proposal (as regards use or application in Great Britain); and
 - (b) warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, information and belief, no other person has asserted to the **Proposer** that such person has any **IPRs** or normal rights or rights of confidence in such proposal,

and, in making a proposal, a **Proposer** which is a **CUSC Party** shall be deemed to have granted the licence and given the warranty in (a) and (b) above.

1

The provisions of this Paragraph 8.16.9 shall apply to any **WG Consultation Alternative Request**, and also to a **Relevant Party** supporting a **CUSC Modification Proposal** in place of the original Proposer in accordance with Paragraph 8.16.10 (a) for these purposes the term **Proposer** shall include any such **Relevant Party** or a person making such a **WG Consultation Alternative Request**.

- 8.16.10 Subject to Paragraph 8.17A.8 (which deals with rejection by the Panel Secretary of CUSC Modification Proposals which are necessary to comply with or implement the Electricity Regulation and/or any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency), and Paragraph 8.17A.4 (which deals with withdrawal of an CUSC Modification Proposal in relation to a Significant Code Review) and Paragraph 8.17C.1 (which deals with the withdrawal of a CUSC Modification Proposal following a Backstop Direction) and Paragraph 8.17.7, (which deals with the withdrawal of a CUSC Modification Proposal made pursuant to a direction following a Significant Code Review), a Proposer may withdraw his support for a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the CUSC Modifications Panel Recommendation Vote undertaken in relation to that Standard CUSC Modification Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.23.4, and a Proposer may withdraw his support for a CUSC Modification Proposal that meets the Self-Governance Criteria by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the CUSC Modifications Panel Self-Governance Vote undertaken in relation to that CUSC Modification Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.25.9, and a Proposer may withdraw his support for a CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the Panel's vote on whether to approve the CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.29 in which case the **Panel Secretary** shall forthwith:
 - (a) notify those parties specified in Paragraph 8.16.1 as relevant in relation to the CUSC Modification Proposal in question (a "Relevant Party") that he has been notified of the withdrawal of support by the Proposer by publication on the Website and (where relevant details are supplied) by electronic mail. A Relevant Party may within five (5) Business Days notify the Panel Secretary that it is prepared to support the CUSC Modification Proposal in place of the original Proposer. If such notice is received, the name of such Relevant Party shall replace that of the original Proposer as the Proposer, and the CUSC Modification Proposal shall continue. If more than one notice is received, the first received shall be utilised;
 - (b) if no notice of support is received under (a), the matter shall be discussed at the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting. If the CUSC Modifications Panel so agrees, it may notify Relevant Parties that the CUSC Modification Proposal is to be withdrawn, and a further period of five (5) Business Days shall be given for support to be indicated by way of notice;
 - (c) if no notice of support is received under (a) or (b), the CUSC Modification Proposal shall be marked as withdrawn on the CUSC Modification Register;

Code Administrator as Critical Friend

- 8.16.11 The Code Administrator shall provide assistance insofar as is reasonably practicable and on reasonable request to parties with an interest in the CUSC Modification Process (including, in particular, Small Participants and consumer representatives, and, for the purposes of preparing modifications to the Charging Methodologies only, Materially Affected Parties) that request it in relation to the CUSC, as provided for in the Code Administration Code of Practice, including, but not limited to, assistance with:
 - (a) Drafting a CUSC Modification Proposal including, in relation to Materially Affected Parties, drafting a CUSC Modification Proposal in respect of the Charging Methodologies;
 - (b) Understanding the operation of the **CUSC**:
 - (c) Their involvement in, and representation during, the CUSC Modification Process (including but not limited to CUSC Modifications Panel, and/or Workgroup meetings) as required or as described in the Code Administration Code of Practice; and
 - (d) Accessing information relating to the Charging Statements (subject to any charge made by The Company to cover its reasonable costs of providing the Charging Statements in accordance with Paragraph 8.16.12), and any amendment, revision or notice of proposed amendment to the Charging Statements, CUSC Modification Proposals and/or CUSC Modifications Proposals that have been implemented.
- 8.16.12 **The Company** may provide information in accordance with paragraphs 9 and 10 of standard condition C4 (Charges for use of system) and paragraphs 13 and 14 of standard condition C6 (Connection charging methodology) of the **Transmission Licence**; and insofar as reasonably practicable, the provision by **The Company** of such other information or assistance as a **Materially Affected Party** may reasonably request for the purposes of preparing a proposal to modify the **Charging methodologies**.

8.17 SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW

Significant Code Review Phase

- 8.17.1 If any party specified under Paragraph 8.16.1 makes a CUSC Modification Proposal during a Significant Code Review Phase, unless exempted by the Authority or unless Paragraph 8.17.4(b) applies, the CUSC Modifications Panel shall assess whether the CUSC Modification Proposal falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review and the applicability of the exceptions set out in Paragraph 8.17.4 and shall notify the Authority of its assessment, its reasons for that assessment and any representations received in relation to it as soon as practicable.
- 8.17.2 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall proceed with the CUSC Modification Proposal made during a Significant Code Review Phase in accordance with Paragraph 8.18 (notwithstanding any consultation undertaken pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.5 and its outcome), unless directed otherwise by the Authority pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.3.
- 8.17.3 Subject to Paragraph 8.17.4, the **Authority** may at any time direct that a **CUSC Modification Proposal** made during a **Significant Code Review Phase** falls within the scope of a **Significant Code Review** and must not be

made during the Significant Code Review Phase. If so directed, the CUSC Modifications Panel will not proceed with that CUSC Modification Proposal, and the Proposer shall decide whether the CUSC Modification Proposal shall be withdrawn or suspended until the end of the Significant Code Review Phase. If the Proposer fails to indicate its decision whether to withdraw or suspend the CUSC Modification Proposal within twenty-eight (28) days of the Authority's direction, it shall be deemed to be suspended. If the CUSC Modification Proposal is suspended, it shall be open to the Proposer at the end of the Significant Code Review Phase to indicate to the CUSC Modifications Panel that it wishes that CUSC Modification Proposal to proceed, and it shall be considered and taken forward in the manner decided upon by the CUSC Modifications Panel at the next meeting, and it is open to the CUSC Modifications Panel to take into account any work previously undertaken in respect of that CUSC Modification Proposal. If the Proposer makes no indication to the CUSC Modifications Panel within twenty-eight (28) days of the end of the Significant Code Review Phase as to whether or not it wishes the CUSC Modification Proposal to proceed, it shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

- 8.17.4 A CUSC Modification Proposal that falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review may be made where:
 - the Authority so determines, having taken into account (among other things) the urgency of the subject matter of the CUSC Modification Proposal; or
 - (b) the CUSC Modification Proposal is made by The Company pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6.
- 8.17.5 Where a direction under Paragraph 8.17.3 has not been issued, paragraph 8.17.4 does not apply and the CUSC Modifications Panel considers that a CUSC Modification Proposal made during a Significant Code Review Phase falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review, the CUSC Modifications Panel may consult on its suitability as part of the Standard CUSC Modification Proposal route set out in Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.

End of Significant Code Review Phase

- 8.17.6 Within twenty-eight (28) days after the **Authority** has published its **Significant**Code Review conclusions, the **Authority** may:-
 - (a) issue to **The Company** directions, including directions to **The Company** to make **CUSC Modification Proposals**: or
 - (b) itself make a CUSC Modification Proposal arising from the relevant Significant Code Review
- 8.17.6A If the Authority issues a statement that it will continue work and/or issue a direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6C, then the Significant Code Review Phase will be deemed to have ended when:
 - (a) the Authority issues a statement that the Significant Code Review Phase has ended;
 - (b) one of the circumstances in Paragraphs 8.17.6(a) or 18.17.8 occurs (irrespective of whether such circumstance occurs within 28 days after the

CUSC V1.26DRAFT LEGAL TEXT FOR PROPOSED MODIFICATION CMP272

Authority has published its Significant Code Review conclusions); or

(c) the **Authority** makes a decision consenting or otherwise to the modification of the **CUSC** following the **CUSC** Modification Panel's submission of its report under Paragraph 8.17.6B.2.

8.17.7 Where the Authority issues directions pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6(a) The Company shall comply with those directions and the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have ended on the date on which The Company makes a CUSC Modification Proposal in accordance with the Authority's directions.

8.17.8 Where The Companythe Authority makes a CUSC Modification Proposal, in accordance with the Authority's directionspursuant to 8.17.6(b), the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have ended on the date on which the Authority makes such CUSC Modification Proposal.

8.17.6 8.17.9 Where a CUSC Modification Proposal is raised pursuant tool Paragraph 8.17.6, that CUSC Modification Proposal shall be treated as a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal and shall proceed through the process for Standard CUSC Modification Proposals set out in Paragraphs 8.18, 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23. Such Authority conclusions and directions shall not fetter the voting rights of the Panel Members or any recommendation it makes in relation to any CUSC Modification Proposal or the recommendation procedures informing the CUSC Modification Report.

8.17.78.17.10 The Company may not, without the prior consent of the Authority, withdraw a CUSC Modification Proposal made pursuant to a direction issued by the Authority pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6.

8.17.88.17.11 If within twenty-eight (28) days after the Authority has published itsSignificant Code Review conclusions, the Authority issues to The
Company a statement that no directions will be issued in relation to the
CUSC, then the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have
ended on the date of such statement.

8.17.98.17.12 IfUnless the Authority issues a statement in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6A, if up to and including twenty-eight (28) days from the Authority's publication of its Significant Code Review conclusions, the Authority has issued to The Company neither directions pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6, nor a statement pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.8, nor has the Authority made a CUSC Modification Proposal as described in Paragraph 8.17.6(a) then the Significant Code Review Phase will be deemed to have ended.

8.17A AUTHORITY RAISED OR DIRECTED MODIFICATION

8.17A.1 The **Authority** may:

- (a) itself; or
- (b) direct The Company to

raise a CUSC Modification Proposal that is in respect of a Significant Code Review or where the Authority reasonably Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, Hanging: 1.48 cm, No bullets or numbering, No widow/orphan control, Tab stops: 1.5 cm, Left

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 4 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Aligned at: 1.5 cm + Tab after: 3 cm + Indent at: 3 cm, No widow/orphan control

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, Hanging: 1.5 cm, Outline numbered + Level: 4 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Aligned at: 1.5 cm + Tab after: 3 cm + Indent at: 3 cm considers that such **CUSC Modification Proposal** is necessary to comply with or implement the **Electricity Regulation** and/or any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the **Agency**.

- 8.17A.2 **The Company** shall comply with any directions from the **Authority** in relation to setting and/or amending a timetable for:
 - (a) the raising of a **CUSC Modification Proposal** pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1(b); and/or
 - (b) where the **Authority** has approved a **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, implementation of such **CUSC Modification Proposal**.
- 8.17A.3 In respect of a **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, the **CUSC Modification Panel** shall comply with any timetable(s) directed by the **Authority** in relation to setting and/or amending a timetable for the completion of all relevant steps of the **CUSC Modification Process** or such other processes set out in this Section 8.
- 8.17A.4 Notwithstanding any other Paragraphs in this Section 8, a **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1:
 - (a) shall not be withdrawn by the **Transmission Company** and/or the **CUSC Modification Panel** without the prior consent of the **Authority**.
 - (b)shall not be amalgamated with any other CUSC Modification Proposal without the prior consent of the Authority.
- 8.17A.5 If, pursuant to paragraph 8.17A.4(a), the **Authority** consents to the withdrawal of a **CUSC Modification Proposal**, the provisions of Paragraph 8.16.10 shall apply to such **CUSC Modification Proposal**.
- 8.17A.6 In respect of any CUSC Modification Proposal which has been raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.9, the views of the relevant Workgroup, the voting rights of the CUSC Modifications Panel or the recommendation of the CUSC Modifications Panel shall not be fettered or restricted notwithstanding that such CUSC Modification Proposal has been raised under Paragraph 8.17A.9.
- 8.17A.7 A **CUSC Modification Proposal** shall still be assessed against the **Self Governance Criteria** and **Fast Track Criteria** notwithstanding that it has been raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1.
- 8.17A.8 A **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1 shall not be rejected by the **Panel Secretary** pursuant to Paragraphs 8.16.5 or 8.16.6.
- 8.17A.9 In relation to any CUSC Modification Proposal raised by The Company other than pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, where the Authority reasonably considers such CUSC Modification Proposal to be necessary to comply with or implement the Electricity Regulation and/or any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency, the provisions of

Paragraphs 8.17A.2 to 8.17A.8 shall apply.

8.17B	AUTHORITY LED SCR MODIFICATION
	8.17B.1 Where the Authority has issued a statement in accordance with Paragraph
-	8.17.6A and/or a Backstop Direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6C,
	the Authority may submit an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal
	for an Authority Led CUSC Modification directly to the CUSC Panel.
	0.47D 2 in response to an Authority Lad CIEC Medification Prepagal the CIEC
	8.17B.2 In response to an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal the CUSC Panel shall prepare an Authority Led CUSC Modification Report which
	shall include:
	(a) an evaluation of the proposed modification; and
	(b) an assessment of the extent to which the proposed modification would
	better facilitate achievement of the applicable CUSC objective(s); and
	(c) a detailed explanation of the CUSC Panel's reasons for that assessment
	(such assessment to include, where the impact is likely to be material, an
	assessment of the proposal on greenhouse gas emissions, to be conducted in
	accordance with such guidance on the treatment of carbon costs and
	evaluation of the greenhouse gas emissions as may be issued by the
	Authority from time to time); and
	(d) a timetable for implementation of the modification, including the date with
	effect from which such modification could take effect.
	8.17B.3 The Authority Led CUSC Modification Report shall be submitted to the
	Authority as soon after the Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal is
	submitted for evaluation as is appropriate (taking into account the complexity,
	importance and urgency of the modification).
	0.47D.4.The Authorities are required the residing and an exhaptering of the Authorities
	8.17B.4 The Authority can require the revision and re-submission of the Authority Led CUSC Modification Report, such resubmission to be made, if required
	by a direction issued by the Authority in accordance with Paragraph 8.23.12,
	as soon after the Authority's direction as is appropriate (taking into account
	the complexity, importance and urgency of the modification).
	8.17B.5 The timetable referred to in Paragraph 8.17B.2 for implementation of any
	modification shall be in accordance with any direction(s) issued by the
	Authority for the implementation of a modification pursuant to Paragraph
	8.17A.2, or where no such direction has been issued by the Authority , the
	timetable shall be such as will enable the modification to take effect as soon
	as practicable after the Authority has directed that such modification should
	be made, account being taken of the complexity, importance and urgency of
	the modification with the Authority having discretion to change the timetable.
	8.17B.6 The timetable for the completion of the procedural steps for an Authority Led
	CUSC Modification, as outlined in Paragraphs 8.17B.2, 8.17B.3, 8.17B.4,
	shall be set by the Authority in its sole discretion.
	8.17B.7 The Authority's published conclusions and Authority Led CUSC
	Modification Proposal shall not fetter the voting rights of the members of the
	CUSC Panel or the recommendation procedures informing the report
	27

described at Paragraph 8.17B.2.

8.17C BACKSTOP DIRECTION

8.17C.1 Where a CUSC Modification Proposal has been made in relation to a

Significant Code Review in accordance with Paragraph 8.17A.1 or 8.17B.1

the Authority may issue a direction (a "Backstop Direction"), which requires such proposal(s) and any alternatives to be withdrawn and which causes the Significant Code Review phase to recommence. Paragraph 8.16.10 shall not apply when a Backstop Direction is issued.

8.18 CUSC MODIFICATION PROPOSAL EVALUATION

- 8.18.1 This Paragraph 8.18 is subject to the **Urgent CUSC Modification Proposals** procedures set out in Paragraph 8.24 and the **Significant Code Review** procedures set out in Paragraph 8.17.
- 8.18.2 A **CUSC Modification Proposal** shall, subject to Paragraph 8.16.8, be discussed by the **CUSC Modifications Panel** at the next following **CUSC Modifications Panel** meeting convened.
- 8.18.3 The **Proposer's** representative shall attend such **CUSC Modifications Panel** meeting and the **CUSC Modifications Panel** may invite the **Proposer's** representative to present his **CUSC Modification Proposal** to the **CUSC Modifications Panel**.
- 8.18.4 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall evaluate each CUSC Modification Proposal against the Self-Governance Criteria.
- 8.18.5 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall follow the procedure set out inParagraph 8.25 in respect of any CUSC Modification Proposal that the
 CUSC Modifications Panel considers meets the Self-Governance Criteria
 unless the Authority makes a direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.25.2
 and in such a case that CUSC Modification Proposal shall be a Standard
 CUSC Modification Proposal and shall follow the procedure set out in
 Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.
- 8.18.6 Unless the Authority makes a direction in accordance withe Paragraph 8.25.4, a CUSC Modification Proposal that the CUSC Modifications Panel considers does not meet the Self-Governance Criteria shall be a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal and shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.
- 8.18.7 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall evaluate each CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal against the Fast Track Criteria.
- 8.18.8 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraph 8.29 in respect of any CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal. The provisions of Paragraphs 8.19 to 8.25 shall not apply to a CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal.

8.19 PANEL PROCEEDINGS

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 4 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Aligned at: 1.5 cm + Tab after: 3 cm + Indent at: 3 cm

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, Hanging: 1.48 cm, No bullets or numbering has undertaken all preparatory steps necessary to undertake the activity in 1 above; the date which is 30 days (or the first Business Day following this) from the date of payment of the Cancellation Charge by the User;

"Short Term Capacity"

the right to export on to the National Electricity Transmission **System** power in accordance with the provisions of **CUSC**;

"Significant Code Review"

a review of one or more matters which the Authority considers is likely to:

- (a) relate to the CUSC (either on its own or in conjunction with other Industry Codes); and
- (b) be of particular significance in relation to its principal objective and/or general duties (under section 3A of the Act), statutory functions and/or relevant obligations arising under EU law, and

concerning which the Authority has issued a notice to the CUSC Parties (among others, as appropriate) stating:

- (i) that the review will constitute a significant code review;
- (ii) the start date of the significant code review; and
- (iii) the matters that will fall within the scope of the review;

"Significant Code Review Phase"

the period

commencing either:

(i) on the start date of Formatted: Outline numbered + Significant Code Review a Level: 6 + Numbering Style: i, ii, iii, ... stated in the noticed issued b + Aligned at: 4.5 cm + Indent at: 6 cm the Authority; or

+ Aligned at: 4.5 cm + Tab after: 6

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

on the date the Authority makes a direction under Paragraph 8.17C (a "Backstop

Direction"),

and Formatted: Indent: Left: 6 cm

ending either:

- (a) on the date on which the Authority issues a statement that no directions will be issued in relation to the CUSC; or
- (b) if no statement is made under Paragraph 8.17.11 or 8.17.6A (a), on the date on which The Company has made a CUSC Modification Proposal in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6, or

the Authority makes a modification proposal in respect of a Significant Code Review under Paragraph 8.17A.1; or

(c) immediately, if neither a statement, a modification proposal nor directions are issued_made by the Authority up to and including twenty eight (28) days from the Authority's publication of its Significant Code Review conclusions; or

(d) if a statement has been made under Paragraph 8.17.6A or a direction has been made under Paragraph 8.17C (a "Backstop Direction"), on the date specified in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6A.

"Site Common Drawings"

as defined in the Grid Code;

"Site Load"

the sum of the **BM Unit Metered Volumes (QM**_{ij}), expressed as a positive number, of **BM Units** within the **Trading Unit** with QM_i less than zero during the three **Settlement Periods** of the **Triad** (i.e. Σ QM_{ij} where QM_{ij}<0), which may comprise **Station Load** and **Additional Load**;

"Site Responsibility Schedule"

a schedule containing the information and prepared on the basis of the provisions set out in Appendix 1 of the **CC**;

"Site Specific Maintenance Charge"

the element of the **Connection Charges** relating to maintenance and repair calculated in accordance with the **Connection Charging Methodology**;

"Site Specific Requirements"

those requirements reasonably required by **The Company** in accordance with the **Grid Code** at the site of connection of a **Relevant Embedded Medium Power Station** or a **Relevant Embedded Small Power Station**:

"Small Independent Generating Plant"

"Small Independent Generating a Medium Power Station;

"Small Participant"

- (a) a generator, supplier, distributor, or new entrants to the electricity market in Great Britain that can demonstrate to the **Code Administrator** that it is resource-constrained and, therefore in particular need of assistance:
- (b) any other participant or class of participant that the **Code Administrator** considers to be in particular need of assistance; and
- (c) a participant or class of participant that the **Authority** has notified to the **Code Administrator** as being in particular need of assistance;

"Small Power Station"

as defined in the Grid Code:

"Small Power Station Trading

a Trading Party trading on behalf of one or more Small Power

ANNEX 1 – INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITIONS

Insert the following new definitions in alphabetical order:

END OF SECTION 11

"Authority Led CUSC a proposal to modify the CUSC which directly arises from a **Modification**"

Significant Code Review and where the process of the modification is led by the Authority in accordance with its SCR Guidance;

"Authority Led CUSC a proposal for an Authority Led CUSC Modification which has been **Modification Proposal**"

submitted pursuant to and in accordance with Section 8 Paragraph

8.17B;

"Authority Led CUSC means, in relation to an Authority Led CUSC Modification **Modification Report**"

Proposal, the report prepared pursuant to and in accordance with

Section 8 Paragraph 8.17B.2;

"Backstop Direction" has the meaning given to it in Section 8 Paragraph 8.17C;

"SCR Guidance" means a document of that title created and maintained by the

Authority to provide guidance to interested parties on the conduct of

a Significant Code Review by the Authority;

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Page 79 of 79

24/11/16

Annex 2 – Updated Original Draft Legal Text

- (d) nothing in this Section 8 shall prevent a **Proposer** from submitting a revised proposal in compliance with the requirements of Paragraph 8.16.4 in respect of the same subject-matter.
- 8.16.6 Subject to Paragraph 8.17A.8 and without prejudice to the development of a Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification(s) pursuant to Paragraphs 8.20.10 and 8.20.15, the CUSC Modifications Panel shall direct in the case of (a), and may direct in the case of (b), the Panel Secretary to reject a proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.16, other than a proposal submitted by The Company pursuant to a direction issued by the Authority following a Significant Code Review in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6, if and to the extent that such proposal has, in the opinion of the CUSC Modifications Panel, substantially the same effect as:
 - (a) a Pending CUSC Modification Proposal; or
 - (b) a Rejected CUSC Modification Proposal, where such proposal is made at any time within two (2) months after the decision of the Authority not to direct The Company to modify the CUSC pursuant to the Transmission Licence in the manner set out in such CUSC Modification Proposal,

and the Panel Secretary shall notify the Proposer accordingly.

- 8.16.7 Promptly upon receipt of a CUSC Modification Proposal, the Panel Secretary shall:
 - (a) allocate a unique reference number to the CUSC Modification Proposal;
 - (b) enter details of the CUSC Modification Proposal on the CUSC Modification Register.
- 8.16.8 Subject to Paragraphs 8.8.6, 8.29 and 8.17B, where the CUSC Modification Proposal is received more than five (5) Business Days prior to the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting, the Panel Secretary shall place the CUSC Modification Proposal on the agenda of the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting and otherwise shall place it on the agenda of the next succeeding CUSC Modifications Panel meeting.
- 8.16.9 It shall be a condition to the right to make a proposal to modify the **CUSC** under this Paragraph 8.16 that the **Proposer:**
 - (a) grants a non-exclusive royalty free licence to all CUSC Parties who request the same covering all present and future rights, IPRs and moral rights it may have in such proposal (as regards use or application in Great Britain); and
 - (b) warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, information and belief, no other person has asserted to the **Proposer** that such person has any **IPRs** or normal rights or rights of confidence in such proposal,

and, in making a proposal, a **Proposer** which is a **CUSC Party** shall be deemed to have granted the licence and given the warranty in (a) and (b) above.

Comment [NG1]: WG member questioned why have a ref to 8.29 in respect of CGR3? The view of the WG wasthat for all Mods if not received by 5WDs should go to next Panel meeting; the view of the WG was that if Mod related to a SCR then by nature likely to be complex but would not automatically be granted urgency— and if want urgency have process already for this. Question on whether we need the ref to 8.17B? This point is with Ofgem to confirm.

1

The provisions of this Paragraph 8.16.9 shall apply to any **WG Consultation Alternative Request**, and also to a **Relevant Party** supporting a **CUSC Modification Proposal** in place of the original Proposer in accordance with Paragraph 8.16.10 (a) for these purposes the term **Proposer** shall include any such **Relevant Party** or a person making such a **WG Consultation Alternative Request**.

- 8.16.10 Subject to Paragraph 8.17A.8 (which deals with rejection by the Panel Secretary of CUSC Modification Proposals which are necessary to comply with or implement the Electricity Regulation and/or any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency), and Paragraph 8.17A.4 (which deals with withdrawal of an CUSC Modification Proposal in relation to a Significant Code Review) and Paragraph 8.17C.1 (which deals with the withdrawal of a CUSC Modification Proposal following a Backstop Direction) and Paragraph 8.17.7, (which deals with the withdrawal of a CUSC Modification Proposal made pursuant to a direction following a Significant Code Review), a Proposer may withdraw his support for a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the CUSC Modifications Panel Recommendation Vote undertaken in relation to that Standard CUSC Modification Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.23.4, and a Proposer may withdraw his support for a CUSC Modification Proposal that meets the Self-Governance Criteria by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the CUSC Modifications Panel Self-Governance Vote undertaken in relation to that CUSC Modification Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.25.9, and a Proposer may withdraw his support for a CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal by notice to the Panel Secretary at any time prior to the Panel's vote on whether to approve the CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal pursuant to Paragraph 8.29 in which case the **Panel Secretary** shall forthwith:
 - (a) notify those parties specified in Paragraph 8.16.1 as relevant in relation to the CUSC Modification Proposal in question (a "Relevant Party") that he has been notified of the withdrawal of support by the Proposer by publication on the Website and (where relevant details are supplied) by electronic mail. A Relevant Party may within five (5) Business Days notify the Panel Secretary that it is prepared to support the CUSC Modification Proposal in place of the original Proposer. If such notice is received, the name of such Relevant Party shall replace that of the original Proposer as the Proposer, and the CUSC Modification Proposal shall continue. If more than one notice is received, the first received shall be utilised;
 - (b) if no notice of support is received under (a), the matter shall be discussed at the next CUSC Modifications Panel meeting. If the CUSC Modifications Panel so agrees, it may notify Relevant Parties that the CUSC Modification Proposal is to be withdrawn, and a further period of five (5) Business Days shall be given for support to be indicated by way of notice;
 - (c) if no notice of support is received under (a) or (b), the CUSC Modification Proposal shall be marked as withdrawn on the CUSC Modification Register;

Code Administrator as Critical Friend

- 8.16.11 The Code Administrator shall provide assistance insofar as is reasonably practicable and on reasonable request to parties with an interest in the CUSC Modification Process (including, in particular, Small Participants and consumer representatives, and, for the purposes of preparing modifications to the Charging Methodologies only, Materially Affected Parties) that request it in relation to the CUSC, as provided for in the Code Administration Code of Practice, including, but not limited to, assistance with:
 - (a) Drafting a CUSC Modification Proposal including, in relation to Materially Affected Parties, drafting a CUSC Modification Proposal in respect of the Charging Methodologies;
 - (b) Understanding the operation of the **CUSC**;
 - (c) Their involvement in, and representation during, the CUSC Modification Process (including but not limited to CUSC Modifications Panel, and/or Workgroup meetings) as required or as described in the Code Administration Code of Practice; and
 - (d) Accessing information relating to the Charging Statements (subject to any charge made by The Company to cover its reasonable costs of providing the Charging Statements in accordance with Paragraph 8.16.12), and any amendment, revision or notice of proposed amendment to the Charging Statements, CUSC Modification Proposals and/or CUSC Modifications Proposals that have been implemented.
- 8.16.12 The Company may provide information in accordance with paragraphs 9 and 10 of standard condition C4 (Charges for use of system) and paragraphs 13 and 14 of standard condition C6 (Connection charging methodology) of the Transmission Licence; and insofar as reasonably practicable, the provision by The Company of such other information or assistance as a Materially Affected Party may reasonably request for the purposes of preparing a proposal to modify the Charging methodologies.

8.17 SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW

Significant Code Review Phase

- 8.17.1 If any party specified under Paragraph 8.16.1 makes a CUSC Modification Proposal during a Significant Code Review Phase, unless exempted by the Authority or unless Paragraph 8.17.4(b) applies, the CUSC Modifications Panel shall assess whether the CUSC Modification Proposal falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review and the applicability of the exceptions set out in Paragraph 8.17.4 and shall notify the Authority of its assessment, its reasons for that assessment and any representations received in relation to it as soon as practicable.
- 8.17.2 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall proceed with the CUSC Modification Proposal made during a Significant Code Review Phase in accordance with Paragraph 8.18 (notwithstanding any consultation undertaken pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.5 and its outcome), unless directed otherwise by the Authority pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.3.
- 8.17.3 Subject to Paragraph 8.17.4, the **Authority** may at any time direct that a **CUSC Modification Proposal** made during a **Significant Code Review Phase** falls within the scope of a **Significant Code Review** and must not be

made during the Significant Code Review Phase. If so directed, the CUSC Modifications Panel will not proceed with that CUSC Modification Proposal, and the Proposer shall decide whether the CUSC Modification Proposal shall be withdrawn or suspended until the end of the Significant Code Review Phase. If the Proposer fails to indicate its decision whether to withdraw or suspend the CUSC Modification Proposal within twenty-eight (28) days of the Authority's direction, it shall be deemed to be suspended. If the CUSC Modification Proposal is suspended, it shall be open to the Proposer at the end of the Significant Code Review Phase to indicate to the CUSC Modifications Panel that it wishes that CUSC Modification Proposal to proceed, and it shall be considered and taken forward in the manner decided upon by the CUSC Modifications Panel at the next meeting, and it is open to the CUSC Modifications Panel to take into account any work previously undertaken in respect of that CUSC Modification Proposal. If the Proposer makes no indication to the CUSC Modifications Panel within twenty-eight (28) days of the end of the Significant Code Review Phase as to whether or not it wishes the CUSC Modification Proposal to proceed, it shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

- 8.17.4 A CUSC Modification Proposal that falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review may be made where:
 - the Authority so determines, having taken into account (among other things) the urgency of the subject matter of the CUSC Modification Proposal; or
 - (b) the CUSC Modification Proposal is made by The Company pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6.
- 8.17.5 Where a direction under Paragraph 8.17.3 has not been issued, paragraph 8.17.4 does not apply and the CUSC Modifications Panel considers that a CUSC Modification Proposal made during a Significant Code Review Phase falls within the scope of a Significant Code Review, the CUSC Modifications Panel may consult on its suitability as part of the Standard CUSC Modification Proposal route set out in Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.

End of Significant Code Review Phase

- 8.17.6 Within twenty-eight (28) days after the **Authority** has published its **Significant**Code Review Phase conclusions, the **Authority** may:-
 - (a) issue to **The Company** directions, including directions to **The Company** to make **CUSC Modification Proposals**: or
 - (b) itself make aan Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal arising from the relevant Significant Code Review
- 8.17.6A If the Authority issues a statement that it will continue work and/or issue a direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6C, then the Significant Code Review Phase will be deemed to have ended when:
 - (a) the Authority issues a statement that the Significant Code Review Phase has ended;
 - (b) one of the circumstances in Paragraphs 8.17.6(a) or 48.17.8 occurs (irrespective of whether such circumstance occurs within 28 days after the

CUSC V1.26 DRAFT LEGAL TEXT FOR PROPOSED MODIFICATION CMP272

Authority has published its Significant Code Review conclusions); or

(c) the **Authority** makes a decision consenting or otherwise to the modification of the **CUSC** following the **CUSC** Modification Panel's submission of its report under Paragraph 8.17.6B.23.

For the avoidance of doubt, where the **Authority** does not issue a statement pursuant to this 8.17.6A within 28 days after the **Authority** has published its **Significant Code Review** conclusions then the **Significant Code Review Phase within** will be deemed to have ended.

- 8.17.7 Where the Authority issues directions pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6(a) The Company shall comply with those directions and the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have ended on the date on which The Company makes a CUSC Modification Proposal in accordance with the Authority's directions.
- 8.17.8 Where The Companythe Authority makes an Authority Led CUSC

 Modification Proposal in accordance with the Authority's directionspursuant to 8.17.6(b), the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have ended on the date on which the Authority makes such Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal.
- 8.17.9 Where a CUSC Modification Proposal is raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6, that CUSC Modification Proposal shall be treated as a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal and shall proceed through the process for Standard CUSC Modification Proposals set out in Paragraphs 8.18, 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23. Such Such Authority conclusions and directions shall not fetter the voting rights of the Panel Members or any recommendation it makes in relation to any CUSC Modification Proposal or the recommendation procedures informing the CUSC Modification Report.
- 8.17.10 **The Company** may not, without the prior consent of the **Authority**, withdraw a **CUSC Modification Proposal** made pursuant to a direction issued by the **Authority** pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6(a).
- 8.17.11 If within twenty-eight (28) days after the Authority has published its Significant Code Review conclusions, the Authority issues to The Company a statement that no directions will be issued in relation to the CUSC, then the Significant Code Review Phase shall be deemed to have ended on the date of such statement.
- 8.17.12 IfUnless the Authority issues a statement in accordance with Paragraph 8.17.6A, if up to and including twenty-eight (28) days from the Authority's publication of its Significant Code Review conclusions, the Authority has issued to The Company neither directions pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.6(a), nor a statement pursuant to Paragraph 8.17.811, nor has the Authority made an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal as described in Paragraph 8.17.6(ab) then the Significant Code Review Phase will be deemed to have ended.

8.17A AUTHORITY RAISED OR DIRECTED MODIFICATION

8.17A.1 The **Authority** may:

Comment [NG2]: WG suggested this parargraph be added to ensure preceding text is interpretated correctly. Ofgem to confirm whether the additional wording is required.

- (a) itself; or
- (b) direct The Company to

raise a CUSC Modification Proposal that is in respect of a Significant Code Review or where the Authority reasonably considers that such CUSC Modification Proposal is necessary to comply with or implement the Electricity Regulation and/or any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency or, pursuant to 8.17A.1 (a) in respect of a Significant Code Review raise an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal.

- 8.17A.2 **The Company** shall comply with any directions from the **Authority** in relation to setting and/or amending a timetable for;
 - (a) the raising of a **CUSC Modification Proposal** pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1(b); and/or
 - (b) where the **Authority** has approved a **CUSC Modification Proposal** or an **Authority Led CUSC Modification** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, implementation of such **CUSC Modification Proposal**.
- 8.17A.3 In respect of a CUSC Modification Proposal or an Authority Led
 CUSC Modification raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, the
 CUSC Modification Panel shall comply with any timetable(s)
 directed by the Authority in relation to setting and/or amending a
 timetable for the completion of all relevant steps of the CUSC
 Modification Process or such other processes set out in this Section
 8.
- 8.17A.4 Notwithstanding any other Paragraphs in this Section 8, a **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1(b):
 - (a) shall not be withdrawn by the **Transmission Company** and/or the **CUSC Modification Panel** without the prior consent of the **Authority**.
 - (b)shall not be amalgamated with any other CUSC Modification Proposal without the prior consent of the Authority.
- 8.17A.5 If, pursuant to paragraph 8.17A.4(a), the **Authority** consents to the withdrawal of a **CUSC Modification Proposal**, the provisions of Paragraph 8.16.10 shall apply to such **CUSC Modification Proposal**.
- 8.17A.6 In respect of any CUSC Modification Proposal which has been raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.9, the views of the relevant Workgroup, the voting rights of the CUSC Modifications Panel or the recommendation of the CUSC Modifications Panel shall not be fettered or restricted notwithstanding that such CUSC Modification Proposal has been raised under Paragraph 8.17A.9.
- 8.17A.7 A **CUSC Modification Proposal** shall still be assessed against the **Self Governance Criteria** and **Fast Track Criteria** notwithstanding that it has been raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1.

CUSC V1.26DRAFT LEGAL TEXT FOR PROPOSED MODIFICATION CMP272

8.17A.8 A CUSC Modification Proposal or an Authority Led CUSC

<u>Modification</u> raised pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1 shall not be rejected by the **Panel Secretary** pursuant to Paragraphs 8.16.5 or

8.16.6.

8.17A.9 In relation to any **CUSC Modification Proposal** raised by **The**

Company other than pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.1, where the Authority reasonably considers such CUSC Modification Proposal to be necessary to comply with or implement the Electricity Regulation and/or any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency, the provisions of

Paragraphs 8.17A.2 to 8.17A.8 shall apply.

8.17B AUTHORITY LED SCR MODIFICATION

8.17B.1 Where the **Authority** has issued a statement in accordance with Paragraph
8.17.6A and/or a **Backstop Direction** in accordance with Paragraph 8.176C,
the **Authority** may submit an **Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal**for an **Authority Led CUSC Modification** directly to the **CUSC Panel**.

8.17B.2 In response to an Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal the CUSC

Panel shall prepare an Authority Led CUSC Modification Report which shall include all the items listed in 8.23.2 (a)-(k) and in particular:

(a) an evaluation of the proposed modification; and

(b) an assessment of the extent to which the proposed modification would better facilitate achievement of the applicable **CUSC** objective(s); and

(c) a detailed explanation of the CUSC Panel's reasons for that assessment (such assessment to include, where the impact is likely to be material, an assessment of the proposal on greenhouse gas emissions, to be conducted in accordance with such guidance on the treatment of carbon costs and evaluation of the greenhouse gas emissions as may be issued by the Authority from time to time); and

(d) a timetable for implementation of the proposal-proposed modification, including the date with effect from which such proposal-proposed modification could take effect.

8.17B.3 The Authority Led CUSC Modification Report shall be submitted to the Authority as seen after the Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal is submitted for evaluation as is appropriate (taking into account the complexity and, importance and urgency of the proposed modification) in accordance with the timetable set by the Authority in Paragraph 8.17B.6

8.17B.4 The Authority can require the revision and re-submission of the Authority

Led CUSC Modification Report, such resubmission to be made, if required by a direction issued by the Authority in accordance with Paragraph 8.23.12, as soon after the Authority's direction as is appropriate (taking into account the complexity and, importance and urgency of the proposed modification). In accordance with the timetable set by the Authority in Paragraph 8.17B.6

8.17B.5 The timetable referred to in Paragraph 8.17B.2(d) for implementation of any proposed modification shall be in accordance with any direction(s) issued by

Comment [NG3]: WG Member questioned why an Authority Led Proposal does not contain the same items as a CUSC Modification and proposed an Authority Led Proposal Report to include all items (a) – (k). This point is being reviewed by Ofgem.

Comment [NG4]: WG Member suggested this implies that these Authority Led Modifications are all to be treated as 'urgent'. This point is being reviewed by Ofgem.

Comment [NG5]: WG Members suggested 8.17B.3 and 8.17B.4 was a repetition of 8.17.B. and so proposed rewording. This point is being reviewed by Ofeem.

Comment [NG6]: Refer to comment NG 5 - suggested amendment and rewording by WG Member

CUSC V1.26DRAFT LEGAL TEXT FOR PROPOSED MODIFICATION CMP272

the Authority for the implementation of a proposed modification pursuant to Paragraph 8.17A.2, or where no such direction has been issued by the Authority, the timetable shall be such as will enable the modification to take effect as soon as practicable after the Authority has directed that such modification should be made, account being taken of the complexity, importance and urgency of the modification with the Authority having discretion to change the timetable.

8.17B.6 The timetable for the completion of the procedural steps for an **Authority Led**CUSC Modification, as outlined in Paragraphs 8.17B.2, 8.17B.3, 8.17B.4, shall be set by the **Authority** in its sole discretion.

8.17B.7 The Authority's published conclusions and directions and the Authority Led

CUSC Modification Proposal shall not fetter the voting rights of the Panel

mMembers of the CUSC Panel or any recommendation it makes in relation to

any Authority Led CUSC Modification Proposal or the recommendation

procedures informing the report described at Paragraph 8.17B.2.

8.17C BACKSTOP DIRECTION

8.17C.1 Where an CUSC Modification Proposal or an Authority Led CUSC

Modification Proposal has been made in relation to a Significant Code
Review Phase in accordance with Paragraph 8.17A.1 or 8.17B.1 the
Authority may issue a direction (a "Backstop Direction"), which requires
such proposal(s) and any alternatives to be withdrawn and which causes the
Significant Code Review phase to recommence. Paragraph 8.16.10 shall
not apply when a Backstop Direction is issued.

8.18 CUSC MODIFICATION PROPOSAL EVALUATION

- 8.18.1 This Paragraph 8.18 is subject to the **Urgent CUSC Modification Proposals** procedures set out in Paragraph 8.24 and the **Significant Code Review** procedures set out in Paragraph 8.17.
- 8.18.2 A CUSC Modification Proposal shall, subject to Paragraph 8.16.8, be discussed by the CUSC Modifications Panel at the next following CUSC Modifications Panel meeting convened.
- 8.18.3 The **Proposer's** representative shall attend such **CUSC Modifications Panel** meeting and the **CUSC Modifications Panel** may invite the **Proposer's** representative to present his **CUSC Modification Proposal** to the **CUSC Modifications Panel**.
- 8.18.4 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall evaluate each CUSC Modification Proposal against the Self-Governance Criteria.
- 8.18.5 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraph 8.25 in respect of any CUSC Modification Proposal that the CUSC Modifications Panel considers meets the Self-Governance Criteria unless the Authority makes a direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.25.2 and in such a case that CUSC Modification Proposal shall be a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal and shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.

Comment [NG7]: WG highlighted this implies the Authority can only extend a timetable and not shorten it. This point is being reviewed by Ofgem.

Comment [NG8]: Refer to comment NG4 above.

Comment [NG9]: Refer to comment NG7 above. This wording read after 'take effect as soon practicable' also implies that the timetable can only be extended outwards by the Authority, rather than being shortended. This comment is being reviewed by Ofgem.

- 8.18.6 Unless the Authority makes a direction in accordance with Paragraph 8.25.4, a CUSC Modification Proposal that the CUSC Modifications Panel considers does not meet the Self-Governance Criteria shall be a Standard CUSC Modification Proposal and shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraphs 8.19, 8.20, 8.22 and 8.23.
- 8.18.7 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall evaluate each CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal against the Fast Track Criteria.
- 8.18.8 The CUSC Modifications Panel shall follow the procedure set out in Paragraph 8.29 in respect of any CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal. The provisions of Paragraphs 8.19 to 8.25 shall not apply to a CUSC Modification Fast Track Proposal.

8.19 PANEL PROCEEDINGS

- 8.19.1 (a) The **Code Administrator** and the **CUSC Modifications Panel** shall together establish a timetable to apply for the **CUSC Modification Process**.
 - (b) The CUSC Modifications Panel shall establish the part of the timetable for the consideration by the CUSC Modifications Panel and by a Workgroup (if any) which shall be no longer than four months unless in any case the particular circumstances of the CUSC Modification Proposal (taking due account of its complexity, importance and urgency) justify an extension of such timetable, and provided the Authority, after receiving notice, does not object, taking into account all those issues.
 - (c) The Code Administrator shall establish the part of the timetable for the consultation to be undertaken by the Code Administrator under this Section 8 and separately the preparation of a CUSC Modification Report to the Authority. Where the particular circumstances of the CUSC Modification Proposal (taking due account of its complexity, importance and urgency) justify an extension of such timescales and provided the Authority, after receiving notice, does not object, taking into account all those issues, the Code Administrator may revise such part of the timetable.
 - (d) In setting such a timetable, the CUSC Modifications Panel and the Code Administrator shall exercise their respective discretions such that, in respect of each CUSC Modification Proposal, a CUSC Modification Report may be submitted to the Authority as soon after the CUSC Modification Proposal is made as is consistent with the proper evaluation of such CUSC Modification Proposal, taking due account of its complexity, importance and urgency.
 - (e) Having regard to the complexity, importance and urgency of particular CUSC Modification Proposals, the CUSC Modifications Panel may determine the priority of CUSC Modification Proposals and may (subject to any objection from the Authority taking into account all those issues) adjust the priority of the relevant CUSC Modification Proposal accordingly.
- 8.19.2 In relation to each CUSC Modification Proposal, the CUSC Modifications Panel shall determine at any meeting of the CUSC Modifications Panel whether to:

ANNEX 1 – INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITIONS

Insert the following new definitions in alphabetical order:

"Authority Led CUSC a proposal to modify the CUSC which directly arises from a **Modification**"

Significant Code Review and where the process of the modification

is led by the Authority in accordance with its SCR Guidance;

"Authority Led CUSC a proposal for an Authority Led CUSC Modification which has been **Modification Proposal**"

submitted pursuant to and in accordance with Section 8 Paragraph

8.17B;

means, in relation to an Authority Led CUSC Modification "Authority Led CUSC **Modification Report**"

Proposal, the report prepared pursuant to and in accordance with

Section 8 Paragraph 8.17B.2;

"Backstop Direction" has the meaning given to it in Section 8 Paragraph 8.17C;

"SCR Guidance" means a document of that title created, published and maintaine Comment [A1]: WG member has

the Authority to provide guidance to interested parties on conduct of a Significant Code Review by the Authority;

suggested adding in published into this new text. Ofgem and National Grid's legal team to confirm agreement with this approach and if confirm happy will amend the original proposal.

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

END OF SECTION 11

Annex 3 – Ofgem's Urgency response



Michael Toms CUSC Panel Chair c/o National Grid Electricity Transmission plc National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

Direct dial: 0141 331 6007

Email: Lesley.Nugent@ofgem.gov.uk

Date: 20 December 2016

Dear Mike,

CUSC Modifications Panel request on urgency for CMP272 'Aligning Condition C5 and C10 of the CUSC to the licence changes introduced by the Code Governance Review Phase 3'

On 8 December 2016, National Grid (the 'Proposer') raised Connection and Use of System Code ('CUSC') modification proposal CMP272 'Aligning Condition C5 and C10 of the CUSC to the licence changes introduced by the Code Governance Review Phase 3'. CMP272 seeks to reflect changes in the transmission licence introduced by the Code Governance Review (Phase 3) – namely the Significant Code Review process – into the CUSC and in particular sections 8 and 11 of the CUSC.

The Proposer requested that CMP272 be treated as Self-Governance. At a CUSC Panel meeting on 14 December, the CUSC Modifications Panel (the 'Panel') did not consider that CMP272 met the Self-Governance criteria and recommended that CMP272 be developed by a Workgroup following an urgent timetable.

On 19 December 2016, you wrote to inform us of the Panel's majority view that CMP272 should be treated as urgent as there is a requirement to implement these changes within the CUSC by 31 March 2017.

This letter **gives our approval** for CMP272 to be progressed on an urgent basis, following the Panel's proposed timetable set out in the Appendix to your letter.

Our decision

We have considered the request and views of the Panel on urgency. On balance, we consider that the proposed modification does meet our criteria for urgency. Specifically, we view CMP272 as addressing "...a current issue that if not urgently addressed may cause a party to be in breach of any legal requirement". The legal requirement in this instance being the licence obligation to have in place the corresponding changes (introduced by the Code Governance Review (Phase 3)) in the CUSC by 31 March 2017.

We note that the CUSC modification process is designed to allow sufficient opportunity for industry to consider, and submit their views about, a modification proposal. We

¹ https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/system/files/docs/2016/02/160217 urgency letter and amended criteria 2.pdf

consider that this should apply in the case of CMP272, albeit based on an accelerated urgent timetable as supported by the majority of the Panel. We note that CMP272 was originally raised on 29 September but withdrawn as a result of inaccuracies. We are disappointed that the Proposer delayed bringing this modification to the Panel giving rise to the need for an urgent timetable to be requested.

In granting this request for urgency, we have made no assessment of the merits of the proposal and nothing in this letter in any way fetters our discretion in respect of this proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lesley Nugent Head, Industry Codes & LicensingDuly authorised on behalf of the Authority

Annex 4 – Workgroup attendance register

- A Attended
- X Absent
- O Alternate
- D Dial-in

Name	Organisation	Role	6 January 2017	27 January 2017	?
Nick Pittarello	National Grid	Chair	A/D		
Taran Heir	National Grid	Technical Secretary	A/D		
Caroline Wright	National Grid	Proposer	A/D		
Garth Graham	SSE	Workgroup Member	A/D		
James Anderson	Scottish Power	Workgroup Member	A/D		
Nadir Hafeez	Ofgem	Workgroup Observer	A/D		